WAO Review of Governance Action Plan 07/02/2018

Ref	Proposal for Improvement	Intended outcome / benefit	High priority (yes/no)	Accepted (yes/no)	Actions identified (Management response)	Start date	Completion date	Action Owner (Responsible officer)	Dependen cies	Notes on actions taken	BRAG status
P1	Reviewing the current remit and role of the Cabinet to enable it to focus on the Council's key priorities and significant service changes.	Cabinet is more efficient in focusing on the key priority areas of the Council. G3 The agenda for cabinet meetings focuses on the strategic issues facing the Council and the supporting reports contain scrutiny recommendations thus negating the need for Scrutiny Chairs and Group Leaders to address the cabinet. This will create a more effective governance	Yes Yes	-	G3 Amend Council Constitution to support strategic decision making by Cabinet and Pre-Scrutiny	01/02/2017	07/03/2017	Democratic Services Committee / Cabinet / Joint Chairs (Clive Pinney / Steve Boyd / Wyn Richards)		The Constitution was changed on 7 th March 2017 to remove the right of group leaders and scrutiny chairs to speak on all matters on a Cabinet Agenda. In future group leaders have no right to speak, and scrutiny chairs can only speak if their scrutiny committee has actually scrutinised the matter. Chairs of Scrutiny Panels A and B appointed and both have held their first meetings. Training provided 19/06/2017.	
		process at Cabinet meetings. G6 Elected members understand their respective executive and scrutiny roles with cabinet portfolio holders understanding their remit and relationship with officers of the Council. Officers of the Council fully understand the role of the cabinet as a strategic decision making body and the need for objective pre- scrutiny of key decisions and objective enquiry and challenge of performance and decision making. Elected members understand and respect their respective roles, the roles of officers and the chairing of meetings			G6 Produce and implement a development programme to improve strategic decision making, scrutiny and inter-member working.	18/05/2017	Autumn 2017 March 2018	Jeremy Patterson / Clive Pinney	Political willingness	Member Development is required to focus the new cabinet on their need to focus on key priorities and significant service changes. Discussions have already taken place with Hay for the delivery of such training. The training will also focus on the relationship between Cabinet and scrutiny. Induction processes started. Will need to continuously monitor as we go through the process, to identify any developmental needs. Have put in place training by Ian Bottrill with Cabinet, Members and Scrutiny. That is currently ongoing. Training due to finish by end of March.	
		The authority is able to measure the impact of delegated decisions on cabinet capacity through KPIs (Number of reports received / Number of reports that went to delegated decision / Number of reports that went through Cabinet)			Monthly report to be presented to Management team outlining the number of reports for delegated decision.	01/03/2017	Ongoing	Clive Pinney	Political willingness	Increased emphasis on the use of delegated decisions instead of cabinet reports for relatively unimportant matters. First report presented Monday 5 th February. Arrangements have been put in place for a standing item on the agenda once every month.	
		G5 Cabinet working groups are informed by and consider comment and feedback from scrutiny and			G5 Cabinet and Joint Chairs to review working and terms of reference of Strategic Overview Board.		28/02/2017	Cabinet / Joint Chairs (David Powell / Clive Pinney)			
		audit.			G5 Cabinet and Joint Chairs to review working and terms of reference of		Autumn 2017	Cabinet / Joint Chairs		Report to be produced and submitted to C&P Board for decision on 26 th September 2017.	

Ref	Proposal for Improvement	Intended outcome / benefit	High priority (yes/no)	Accepted (yes/no)	Actions identified (Management response)	Start date	Completion date	Action Owner (Responsible officer)	Dependen cies	Notes on actions taken	BRAG status				
					Corporate Commissioning and Procurement Board.			(David Powell / Clive Pinney)		Chair and Vice-Chair of Audit Committee are now participants of the C&P Board.					
P2	Clarifying and strengthening the role of scrutiny committees in considering service change.	Scrutiny Committees have a clearly defined role and an opportunity to challenge and scrutinise service change proposals. G4 Ensure that there is objective pre-scrutiny of the commissioning decisions relating to Highways, Transport and Recycling	Yes	Yes	G4 Pre-Scrutiny of major decisions — increase the use of pre-scrutiny, particularly on strategic items. To be identified by Joint Chairs in consultation with Senior Officers.	01/03/2017	Review January 2018	Chair of Place Scrutiny Committee / Clive Pinney	Full and updated Cabinet Forward Work Programm e.	Increased emphasis on pre-scrutiny beginning to take shape. Engagement with HoS. Review success of this in January 2018. At Joint Chairs they are considering the Cabinet work programme and the delegated decision work programme, and deciding which items require pre-scrutiny. Where there is insufficient capacity, scrutiny will decide what remains on the programme / what takes priority. We are constantly looking out for decisions which need to be scrutinised as part of the monthly Joint Chairs sessions. Joint Chairs will be asked to assess the effectiveness of the arrangements in their meeting in February 2018.					
					Access scrutiny expertise from other organisations where required, and use this as a learning process. Identify Scrutiny topics where external support would be useful.	01/03/2017	Ongoing requirement	Wyn Richards	Availability of additional resource	Consideration of additional external support for scrutiny committees in complex areas / matters. Initial discussions held with CfPS. Completed once (HTR Project). Feedback from Scrutiny Members was excellent. Also been done once with Education. Had someone advise us of how to scrutinise Schools.					
		See G6							See G6	18/05/2017	Autumn 2017	Jeremy Patterson / Clive Pinney		Member Development is required to focus Scrutiny Members of their role and their relationship with Cabinet. Discussions have already taken place with Hay for the delivery of such training. See G6 above.	
		G7 The Council is informed as to the resources and capabilities required to ensure effective decision making, and to develop scrutiny so that it makes an effective contribution to corporate governance.				G7 Review the current resources and capabilities supporting scrutiny, democratic services and performance management.	01/04/2017	21/07/2017	Wyn Richards		Research into best practice elsewhere. Have made contact with CfPS. Visit to another LA. Review completed in September 2017. Awaiting formal decision on funding to recruit additional scrutiny resource. Review completed November 2017. 1.2 FTE additional requirement identified. Awaiting confirmation of approval as part of the budget process.				
P3	Aligning Cabinet and Scrutiny work programmes to include details of forthcoming service change proposals and making them	Cabinet and Scrutiny committees and to improve transparency. Solution of the programme on the programme contains of the programme contains of the programme contains of the programme of the pro	The need for at least a Cabinet forward work programme is constantly being re-emphasised by officers and the Leader. Portfolio Holders are prompted at every meeting to keep the work programme up to date. Scrutiny Manager attending DMT meetings to keep their work												
	easily accessible on the Council's website.								01/03/2017	Complete			Joint Chairs of Scrutiny now meet once a month to consider the cabinet Forward Work programme and to decide the matters that need to be		
		scrutiny of key decisions.			The scrutiny work programme will be placed on the website asap	01/04/2017	31/08/2017			To be published on the public website by the end of August.					
P4	Improving the quality of information and options appraisals	Members make informed decisions based on availability of comprehensive options appraisals.	Yes	Yes	Improve the quality of the data provided to ensure well-informed business cases and robust options appraisals, by the provision of additional training for Finance Business Partners and relevant BPU and BI staff.		ТВС	Jane Thomas / Mark Evans / Andrew Durant Emma Palmer		Quality of data provided has improved in the last few months, especially since the CIW inspection of Childrens Services. The introduction of dashboards from the BI unit will make a huge difference to the information provided to Members as a move forward. It is acknowledged that there is still some work to do.					
	provided to Members to enable them to				Identify a provider for Data Analysis Training, and arrange training		September 2017	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date.					

Ref	Proposal for Improvement	Intended outcome / benefit	High priority (yes/no)	Accepted (yes/no)	Actions identified (Management response)	Start date	Completion date	Action Owner (Responsible officer)	Dependen cies	Notes on actions taken	BRAG status
	consider the strategic implications of						July 2018			Attempts to find an appropriate data analyst through WLGA has proved unsuccessful. We have received an offer of assistance from the deputy chair of Audit.	
	the proposed service changes.				Improve Project Management Training – further element required to enable analysis of the data for use in Business Cases.		March 2018	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		CP to chase SP to identify a provider and new completion date. We currently have a formal accredited plus programme and project management training.	
					Identify a provider to deliver training to HoS on Options Appraisals		September 2017	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		Discussions are ongoing to identify the actual training need and to identify a provider.	
					Provide training sessions on Options Appraisals	2017	December	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		Discussions are ongoing to identify the actual training need and to identify a provider.	
					Develop clear guidance and Project Management Methodology and Toolkit which is easily accessible on the Intranet. Greater emphasis on the need for information and options appraisals to be written in language that can be more easily understood. Adoption of Executive Summaries where it is appropriate to do so.		TBC	Yvonne Owen- Newns		Commissioning Toolkit available on the Intranet. Transformation Office Strategic Development Plan currently being produced which incorporates this action.	
					Improve Member understanding at both decision making level and Scrutiny level, to understand and challenge the appraisal methodology and the evidence provided.		March 2018 July 2018	Clive Pinney / Sarah Powell		Discussions are ongoing to identify the actual training need and to identify a provider.	
					Improve the pre-scrutiny of robust option appraisals - see G4	01/03/2017	Ongoing requirement			See G4.	
		F1 Medium Term Financial Strategy is supported by effective planning and project management.			F1 Effective savings plans for all MTFS commitments.		In place	David Powell / Jane Thomas			
P5	Clarify delegated authorities, particularly for significant	To improve transparency and accountability.	Yes	Yes	A review of the current system of delegation and the recording of decision is being undertaken and thereafter a new / amended regime will be put in place with a view to ensuring greater transparency.	01/02/2017	October December 2017 July 2018	Clive Pinney / Debby Jones		Review of priorities means that this will be delivered later than anticipated.	
	service changes so that decisions are transparent and accountability for them is clear.				The need to provide a written record of each decision (and the reason for the decision) in matters where there is significant service provision change is being re-emphasised.		Ongoing July 2018	Clive Pinney		Review of priorities means that this will be delivered later than anticipated.	
P6	Strengthening arrangements for monitoring the impact of service changes including explicitly setting out at the point of decision what will be	To strengthen and improve the transparency of impact monitoring arrangements to facilitate a greater understanding of the impact of service changes.	Yes	Yes	This is aligned with the actions under P4 above. A new report template is being developed to indicate where scrutiny of a report has been undertaken and an additional section will be added to the template to ensure that the issue of monitoring the impact of service changes and identify is addressed on the face of reports and to identify the person responsible for undertaking the monitoring	01/02/2017	30/06/2017	Steve Boyd		Cabinet report template reviewed and updated. Need to update template again to include review date. Review date tracker to be created. A new template has been put in place and will be seen in Cabinet reports from March 2018 onwards.	

Ref	Proposal for	Intended outcome / benefit	High	Accepted	Actions identified	Start date	Completion	Action Owner	Dependen	Notes on actions taken	BRAG
	Improvement		priority	(yes/no)	(Management response)		date	(Responsible	cies		status
			(yes/no)					officer)			
	monitored,				and the timescale in which such monitoring						
	where this will				should take place. The agreed monitoring						
	be monitored				regime will automatically feed into the						
	and who will be				cabinet forward work programme.						
	responsible for										
	doing so.										