

**MINUTES OF THE MEETING OF THE COUNTY COUNCIL HELD AT COUNTY  
HALL, LLANDRINDOD WELLS ON  
WEDNESDAY 11<sup>TH</sup> FEBRUARY 2015**

PRESENT: County Councillor L.R.E. Davies (Chair)

County Councillors M.C. Alexander, P.J. Ashton, D. Bailey, G.R. Banks, G. Bowker, R.G. Brown, J.H. Brunt, L.V. Corfield, K.W. Curry, A.W. Davies, C. Davies, D.E. Davies, E.R. Davies, M.J.B. Davies, S.C. Davies, S. Davies, M.J. Dorrance, D.O. Evans, V.E. Evans W.J. Evans, M.R. Harris, P. Harris, S.M. Hayes, A. Holloway, G.G. Hopkins, D.C. Jones, D.R. Jones, E.M. Jones, G.M. Jones, J.R. Jones, M.J. Jones, W.T. Jones, F.H. Jump, H. Lewis, P.E. Lewis, D.J. Mayor, S. McNicholas, P.J. Medicott, D.W. Meredith, R.H. Mills, E.T. Morgan, G. Morgan, J.G. Morris, W.J.T. Powell, D.R. Price, G.D. Price, P.C. Pritchard, G.W. Ratcliffe, K.M. Roberts-Jones, J.G. Shearer, K.F. Tampin, A.G. Thomas, D.A. Thomas, D.G. Thomas, R.G. Thomas, W.B. Thomas, T.J. Van Rees, G.P. Vaughan, D.H. Williams, G. Williams, J.M. Williams, S.L. Williams and A. York.

County Councillors D.R. Price and T.J. Van Rees paid tribute to Mr J.T.H. Davies, a member of Powys County Council between 1973 and 1996 and Chairman of the Council in 1985/86, who had died at the age of 101. Mr Davies' career in public service began in 1948 when he had been elected to Bulth Rural District Council. Members and officers stood as a mark of respect.

The Chair congratulated The Hon. Mrs Shan Legge-Bourke who had been made a Dame Commander of the Royal Victorian Order in The Queen's New Year's Honours in recognition of her work in Powys and Wales.

<b>1.</b>	<b>APOLOGIES</b>	<b>CC19 – 2015</b>
-----------	------------------	--------------------

Apologies for absence were received from County Councillors R.I. George, E.A. Jones, Eldrydd Jones, M.C. Mackenzie, W.D. Powell, K.S. Silk and T.G. Turner.

<b>2.</b>	<b>DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST</b>	<b>CC20 – 2015</b>
-----------	---------------------------------	--------------------

County Councillor D.E. Davies declared a personal and prejudicial interest in respect of leisure centre management.

County Councillor E.M. Jones declared a personal, non prejudicial interest as a director of East Radnor Day Centre and as Chairman of Radnor Valley Old School Resource Centre.

County Councillor G.J. Bowker declared a personal, non prejudicial interest as a Council appointed representative of Theatr Hafren and as a governor of Ysgol Cedewain.

County Councillor M.J. Dorrance declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a director of Brecon and District MIND and a governor of Priory Church in Wales

School. He declared a personal and prejudicial interest in the item on the LDP Delivery Agreement

County Councillor S. McNicholas declared a personal, non prejudicial interest as a Trustee of the Miners Welfare Hall in Ystradgynlais.

3.	<b>BUDGET FOR 2015-16, MEDIUM TERM FINANCIAL STRATEGY 2015-2018 AND CAPITAL PROGRAMME 2015-2020</b>	<b>CC21 – 2015</b>
----	---	--------------------

The Portfolio Holder for Finance presented the budget report. (Copy filed with the signed minutes). A decrease of 4.4% in financial settlement from Welsh Government together with investment and service pressures meant that savings of £11.634m had been identified to produce a balanced budget. The settlement was the second worst in Wales and the position would have been worse if the Council had not benefited from a “floor” which had provided £2.2m funding protection. This floor was discretionary and determined by the Minister for Public Services and could not be guaranteed for future years.

The Portfolio Holder referred to the public budget consultation exercises that had been held that had helped shape the budget and savings proposals. He acknowledged that the turn out for some of the events had been disappointing despite them being well advertised. The proposals had been equality impact assessed to ensure that the potential impact of proposals on particular parts of the community was understood. Members asked that in future equality impact assessments be made available earlier and embedded into the scrutiny process.

The report set out the Capital Strategy for 2015/16 to 2019/20 with a capital commitment totalling £145.946m which would enable the Council to continue to renew its core infrastructure. Also included with the report was the Medium Term Financial Strategy for 2015-18 which provided the framework for future budget modelling.

The proposals included an increase in Council Tax of 4%. Members asked about the implications of setting Council Tax at a level below the Welsh average which was between 4.4% and 4.5%. The Portfolio Holder acknowledged that this could have been set at a higher figure to support services and protect the floor for future years. Members referred to the cost of delivering services in such a large rural area which was not reflected in the settlement. The Leader stressed the importance of setting a realistic level of Council Tax. He advised that had been in touch with colleagues from other rural authorities about the funding formula.

In response to a question it was confirmed that savings on insurance to the lease car scheme agreed in the previous year’s budget had been built into the budget. The Strategic Director – Resources was asked to confirm this for County Councillor G.D. Price. The Portfolio Holder for Highways answered questions about car parking and confirmed that there were proposals to introduce charges but a scheme had not been worked up yet. A number of Members raised concerns on behalf of residents who did not have on street parking outside their homes. The Portfolio Holder gave an

assurance that local Members would be consulted before proposals came forward. He also advised that charging for staff at council premises remained under consideration but were not part of the recommendations before Council.

County Councillor E.M. Jones declared a personal, non prejudicial interest as a director of East Radnor Day Centre and as Chairman of Radnor Valley Old School Resource Centre. The Monitoring Officer advised that there was a general exemption granted by the Standards Committee to County Councillors who are members of such Voluntary Organisations where they had been appointed other than by the County Council. In answer to Councillor Jones' question about the asset transfer of community buildings, it was confirmed that there would be no compulsory asset transfers. The Strategic Director – Resources agreed to provide Councillor Jones with a written explanation of the Capital Financing Requirement.

A number of Members referred to the bilingual allowance of £50,000 being withdrawn. It was explained that there was an element of double funding in that funding was given per pupil with the bilingual allowance given to schools on top. Funding for individual pupils would continue and the view of the Schools service was that removing the allowance to schools would not have an impact on bilingual provision.

Members asked about the £1.4m savings target set for public transport and whether this would affect home to school transport and post 16 transport. The Portfolio Holder for Highways advised that there was no change to the school transport policy and that there were no proposals to charge for post 16 transport. The portfolio holder acknowledged the challenge of meeting the savings target for passenger transport services. Consultants had been engaged to report back with proposals and that report was awaited. The political steer that the Portfolio Holder had given to the consultants was that it was important for communities to have access to public transport.

The Portfolio Holder for Property and Assets promised to let Councillor V.E. Evans have details of the occupancy rates of workshops. He gave an assurance that the Council always sought to maximise the amount it received for surplus properties. In response to a question about the sale of Wyeside Caravan Park, the Portfolio Holder for Regeneration and Planning explained that the site would be sold or let as a caravan park to a private provider who understood the market better which would maximise the regeneration opportunities for Rhayader.

The Portfolio Holder for Environment and Sustainability confirmed that 3 weekly collections for residual waste was being looked at. The roll out of kerbside collections had increased the amount of recycling and reduced the amount of residual waste. He also answered questions about the clinical waste collection service provided on behalf of Powys teaching Health Board and confirmed that infectious clinical waste collection would continue as at present. He advised that the service was aiming to become more efficient to enable it to compete with private companies on the collection of trade waste.

A question was asked about what consideration had been given to the risk of people approaching the Council for financial help in paying their council tax. The Strategic

Director – Resources explained that the risk was being assessed along with risks as a result of changes made to the benefits system. The impact would be factored into collection rates which remained at above 99%. He also noted there was support available for pensioners.

County Councillor Bowker declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a Council representative of Theatr Maldwyn and LEA appointed governor of Ysgol Cedewain. In answer to her question about the proposal to withdraw funding for theatres in 2016/17, the Portfolio Holder for Commissioning and Procurement explained that a 100% cut was not a feasible option and that he was negotiating with the theatres on the basis of a 35% cut.

The Portfolio Holder for Adult Social Care and Children's Services answered questions about savings required in social care. He explained that in respect of the meals on wheels service and the remodelling of respite care services, the majority of savings had been achieved in the current financial year. Work was continuing with local groups who continued to provide the meals on wheels service. Adult Social Services would continue to provide support for the vulnerable both through investing in new models of delivery with community groups and, on occasion, through services provided out of county.

County Councillor Dorrance declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a Director of Brecon MIND and an LEA appointed governor of Priory Church in Wales School. He argued against introducing charges for training provision against domestic abuse. He also asked about the Living Wage following the Cabinet decision of September 2014. It was confirmed that the Living Wage would be in the Pay Policy Statement that Council would be asked to approve on 6<sup>th</sup> March. The cost would be in range of between £368k and £418k and would affect 1,132 posts.

County Councillor McNicholas declared a personal non prejudicial interest as a Trustee of the Miners Welfare Hall in Ystradgynlais. She urged the Portfolio Holder to think again about the proposal to reduce funding for the theatres, particularly for the Miners Welfare Hall which didn't receive a grant but had a post funded.

In answer to questions about savings under item 47 of Appendix 3, the Chief Executive explained that the saving in 2015/16 related to the reorganisation of the Pupil Referral Unit. There was a further savings target of £265k in 2016/17 and no assurances could be given in relation to ALN provision which was subject to review. In relation to the savings target for school meals, the Chief Executive explained that this was a cost that should be picked up from the schools delegated budget and would not be achieved by reducing the quality of meals. In respect of the PWC report on secondary school provision, he noted that on occasion the Council did have to buy in external expertise.

In response to a question about the proposal to reduce by 2 the number of homelessness officers, the Portfolio Holder for Commissioning and Procurement explained that the Housing Service was being redesigned and that homelessness would be the responsibility of all housing officers.

County Councillor Alexander put forward an amendment, seconded by County Councillor Dorrance, that item 11 in the savings proposals to introduce charges for training provision for domestic abuse and ASB be deleted from the budget. On the advice of the Section 151 Officer, the proposer and seconder agreed to amend their proposal to include the proviso that the £1,000 shortfall in the budget be funded from the contingency fund. Upon being put to the vote it was

**RESOLVED that item 11 in the savings proposals to introduce charges for training provision for domestic abuse and ASB be deleted from the budget and that the £1,000 shortfall in the budget be funded from the contingency fund.**

The Portfolio Holder for Finance moved the recommendation, duly seconded, that the proposed Revenue Budget for 2015/16 shown in the Financial Resource Model in Appendix 2 of the report as amended by the deletion of item 11 in the savings proposals.

More than 10 Members present called for a recorded vote in respect of recommendations 1, 2 and 3 of the report.

#### Recommendation 1

M.C. Alexander	For
P.J. Ashton	Against
D. Bailey	For
G.R. Banks	For
G.C. Bowker	Against
R.G. Brown	For
J.H. Brunt	For
L.V. Corfield	For
K.W. Curry	Against
A.W. Davies	Against
C.P. Davies	Against
D.E. Davies	For
E.R. Davies	For
L.R.E. Davies	For
M.J.B. Davies	For
S.C. Davies	Against
S. Davies	For
M.J. Dorrance	Against
D.O. Evans	For
V.E. Evans	For
W.J. Evans	For
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absent
R.I. George	Absent
M.R. Harris	For
P. Harris	Against
S.M. Hayes	For
A.Holloway	For

J.C. Holmes	Absent
G.G. Hopkins	For
D.C. Jones	For
D.R. Jones	For
E.A. Jones	Absent
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absent
E.M. Jones	For
G.M. Jones	Against
J. Jones	For
M.J. Jones	For
W.T. Jones	For
F.H. Jump	Against
H. Lewis	For
P.E. Lewis	Against
M. Mackenzie	Absent
D.J. Mayor	For
S. Mc McNicholas	Against
P.J. Medicott	For
D.W. Meredith	Against
R.H. Mills	For
E.T. Morgan	For
G. Morgan	For
J.G. Morris	Against
W.J.T. Powell	For
W.D. Powell	Absent
D.R. Price	For
G.D. Price	Against
P.C. Pritchard	For
G.W. Ratcliffe	For
K.M. Roberts-Jones	For
J.G. Shearer	For
K.S. Silk	Absent
K.F. Tampin	For
A.G. Thomas	For
D.A. Thomas	Against
D.G. Thomas	For
R.G. Thomas	Against
W.B. Thomas	For
T.G. Turner	Absent
T.J. Van Rees	For
G.P. Vaughan	For
G.I.S. Williams	Against
D.H. Williams	Against
J.M. Williams	For
S.L. Williams	Against
A.York	For

The motion was passed by 44 votes to 20.

<b>RESOLVED</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>
<b>1. That the proposed Revenue Budget for 2015/16 shown in the Financial Resource Model in Appendix 2 to the report is approved.</b>	<b>Statutory requirement</b>

The Portfolio Holder for Finance moved recommendation 2 in the report, duly seconded, that the proposed Capital Strategy for 2015/16 shown in Appendix 4 be accepted

M.C. Alexander	Abstain
P.J. Ashton	Against
D. Bailey	For
G.R. Banks	For
G.C. Bowker	Against
R.G. Brown	For
J.H. Brunt	For
L.V. Corfield	For
K.W. Curry	Against
A.W. Davies	Against
C.P. Davies	Against
D.E. Davies	For
E.R. Davies	For
L.R.E. Davies	For
M.J.B. Davies	For
S.C. Davies	For
S. Davies	For
M.J. Dorrance	For
D.O. Evans	For
V.E. Evans	For
W.J. Evans	For
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absent
R.I. George	Absent
M.R. Harris	For
P. Harris	Against
S.M. Hayes	For
A.Holloway	For
J.C. Holmes	Absent
G.G. Hopkins	For
D.C. Jones	For
D.R. Jones	For
E.A. Jones	Absent
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absent
E.M. Jones	For
G.M. Jones	Against
J. Jones	For
M.J. Jones	For

W.T. Jones	For
F.H. Jump	Against
H. Lewis	For
P.E. Lewis	Against
M. Mackenzie	Absent
D.J. Mayor	For
S. Mc McNicholas	For
P.J. Medicott	For
D.W. Meredith	For
R.H. Mills	For
E.T. Morgan	For
G. Morgan	For
J.G. Morris	Against
W.J.T. Powell	For
W.D. Powell	Absent
D.R. Price	For
G.D. Price	Against
P.C. Pritchard	For
G.W. Ratcliffe	For
K.M. Roberts-Jones	For
J.G. Shearer	For
K.S. Silk	Absent
K.F. Tampin	For
A.G. Thomas	For
D.A. Thomas	For
D.G. Thomas	For
R.G. Thomas	Against
W.B. Thomas	For
T.G. Turner	Absent
T.J. Van Rees	For
G.P. Vaughan	For
G.I.S. Williams	Against
D.H. Williams	For
J.M. Williams	For
S.L. Williams	For
A.York	For

The recommendation was passed by 50 votes to 13 votes with 1 abstention.

<b>RESOLVED</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>
<b>2. The proposed Capital Strategy for 2015/16 shown in Appendix 4 is accepted.</b>	<b>Statutory requirement</b>

The Portfolio Holder for Finance moved recommendation 3 in the report, duly seconded, that a Council Tax increase of 4% is included in the report that goes to full council on the 6<sup>th</sup> March 2015.



M.C. Alexander	For
P.J. Ashton	Against
D. Bailey	For
G.R. Banks	For
G.C. Bowker	Against
R.G. Brown	For
J.H. Brunt	For
L.V. Corfield	For
K.W. Curry	Against
A.W. Davies	Against
C.P. Davies	Against
D.E. Davies	For
E.R. Davies	For
L.R.E. Davies	For
M.J.B. Davies	For
S.C. Davies	For
S. Davies	For
M.J. Dorrance	For
D.O. Evans	For
V.E. Evans	For
W.J. Evans	For
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absent
R.I. George	Absent
M.R. Harris	For
P. Harris	Against
S.M. Hayes	For
A.Holloway	For
J.C. Holmes	Absent
G.G. Hopkins	For
D.C. Jones	For
D.R. Jones	For
E.A. Jones	Absent
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absent
E.M. Jones	For
G.M. Jones	Against
J. Jones	For
M.J. Jones	For
W.T. Jones	For
F.H. Jump	Against
H. Lewis	For
P.E. Lewis	Against
M. Mackenzie	Absent
D.J. Mayor	For
S. Mc McNicholas	For
P.J. Medicott	For
D.W. Meredith	For
R.H. Mills	For
E.T. Morgan	For

G. Morgan	For
J.G. Morris	Against
W.J.T. Powell	For
W.D. Powell	Absent
D.R. Price	For
G.D. Price	Against
P.C. Pritchard	For
G.W. Ratcliffe	For
K.M. Roberts-Jones	For
J.G. Shearer	For
K.S. Silk	Absent
K.F. Tampin	For
A.G. Thomas	For
D.A. Thomas	For
D.G. Thomas	For
R.G. Thomas	Against
W.B. Thomas	For
T.G. Turner	Absent
T.J. Van Rees	For
G.P. Vaughan	For
G.I.S. Williams	Against
D.H. Williams	For
J.M. Williams	For
S.L. Williams	For
A.York	For

The recommendation was passed by 51 votes to 13 votes.

<b>RESOLVED</b>	<b>Reason for Decision</b>
<b>3. That a Council Tax increase of 4% is included in the report that goes to Council on 6<sup>th</sup> March.</b>	<b>There is a Statutory Requirement to set Council Tax but the level is a matter for local determination.</b>

The Portfolio Holder for Finance moved recommendations 4 to 9 of the Budget report which were duly seconded.

<b>RESOLVED</b>	<b>Reason for decision:</b>
<b>4. That the Medium Term Financial Strategy for 2015 to 2018 as set out in appendix 1 to the report be agreed in principle.</b>	<b>To aid business planning and development of the budget over a three year period</b>
<b>5. The authorised borrowing limit for 2015/16 as required under section 3(1) of the Local Government Act 2003 be approved as set out in paragraph 7.9 of the report.</b>	<b>Statutory Requirement</b>
<b>6. The Prudential Indicators for 2015/16 are approved as set out in section 8</b>	<b>Statutory Requirement</b>

<b>of the report.</b>	
<b>7. To use Option 1 Regulatory Model for the calculation of MRP in relation to Supported Borrowing.</b>	<b>Statutory Requirement</b>
<b>8. To use Option 3 Asset Life Annuity Method for the calculation of MRP in relation to Prudential Borrowing.</b>	<b>Statutory Requirement</b>
<b>9. To take advantage of the guidance that allows for MRP to be deferred for assets under construction.</b>	<b>To match the cost of MRP to the use of an asset by a service.</b>

<b>4.</b>	<b>LOCAL DEVELOPMENT PLAN – REVISED DELIVERY AGREEMENT</b>	<b>CC22 – 2015</b>
-----------	--	--------------------

County Councillor Dorrance declared a personal and prejudicial interest in this item and left the meeting.

The Portfolio Holder for Regeneration and Planning introduced Mark Newey Head of Development Plans at Welsh Government and Neil Hemmington (Chief Planner). Mr Newby explained that Welsh Government was a statutory consultee on the Local Development Plan and that their role was to compare the content of the plan to national policies. At the deposit stage in September 2014 Welsh Government raised concerns regarding the evidence base relating in particular to economic growth and housing provision which could impact on the soundness of the plan on inspection. Welsh Government advice was to pause the plan to collect evidence so that when the plan was submitted to the independent examiner it was fully supported by evidence. The Principal Planning Policy & Built Heritage Officer (North) explained what actions were being taken to address Welsh Government concerns and strengthen the evidence base. In order to provide the additional evidence to support the LDP and repeat the Deposit LDP consultation stage it would be necessary to revise the timetable in the LDP Delivery Agreement.

The Principal Planning Policy & Built Heritage Officer (North) confirmed that anyone who had already made representations would be alerted to the fact that they would need to resubmit their representations.

Mr Hemmington explained that in the gap between the expiry of the UDP and the adoption of the LDP the Council was at risk and therefore the Council must follow the Delivery Agreement and deliver the plan as soon as possible. He advised that the risk of a slight delay at this stage was better than taking an unsound plan to examination. The Welsh Government officers advised the Council to look to neighbouring authorities with adopted LDPs for examples of good practice. The risk could be mitigated by having robust evidence on, for example, where there was a need for housing within the county and this is where the Council needed to concentrate its efforts in the short term. In response to a Member's question it was acknowledged that the Council was at risk on renewables, particularly solar developments. This was an area that Welsh Government felt the evidence in the plan was lacking. The production, however, of a robust Renewables policy with a

strong evidence base would provide some mitigation against this risk. Without a local policy in place the Council would be relying on national policies.

<b>RESOLVED</b>	<b>Reason for Decision:</b>
<b>To approve the revised LDP Delivery Agreement (Appendix 1 to the report filed with the signed minutes).</b>	<b>As required by Regulation 9 of The Town and Country Planning (Local Development Plan) (Wales) Regulations 2005</b>  <b>To enable submission of a revised DA to the Welsh Government for its agreement.</b>

<b>5.</b>	<b>QUESTION TO THE PORTFOLIO HOLDER FOR ENVIRONMENT AND SUSTAINABILITY FROM COUNTY COUNCILLOR STEPHEN HAYES</b>	<b>CC23 – 2015</b>
-----------	---	--------------------

Changes to clinical waste collections have recently been notified to service users, only ten days before implementation. The changes concern the definition of ‘clinical waste’, and many items previously included in the yellow bag collections will now be excluded, reducing substantially the number of residents eligible for this service.

Notably, used colostomy bags will not now be regarded as clinical waste, and service users have been told to place these in their normal household residual waste, currently collected fortnightly but possibly soon to be collected on a three-weekly cycle.

Service users are extremely concerned and in some cases very distressed about this change. Users of the clinical waste collections typically have long term health needs and are frequently sensitive about their need to access this particular service.

Service users have been told that hygiene issues are addressed by the use of wheelie bins. However, many were issued with purple bags for household waste collection because their wider health circumstances, and/or the nature of their property (eg numbers of steps to reach the roadway) make the use of wheelie bins impracticable. These residents are left with the prospect of having used colostomy pouches stored in their homes as domestic rubbish for up to three weeks.

I appreciate that clinical waste collection is carried out by the authority on behalf of Powys tHB, but I am concerned that this authority has accepted too readily the re-definition of clinical waste with a view to reducing the costs and charges relating to this service.

**Is the portfolio holder satisfied that if colostomy bags are to be treated as general waste all possible hygiene concerns have been addressed, and that the particular challenges facing those who are now losing this service, in following the new procedures, have been assessed and attended to on an individual basis?**

1. *Please note that the service is the responsibility of PtHB and should have been undertaken by them a considerable time ago. The responsibility for deciding who receives the service going forward rests with them. Please be advised that we have for a number of years undertaken the service upon the PtHB's request at no charge and that simply cannot continue.*
2. *I have been advised that the operational cost of the service is around £150k per annum, I suspect that the true cost is considerably higher as this take no account of admin, supervision, corporate costs etc.*
3. *There will be a greatly reduced cost to the authority going forward. Clearly some waste will be entering the residual waste stream but the volume and weight will be limited. We will be paying landfill disposal rates on this material and not clinical waste treatment costs at a site in Wrexham. The two previously dedicated vehicles have been decommissioned and the staff assigned to other duties.*
4. *Very approximately we were previously providing a service to around 350 residents. From the 01/02/15 this service has been transferred to PtHB. They have reviewed our customer database and the individual waste streams and intend providing the service to around 65 residents.*
5. *There is always concern when such changes are made and I agree that we are dealing with some of our most vulnerable members of society. This is however an important and necessary change. It is not simply about saving money as we were advised by the PtHB that the service was in breach of clinical waste guidelines.*
6. *No decision has been made on 3 weekly collections and it would be a little premature at this stage to speculate on this matter, it has however been identified in our budget strategy as a potential efficiency saving. As with any such service change mitigation measures would need to be considered and that could include additional services for producers of non -infectious hygiene waste.*
7. *It is not possible to confirm that "all possible hygiene concerns" have been addressed as that is a matter for individual householders. However the measures proposed comply with the latest guidance and are in keeping with other Welsh Local Authorities.*
8. *If you can provide the details of your concerned constituents I will make sure that the waste team contact them personally to ensure as smooth as possible transition to the new service.*

In response to Councillor Hayes' supplementary question as to whether the Portfolio Holder would be prepared to approach the WLGA and other local authorities who had already successfully gone through this process to see what steps the Council could take to ensure that people suffered the minimum of detriment, Councillor Powell explained that the Council had not taken advantage of the offer from the WLGA and Welsh Health Estates for additional support in 2010 because the Council and Powys tHB were already working closely together. Discussions had taken place with Powys tHB in April 2014 giving plenty of notice of the transfer. The Portfolio Holder confirmed that officers would contact concerned residents.

**L.R.E. DAVIES**  
**CHAIR**

**COFNODION CYFARFOD Y CYNGOR SIR A GYNHALIWDYD YN NEUADD Y SIR,  
LLANDRINDOD AR DDYDD MERCHER 11 CHWEFROR  
2015**

PRESENNOL: Cynghorydd Sir L.R.E. Davies (Cadeirydd)

Cynghorwyr Sir M.C. Alexander, P.J. Ashton, D. Bailey, G.R. Banks, G. Bowker, R.G. Brown, J.H. Brunt, L.V. Corfield, K.W. Curry, A.W. Davies, C. Davies, D.E. Davies, E.R. Davies, M.J.B. Davies, S.C. Davies, S. Davies, M.J. Dorrance, D.O. Evans, V.E. Evans W.J. Evans, M.R. Harris, P. Harris, S.M. Hayes, A. Holloway, G.G. Hopkins, D.C. Jones, D.R. Jones, E.M. Jones, G.M. Jones, J.R. Jones, M.J. Jones, W.T. Jones, F.H. Jump, H. Lewis, P.E. Lewis, D.J. Mayor, S. McNicholas, P.J. Medicott, D.W. Meredith, R.H. Mills, E.T. Morgan, G. Morgan, J.G. Morris, W.J.T. Powell, D.R. Price, G.D. Price, P.C. Pritchard, G.W. Ratcliffe, K.M. Roberts-Jones, J.G. Shearer, K.F. Tampin, A.G. Thomas, D.A. Thomas, D.G. Thomas, R.G. Thomas, W.B. Thomas, T.J. Van Rees, G.P. Vaughan, D.H. Williams, G. Williams, J.M. Williams, S.L. Williams ac A. York.

Talodd y Cynghorwyr Sir D.R. Price a T.J. Van Rees deyrnged i Mr J.T.H. Davies, aelod o Gyngor Sir Powys rhwng 1973 a 1996 a Chadeirydd y Cyngor yn 1985/86, a fu farw yn 101 oed. Dechreuodd gyrfu Mr Davies o fewn gwasanaethau cyhoeddus yn 1948 pan etholwyd ef ar Gyngor Dosbarth Gwledig Llanfair-ym-Muallt. Cododd yr aelodau a swyddogion ar eu traed fel arwydd o barch.

Mynegodd y Cadeirydd longyfarchiadau i'r Anrhydeddus Mrs Shan Legge-Bourke sydd wedi derbyn teitl Bonesig Cadlywydd y Drefn Fictoraidd Frenhinol yn Anrhydeddau Blwyddyn Newydd y Frenhines am ei gwaith ym Mhowys a Chymru.

<b>1.</b>	<b>YMDDIHEURIADAU</b>	<b>CC19 – 2015</b>
-----------	-----------------------	--------------------

Derbyniwyd ymddiheuriadau am absenoldeb oddi wrth y Cynghorwyr Sir R.I. George, E.A. Jones, Eldrydd Jones, M.C. Mackenzie, W.D. Powell, K.S. Silk a T.G. Turner.

<b>2.</b>	<b>DATGANIADAU DIDDORDEB</b>	<b>CC20 – 2015</b>
-----------	------------------------------	--------------------

Fe wnaeth y Cynghorydd Sir D.E. Davies ddatgan diddordeb personol sy'n rhagfarnus o ran rheoli canolfannau hamdden.

Fe wnaeth y Cynghorydd Sir E.M. Jones ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cyfarwyddwr Canolfan Ddydd Dwyrain Maesyfed a Chadeirydd Canolfan Adnoddau Hen Ysgol Dyffryn Maesyfed.

Fe wnaeth y Cynghorydd Sir G.J. Bowker ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cynrychiolydd a benodir gan y Cyngor ar gyfer Theatr Hafren ac fel llywodraethwr yn Ysgol Cedewain.

Fe wnaeth y Cyngorydd Sir M.J. Dorrance ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cyfarwyddwr MIND Aberhonddu a'r Cylch ac fel llywodraethwr Ysgol yr Eglwys yng Nghymru Priordy. Datganodd ddiddordeb personol sy'n rhagfarnus yn yr eitem ar y Cytundeb Cyflwyno'r Cynllun Datblygu Lleol.

Fe wnaeth y Cyngorydd Sir S. McNicholas ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel Ymddiriedolwr gyda Neuadd Les y Glowyr yn Ystradgynlais.

3.	<b>CYLLIDEB AR GYFER 2015-16, STRATEGAETH ARIANNOL TYMOR CANOLIG 2015-2018 A RHAGLEN GYFALAF 2015-2020</b>	<b>CC21 – 2015</b>
----	--	--------------------

Cyflwynodd yr Aelod Portffolio Cyllid yr adroddiad ar y gyllideb. (Copi wedi'i ffeilio gyda chofnodion sydd wedi'u harwyddo). Mae gostyngiad o 4.4% yn y setliad ariannol gan Lywodraeth Cymru ynghyd â phwysau ar wasanaethau a buddsoddiadau yn golygu fod cynilion o £11.634m wedi cael eu dynodi i lunio cyllideb gytbwys. Y setliad oedd yr ail gyllideb waethaf yng Nghymru a gallai'r sefyllfa fod yn waeth petai'r Cyngor heb gael budd o 'lawr' a gynigiodd amddiffyniad o £2.2miliwn mewn nawdd. Mae'r llawr hwn yn ddewisol ac fe'i penderfynwyd gan y Gweinidog Gwasanaethau Cyhoeddus ond ni ellir ei sicrhau ar gyfer y blynyddoedd sydd i ddod.

Cyfeiriodd yr Aelod Portffolio at yr ymarferion ymgynghori cyhoeddus ar y gyllideb sydd wedi'u cynnal i siapio'r gyllideb a chynigion ar gyfer arbedion. Fe wnaeth gydnabod mai siomedig fu'n nifer a ddaeth i rai digwyddiadau er gwaetha'r ffaith iddynt gael eu hysbysebu'n dda. Cynhaliwyd asesiad effaith cydraddoldeb ar y cynigion i sicrhau fod effaith bosibl y cynigion ar rannau penodol o'r gymuned yn cael ei ddeall. Gofynnodd yr aelodau am gynnal asesiadau effaith cydraddoldeb yn gynharach yn y dyfodol a'u mewnosod yn y broses graffu.

Fe wnaeth yr adroddiad nodi'r Strategaeth Gyfalaf ar gyfer 2015/16 hyd at 2019/20 gydag ymrwymiad cyfalaf sy'n gyfanswm o £145.946m a fyddai'n galluogi'r Cyngor i barhau i adnewyddu ei seilwaith craidd. Wedi'i gynnwys o fewn yr adroddiad hefyd oedd y Strategaeth Ariannol Tymor Canolig ar gyfer 2015-18 a gyflwynodd y fframwaith i fodlu cyllidebau yn y dyfodol.

Roedd y cynigion yn cynnwys cynnydd o 4% yn Nhreth y Cyngor. Gofynnodd yr aelodau am y goblygiadau o osod Treth y Cyngor ar lefel sy'n is na'r cyfartaledd Cymreig oedd rhwng 4.4% a 4.5%. Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio gydnabod y gellid bod wedi gosod hwn ar ffigwr uwch i gefnogi gwasanaethau a diogelu'r llawr am y blynyddoedd i ddod. Cyfeiriodd yr Aelodau at y gost o gyflwyno gwasanaethau mewn ardal wledig mor fawr nad oedd wedi cael ei adlewyrchu yn y setliad. Pwysleisiodd yr Arweinydd bwysigrwydd gosod lefel Treth y Cyngor sy'n realistig. Dywedodd ei fod wedi bod mewn cysylltiad â chydweithwyr o awdurdodau gwledig eraill ynglŷn â'r fformwla ariannu.

Mewn ymateb i gwestiwn, cadarnhawyd fod arbedion ar yswiriant i'r cynllun car prydles a gytunwyd yng nghyllideb y flwyddyn flaenorol wedi cael eu cynnwys yn y gyllideb. Gofynnwyd i'r Cyfarwyddwr Strategol - Adnoddau gadarnhau hyn ar gyfer y



Cynghorydd Sir G.D. Price. Atebodd yr Aelod Portffolio Priffyrdd gwestiynau am barcio ceir a chadarnhaodd bod cynigion i gyflwyno taliadau ond nad oedd cynllun wedi'i lunio eto. Mynegodd nifer o Aelodau bryderon ar ran trigolion sydd heb gynllun parcio ar y stryd y tu allan i'w cartrefi. Rhoddodd yr Aelod Portffolio sicrwydd y byddai trafodaeth yn cael ei chynnal gydag Aelodau lleol cyn cyflwyno'r cynigion. Dywedodd hefyd fod codi tâl ar staff i barcio ar eiddo'r cyngor yn parhau i gael ei ystyried ond nid oedd y cynigion hyn yn rhan o'r argymhellion gerbron y Cyngor.

Datganodd y Cynghorydd Sir E.M. Jones ddiddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cyfarwyddwr Canolfan Ddydd Dwyrain Maesyfed a Chadeirydd Canolfan Adnoddau Hen Ysgol Dyffryn Maesyfed. Dywedodd y Swyddog Monitro fod eithriad cyffredinol yn cael ei gyflwyno gan y Pwyllgor Safonau i Gynghorwyr Sir sy'n aelodau o Gyrrff Gwirfoddol o'r fath lle maent wedi cael eu hapwyntio gan gyrrff eraill yn hytrach na'r Cyngor Sir. Mewn ateb i gwestiwn y Cynghorydd Jones am drosglwyddo asedau adeiladau cymunedol, cadarnhawyd na fyddai trosglwyddiadau asedau gorfodol. Cytunodd y Cyfarwyddwr Strategol - Adnoddau i roi esboniad ysgrifenedig i'r Cynghorydd Jones gydag esboniad ysgrifenedig am y Gofyn am Gyllid Cyfalaf.

Cyfeiriodd nifer o Aelodau at y lwfans dwyieithrwydd o £50,000 yn cael ei dynnu'n ôl. Esboniwyd bod elfen o nawdd dwbl, yn yr ystyr bod nawdd yn cael ei roi fesul disgybl gyda'r lwfans dwyieithrwydd i ysgolion ar ben hynny. Byddai nawdd i ddisgyblion unigol yn parhau a barn y gwasanaeth Ysgolion oedd na fyddai tynnu'r lwfans oddi ar ysgolion yn cael effaith ar ddarpariaeth ddwyieithog.

Gofynnodd yr aelodau am y targed cynilion o £1.4 miliwn a osodwyd ar gyfer cludiant cyhoeddus ac a fyddai hwn yn effeithio ar gludiant o'r cartref i'r ysgol a chludiant ôl-16 ai peidio. Dywedodd yr Aelod Portffolio Priffyrdd nad oes unrhyw newid yn y polisi cludiant ysgol ac nad oes unrhyw gynigion i godi tâl ar gyfer cludiant ôl-16. Fe wnaeth yr aelod portffolio gydnabod yr her o gwrdd â'r targed cynilion ar gyfer y gwasanaethau cludo teithwyr. Roedd ymgynghorwyr wedi cael eu cyflogi i adrodd yn ôl gyda chynigion, gyda disgwyl i'r adroddiad gael ei gyflwyno. Y cyfeiriad gwleidyddol a roddwyd gan yr Aelod Portffolio i'r ymgynghorwyr oedd ei bod hi'n bwysig i gymunedau gael mynediad at gludiant cyhoeddus.

Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio Eiddo ac Asedau addo i roi manylion i'r Cynghorydd V.E. Evans am gyfraddau meddiannu gweithdai. Rhoddodd sicrwydd fod y Cyngor o hyd yn ceisio cynyddu'r swm y mae'n ei dderbyn ar eiddo dros ben. Mewn ymateb i gwestiwn am werthu Parc Carafannau Wyeside, esboniodd yr Aelod Portffolio Adfywio a Chynllunio y byddai'r safle'n cael ei werthu neu ei osod fel parc carafannau i ddarparwr preifat a oedd yn deall y farchnad yn well gan gynyddu'r cyfleoedd adfywio ar gyfer Rhaeadr Gwy.

Cadarnhaodd yr Aelod Portffolio Amgylchedd a Chynladwyedd fod casgliadau pob 3 wythnos ar gyfer gwastraff dros ben yn cael ei archwilio. Roedd cyflwyno'r cynllun casgliadau o fin y ffordd wedi cynyddu cyfanswm y deunyddiau a ailgylchir a gostwng cyfanswm y gwastraff sydd dros ben. Atebodd gwestiynau hefyd am y gwasanaeth casglu gwastraff clinigol a ddarperir ar ran Bwrdd Iechyd Addysgu Powys a chadarnhaodd y byddai'r casgliad gwastraff clinigol yn parhau fel ag y mae ar hyn o bryd. Dywedodd mai nod y gwasanaeth oedd bod yn fwy effeithlon er mwyn ei alluogi i gystadlu gyda chwmnïau preifat ar gasglu gwastraff masnachol.

Gofynnwyd cwestiwn am ba ystyriaeth ar roddwyd i'r perygl o bobl yn cysylltu â'r Cyngor i ofyn am help ariannol wrth dalu eu treth cyngor. Esboniodd y Cyfarwyddwr Strategol - Adnoddau fod y risg yn cael ei asesu ynghyd â chanlyniad newidiadau i'r system fudd-daliadau. Byddai'r effaith yn cael ei ffactoreiddio i mewn i gyfraddau casglu a oedd yn parhau'n uwch na 99%. Nododd hefyd fod cymorth ar gael i bensiynwyr.

Fe wnaeth y Cyngorydd Sir Bowker ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cynrychiolydd a benodir gan y Cyngor ar gyfer Theatr Hafren ac fel llywodraethwr yn Ysgol Cedewain. Mewn ymateb i'w cwestiwn am y cynnig i dynnu nawdd yn ôl ar gyfer theatrau yn 2016/17, esboniodd yr Aelod Portffolio Comisiynu a Chaffael nad oedd toriad o 100% yn ddewis dichonadwy a'i fod yn trafod gyda'r theatrau ar sail toriad o 35%.

Atebodd yr Aelod Portffolio Gofal Cymdeithasol i Oedolion a Gwasanaethau Plant gwestiynau am y cynilion sydd eu hangen o fewn gofal cymdeithasol. O ran y gwasanaeth pryd ar glud ac ailfodelu gwasanaethau gofal seibiant, dywedodd bod mwyafrif y cynilion wedi cael eu cyflawni yn ystod y flwyddyn ariannol bresennol. Roedd gwaith yn parhau gyda grwpiau lleol a oedd yn parhau i ddarparu'r gwasanaeth pryd ar glud. Byddai Gwasanaethau Cymdeithasol i Oedolion yn parhau i gyflwyno cymorth i'r bregus trwy fuddsoddi mewn modelau cyflwyno newydd gyda grwpiau cymunedol, ac yn achlysurol, trwy wasanaethau a ddarperir y tu allan i'r sir.

Fe wnaeth y Cyngorydd Sir Dorrance ddatgan diddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel cyfarwyddwr MIND Aberhonddu a'r Cylch ac fel llywodraethwr Ysgol yr Eglwys yng Nghymru Priordy. Dadleuodd yn erbyn cyflwyno taliadau ar gyfer darparu hyfforddiant yn erbyn trais yn y cartref. Gofynnodd hefyd am y Cyflog Byw yn dilyn penderfyniad y Cabinet ym mis Medi 2014. Cadarnhaodd y byddai'r Cyflog Byw yn y Datganiad Polisi Cyflogau y byddai gofyn i'r Cyngor ei gadarnhau ar 6 Mawrth. Byddai'r gost rhwng £368,000 a £418,000 ac yn effeithio ar 1,132 o swyddi.

Datganodd y Cyngorydd Sir McNicholas ddiddordeb personol heb fod yn rhagfarnus fel Ymddiriedolwr gyda Neuadd Les y Glowyr yn Ystradgynlais. Fe wnaeth gymell yr Aelod Portffolio i feddwl eto am y cynigion i ostwng nawdd ar gyfer y theatrau, yn enwedig Neuadd Les y Glowyr nad yw'n derbyn grant ond sydd â swydd i'w hariannu.

Mewn ateb i gwestiynau am gynilion dan eitem 47 o fewn Atodiad 3, esboniodd y Prif Weithredwr yr arbedion yn 2015/16 o ran ad-drefnu'r Unedau Cyfeirio Disgyblion. Cafwyd targed pellach o ran cynilion o £265,000 yn 2016/17 ac ni ellid rhoi sicrwydd mewn perthynas â darpariaeth ADY, sy'n destun arolwg. Mewn perthynas â'r targed cynilion gyda phrydau ysgol, esboniodd y Prif Weithredwr mai cost oedd hon y gellid darparu ar ei gyfer o gyllidebau dirprwyedig yr ysgolion ac na ellid ei gyflawni trwy leihau ansawdd y prydau. O ran adroddiad PWC ar ddarpariaeth ysgolion uwchradd, nododd fod rhaid i'r Cyngor brynu arbenigedd allanol ar brydiau.

Mewn ymateb i gwestiwn am y cynnig i gael gostyngiad o 2 yn nifer y swyddogion digartrefedd, esboniodd yr Aelod Portffolio Comisiynu a Chaffael fod y Gwasanaeth

Tai yn cael ei ailgynllunio ac y byddai digartrefedd yn gyfrifoldeb i'r holl swyddogion tai.

Cyflwynodd y Cynghorydd Sir Alexander ddiwygiad, a eiliwyd gan y Cynghorydd Sir, y dylid dileu eitem 11 yn y cynigion arbedion i gyflwyno taliadau ar gyfer darparu hyfforddiant ar gyfer trais yn y cartref ac ymddygiad gwrthgymdeithasol o'r gyllideb. Ar gyngor y Swyddog Adran 151, cytunodd y cynigiwr a'r eilydd i ddiwygio eu cynnig ar yr amod y gellid ariannu'r diffyg o £1,000 yn y gyllideb o'r gronfa wrth gefn. Wedi pleidleisio

**PENDERFYNWYD y dylid dileu eitem 11 yn y cynigion arbedion i gyflwyno taliadau ar gyfer darparu hyfforddiant ar gyfer trais yn y cartref ac ymddygiad gwrthgymdeithasol o'r gyllideb ac y dylid ariannu'r diffyg o £1,000 yn y gyllideb o'r gronfa wrth gefn.**

Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio Cyllid symud yr argymelliad y dylid diwygio'r Gyllideb Refeniw arfaethedig ar gyfer 2015/16 a ddangosir yn y Model Adnoddau Ariannol yn Atodiad 2 o'r adroddiad trwy ddileu eitem 11 yn y cynigion cynilo, ac fe'i heiliwyd fel yn briodol.

Gofynnodd mwy na 10 Aelod oedd yn bresennol am gofnodi'r bleidlais o ran argymhellion 1, 2 a 3 o fewn yr adroddiad.

Argymhelliad 1 1

M.C. Alexander	O Blaid
P.J. Ashton	Yn Erbyn
D. Bailey	O Blaid
G.R. Banks	O Blaid
G.C. Bowker	Yn Erbyn
R.G. Brown	O Blaid
J.H. Brunt	O Blaid
L.V. Corfield	O Blaid
K.W. Curry	Yn Erbyn
A.W. Davies	Yn Erbyn
C.P. Davies	Yn Erbyn
D.E. Davies	O Blaid
E.R. Davies	O Blaid
L.R.E. Davies	O Blaid
M.J.B. Davies	O Blaid
S.C. Davies	Yn Erbyn
S. Davies	O Blaid
M.J. Dorrance	Yn Erbyn
D.O. Evans	O Blaid
V.E. Evans	O Blaid
W.J. Evans	O Blaid
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absennol
R.I. George	Absennol
M.R. Harris	O Blaid

P. Harris	Yn Erbyn
S.M. Hayes	O Blaid
A.Holloway	O Blaid
J.C. Holmes	Absennol
G.G. Hopkins	O Blaid
D.C. Jones	O Blaid
D.R. Jones	O Blaid
E.A. Jones	Absennol
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absennol
E.M. Jones	O Blaid
G.M. Jones	Yn Erbyn
J. Jones	O Blaid
M.J. Jones	O Blaid
W.T. Jones	O Blaid
F.H. Jump	Yn Erbyn
H. Lewis	O Blaid
P.E. Lewis	Yn Erbyn
M. Mackenzie	Absennol
D.J. Mayor	O Blaid
S. Mc McNicholas	Yn Erbyn
P.J. Medlicott	O Blaid
D.W. Meredith	Yn Erbyn
R.H. Mills	O Blaid
E.T. Morgan	O Blaid
G. Morgan	O Blaid
J.G. Morris	Yn Erbyn
W.J.T. Powell	O Blaid
W.D. Powell	Absennol
D.R. Price	O Blaid
G.D. Price	Yn Erbyn
P.C. Pritchard	O Blaid
G.W. Ratcliffe	O Blaid
K.M. Roberts-Jones	O Blaid
J.G. Shearer	O Blaid
K.S. Silk	Absennol
K.F. Tampin	O Blaid
A.G. Thomas	O Blaid
D.A. Thomas	Yn Erbyn
D.G. Thomas	O Blaid
R.G. Thomas	Yn Erbyn
W.B. Thomas	O Blaid
T.G. Turner	Absennol
T.J. Van Rees	O Blaid
G.P. Vaughan	O Blaid
G.I.S. Williams	Yn Erbyn
D.H. Williams	Yn Erbyn
J.M. Williams	O Blaid
S.L. Williams	Yn Erbyn

A.York	O Blaid
--------	---------

Cafodd y cynnig ei basio gan 44 pleidlais i 20 pleidlais.

<b>PENDERFYNWYD</b>	<b>Rheswm dros y Penderfyniad</b>
<b>4. Y dylid cymeradwyo'r Gyllideb Refeniw arfaethedig ar gyfer 2015/16 a ddangosir yn y Model Adnoddau Ariannol yn Atodiad 2 i'r adroddiad.</b>	<b>Gofyniad statudol</b>

Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio Cyllid symud argymhelliad 2 yn yr adroddiad y dylid derbyn y Strategaeth Gyfalaf arfaethedig ar gyfer 2015/16 a ddangosir yn Atodiad 4 ac fe'i heiliwyd fel yn briodol.

M.C. Alexander	Ymatal
P.J. Ashton	Yn Erbyn
D. Bailey	O Blaid
G.R. Banks	O Blaid
G.C. Bowker	Yn Erbyn
R.G. Brown	O Blaid
J.H. Brunt	O Blaid
L.V. Corfield	O Blaid
K.W. Curry	Yn Erbyn
A.W. Davies	Yn Erbyn
C.P. Davies	Yn Erbyn
D.E. Davies	O Blaid
E.R. Davies	O Blaid
L.R.E. Davies	O Blaid
M.J.B. Davies	O Blaid
S.C. Davies	O Blaid
S. Davies	O Blaid
M.J. Dorrance	O Blaid
D.O. Evans	O Blaid
V.E. Evans	O Blaid
W.J. Evans	O Blaid
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absennol
R.I. George	Absennol
M.R. Harris	O Blaid
P. Harris	Yn Erbyn
S.M. Hayes	O Blaid
A.Holloway	O Blaid
J.C. Holmes	Absennol
G.G. Hopkins	O Blaid
D.C. Jones	O Blaid
D.R. Jones	O Blaid
E.A. Jones	Absennol
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absennol

E.M. Jones	O Blaid
G.M. Jones	Yn Erbyn
J. Jones	O Blaid
M.J. Jones	O Blaid
W.T. Jones	O Blaid
F.H. Jump	Yn Erbyn
H. Lewis	O Blaid
P.E. Lewis	Yn Erbyn
M. Mackenzie	Absennol
D.J. Mayor	O Blaid
S. Mc McNicholas	O Blaid
P.J. Medlicott	O Blaid
D.W. Meredith	O Blaid
R.H. Mills	O Blaid
E.T. Morgan	O Blaid
G. Morgan	O Blaid
J.G. Morris	Yn Erbyn
W.J.T. Powell	O Blaid
W.D. Powell	Absennol
D.R. Price	O Blaid
G.D. Price	Yn Erbyn
P.C. Pritchard	O Blaid
G.W. Ratcliffe	O Blaid
K.M. Roberts-Jones	O Blaid
J.G. Shearer	O Blaid
K.S. Silk	Absennol
K.F. Tampin	O Blaid
A.G. Thomas	O Blaid
D.A. Thomas	O Blaid
D.G. Thomas	O Blaid
R.G. Thomas	Yn Erbyn
W.B. Thomas	O Blaid
T.G. Turner	Absennol
T.J. Van Rees	O Blaid
G.P. Vaughan	O Blaid
G.I.S. Williams	Yn Erbyn
D.H. Williams	O Blaid
J.M. Williams	O Blaid
S.L. Williams	O Blaid
A.York	O Blaid

Cafodd yr argymhelliad ei basio gan 50 pleidlais i 13 pleidlais gydag 1 yn ymatal rhag pleidleisio.

<b>PENDERFYNWYD</b>	<b>Rheswm dros y Penderfyniad</b>
<b>5. Y dylid derbyn y Strategaeth Gyfalaf ar gyfer 2015/16 a ddangosir yn</b>	<b>Gofyniad statudol</b>

<b>Atodiad 4.</b>	
-------------------	--

Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio Cyllid symud argymhelliad 3 yn yr adroddiad y dylid cyflwyno'r cynnydd o 4% yn Nhreth y Cyngor sydd wedi'i gynnwys yn yr adroddiad gerbron y cyngor llawn ar y 6 Mawrth 2015 ac fe'i heiliwyd fel yn briodol.

M.C. Alexander	O Blaid
P.J. Ashton	Yn Erbyn
D. Bailey	O Blaid
G.R. Banks	O Blaid
G.C. Bowker	Yn Erbyn
R.G. Brown	O Blaid
J.H. Brunt	O Blaid
L.V. Corfield	O Blaid
K.W. Curry	Yn Erbyn
A.W. Davies	Yn Erbyn
C.P. Davies	Yn Erbyn
D.E. Davies	O Blaid
E.R. Davies	O Blaid
L.R.E. Davies	O Blaid
M.J.B. Davies	O Blaid
S.C. Davies	O Blaid
S. Davies	O Blaid
M.J. Dorrance	O Blaid
D.O. Evans	O Blaid
V.E. Evans	O Blaid
W.J. Evans	O Blaid
W.A. Fitzpatrick	Absennol
R.I. George	Absennol
M.R. Harris	O Blaid
P. Harris	Yn Erbyn
S.M. Hayes	O Blaid
A.Holloway	O Blaid
J.C. Holmes	Absennol
G.G. Hopkins	O Blaid
D.C. Jones	O Blaid
D.R. Jones	O Blaid
E.A. Jones	Absennol
Eldrydd M. Jones	Absennol
E.M. Jones	O Blaid
G.M. Jones	Yn Erbyn
J. Jones	O Blaid
M.J. Jones	O Blaid
W.T. Jones	O Blaid
F.H. Jump	Yn Erbyn
H. Lewis	O Blaid
P.E. Lewis	Yn Erbyn
M. Mackenzie	Absennol

D.J. Mayor	O Blaid
S. Mc McNicholas	O Blaid
P.J. Medicott	O Blaid
D.W. Meredith	O Blaid
R.H. Mills	O Blaid
E.T. Morgan	O Blaid
G. Morgan	O Blaid
J.G. Morris	Yn Erbyn
W.J.T. Powell	O Blaid
W.D. Powell	Absennol
D.R. Price	O Blaid
G.D. Price	Yn Erbyn
P.C. Pritchard	O Blaid
G.W. Ratcliffe	O Blaid
K.M. Roberts-Jones	O Blaid
J.G. Shearer	O Blaid
K.S. Silk	Absennol
K.F. Tampin	O Blaid
A.G. Thomas	O Blaid
D.A. Thomas	O Blaid
D.G. Thomas	O Blaid
R.G. Thomas	Yn Erbyn
W.B. Thomas	O Blaid
T.G. Turner	Absennol
T.J. Van Rees	O Blaid
G.P. Vaughan	O Blaid
G.I.S. Williams	Yn Erbyn
D.H. Williams	O Blaid
J.M. Williams	O Blaid
S.L. Williams	O Blaid
A.York	O Blaid

Cafodd yr argymhelliad ei basio gan 51 pleidlais i 13 pleidlais.

<b>PENDERFYNWYD</b>	<b>Rheswm dros y Penderfyniad</b>
<b>6. Y dylid cynnwys y cynnydd o 4% yn Nhreth y Cyngor yn yr adroddiad sy'n mynd gerbron y Cyngor ar 6 Mawrth.</b>	<b>Mae yna Ofyniad Statudol i osod Treth y Cyngor ond mae'r lefel yn fater i'w benderfynu yn lleol.</b>

Fe wnaeth yr Aelod Portffolio Cyllid symud argymhellion 4 i 9 o fewn adroddiad y Gyllideb a eiliwyd fel yn briodol.

<b>PENDERFYNWYD</b>	<b>Rheswm dros y Penderfyniad:</b>
<b>4. Y dylid cytuno ar y Strategaeth Ariannol Tymor Canolig ar gyfer 2015 i 2018 fel y nodir yn atodiad 1 i'r adroddiad mewn egwyddor.</b>	<b>Cynorthwyo gyda chynllunio busnes a datblygu'r gyllideb dros gyfnod o dair blynedd</b>
<b>5. Cymeradwyo awdurdodi terfyn</b>	<b>Gofyniad Statudol</b>



benthycia ar gyfer 2015/16 fel sy'n ofynnol dan adran 3(1) Deddf Llywodraeth Leol 2003 fel y nodir ym mharagraff 7.9 yr adroddiad.	
6. Cymeradwyo'r Dangosyddion Darbodus ar gyfer 2015/16 fel y nodir yn adran 8 yr adroddiad.	Gofyniad Statudol
7. Defnyddio Dewis 1 fel y Model Rheoleiddio i gyfrifo'r MRP o ran Benthycia a Gefnogir.	Gofyniad Statudol
8. Defnyddio Dewis 3 fel y Dull Blwydd-dal Asedau Bywyd i gyfrifo'r MRP o ran Benthycia Darbodus.	Gofyniad Statudol
9. Manteisio ar y cyfarwyddyd sy'n caniatáu gohirio'r MRP ar gyfer asedau sy'n cael eu hadeiladu.	Cyfateb cost y MRP i'r defnydd o ased gan wasanaeth.

4.	<b>CYNLLUN DATBLYGU LLEOL – CYTUNDEB CYFLWYNO DIWYGIEDIG</b>	<b>CC22 – 2015</b>
----	--	--------------------

Fe wnaeth y Cynghorydd Sir Dorrance ddatgan diddordeb personol sy'n rhagfarnus yn yr eitem hon a gadael y cyfarfod.

Cafodd Mark Newey, Pennaeth Cynlluniau Datblygu yn Llywodraeth Cymru a Neil Hemmington (Prif Gynlluniwr) eu cyflwyno gan yr Aelod Portffolio Adfywio a Chynllunio. Esboniodd Mr Newby mai Llywodraeth Cymru oedd yr ymgynghorai statudol ar y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol ac mai ei rôl oedd cymharu cynnwys y cynllun gyda pholisïau cenedlaethol. Yn ystod y cam adnau ym mis Medi 2014, mynegodd Llywodraeth Cymru bryderon am y sylfaen dystiolaeth o ran twf economaidd a darparu tai yn benodol a allai gael effaith ar gadarnrwydd y cynllun wrth ei archwilio. Cyngor Llywodraeth Cymru oedd oedi gyda'r cynllun er mwyn casglu tystiolaeth fel y byddai'n cael ei gefnogi'n llwyr gan dystiolaeth wedi iddo gael ei gyflwyno i'r archwiliwr annibynnol. Esboniodd y Prif Swyddog Polisi Cynllunio a Threftadaeth Adeiledig (Gogledd) pa gamau gweithredu oedd yn cael eu cymryd i drafod pryderon Llywodraeth Cymru ac atgyfnerthu'r sylfaen dystiolaeth. Er mwyn cyflwyno'r dystiolaeth ychwanegol i gefnogi'r CDLI ac ailadrodd y cam ymgynghori ar y CDLI Adnau, byddai angen diwygio'r amserlen yn y Cytundeb Cyflwyno CDLI.

Cadarnhaodd y Prif Swyddog Polisi Cynllunio a Threftadaeth Adeiledig (Gogledd) y byddai angen rhoi gwybod i unrhyw un sydd wedi gwneud sylwadau eisoes fod angen iddynt ailgyflwyno eu sylwadau.

Esboniodd Mr Hemmington fod y bwlch rhwng y cyfnod pan fyddai'r CDU yn dod i ben a mabwysiadu'r CDLI wedi'i effeithio ac y byddai'n rhaid i'r Cyngor felly ddilyn y Cytundeb Cyflwyno gan gyflwyno'r cynllun cyn gynted ag sy'n bosibl. Dywedodd fod y perygl o oedi bychan ar yr adeg hon yn well na chyflwyno cynllun ansicr i'w archwilio. Fe wnaeth swyddogion Llywodraeth Cymru gynghori'r Cyngor i edrych ar awdurdodau cyfagos gyda CDLIau sydd wedi'u mabwysiadu am enghreifftiau o

arfer dda. Gellid lleihau'r peryglon trwy gael tystiolaeth gadarn, er enghraifft, ar lle mae'r angen am dai o fewn y sir a dyma lle mae angen i'r Cyngor ganolbwyntio ei ymdrechion yn y tymor byr. Mewn ymateb i gwestiwn gan Aelod, cafwyd cydnabyddiaeth fod y Cyngor yn wynebu anawsterau o ran ynni adnewyddadwy, yn enwedig datblygiadau solar. Roedd hwn yn faes lle'r oedd Llywodraeth Cymru yn teimlo fod y cynllun yn ddiffygiol. Fodd bynnag, byddai llunio polisi Ynni Adnewyddwy cadarn gyda sylfaen gref o ran tystiolaeth yn lliniaru ychydig ar y sefyllfa hon. Heb bolisi lleol ar waith, byddai'r Cyngor yn dibynnu ar bolisiau cenedlaethol.

<b>PENDERFYNWYD</b>	<b>Rheswm dros y Penderfyniad:</b>
Cymeradwyo'r Cytundeb Cyflwyno CDLI diwygiedig (Atodiad 1 i'r adroddiad wedi'i ffeilio gyda chofnodion sydd wedi'u harwyddo).	Fel sy'n ofynnol gan Reoliad 9, Rheoliadau Cynllunio Gwlad a Thref (Cynllun Datblygu Lleol) (Cymru) 2005  Galluogi cyflwyno Cytundeb Cyflwyno diwygiedig i Lywodraeth Cymru i'w gytuno.

5.	<b>CWESTIWN I'R AELOD PORTFFOLIO AMGYLCHEDD A CHYNALADWYEDD ODDI WRTH Y CYNGHORYDD SIR STEPHEN HAYES</b>	CC23 – 2015
----	--	-------------

Mae defnyddwyr gwasanaeth wedi cael gwybod yn ddiweddar am newidiadau i gasgliadau gwastraff clinigol, dim ond deng diwrnod cyn eu rhoi ar waith. Mae'r newidiadau yn ymwneud â'r diffiniad o 'wasttraff clinigol', a bydd nifer o eitemau a oedd yn cael eu cynnwys yn flaenorol yn y casgliadau sachau melyn yn cael eu heithrio erbyn hyn, gan ostwng nifer y trigolion sy'n gymwys am y gwasanaeth hwn yn sylweddol.

Yn benodol, ni fydd bagiau colostomi sydd wedi'u defnyddio yn cael eu hystyried erbyn hyn fel gwastraff clinigol, ac mae defnyddwyr gwasanaeth wedi cael gwybod y dylent roi'r rhain gyda'u gwastraff dros ben o'r cartref, a gesglir pob pythefnos ar hyn o bryd ond a fydd o bosibl yn cael eu casglu pob tair wythnos yn fuan.

Mae defnyddwyr y gwasanaeth yn hynod o bryderus, ac mewn rhai achosion yn teimlo'n flin iawn am y newid hwn. Mae gan ddefnyddwyr casgliadau gwastraff clinigol anghenion iechyd tymor hir ac yn aml maent yn sensitif am eu hangen i ddefnyddio'r gwasanaeth penodol hwn.

Mae defnyddwyr y gwasanaeth wedi cael gwybod y bydd materion glanweithdra yn cael eu trin trwy ddefnyddio biniau olwynion. Fodd bynnag, cyflwynwyd sachau porffor i lawer ohonynt ar gyfer casgliadau gwastraff o'r cartref oherwydd bod eu hamgylchiadau iechyd ehangach, a/neu natur eu heiddo (e.e. nifer y grisiau i gyrraedd y ffordd) yn gwneud y defnydd o fin

olwynion yn anymarferol. Mae'r preswylwyr hyn yn wynebu'r sefyllfa o orfod storio bagiau colostomi sydd wedi'u defnyddio yn eu cartrefi fel gwastraff o'r cartref am hyd at dair wythnos.

Rwy'n gwerthfawrogi fod yr awdurdod yn cyflawni casgliadau gwastraff clinigol ar ran Bwrdd Iechyd Addysgu Powys, ond rwy'n bryderus iawn fod yr awdurdod hwn wedi derbyn yr ail-ddiffiniad o wastraff clinigol yn rhy gyflym er mwyn gostwng costau a thaliadau sy'n ymwneud â'r gwasanaeth hwn.

A yw'r aelod portffolio yn fodlon fod yr holl bryderon glanweithdra wedi cael eu trafod os bydd bagiau colostomi yn cael eu trin fel gwastraff cyffredinol, a bod y sialensiau penodol sy'n wynebu'r sawl sy'n colli'r gwasanaeth hwn, wrth ddilyn gweithdrefnau newydd, wedi cael eu hasesu a'u hystyried ar sail unigol?

9. *Noder mai cyfrifoldeb Bwrdd Iechyd Addysgu Powys yw'r gwasanaeth hwn ac mai y nhw ddylai bod wedi cynnal hyn cryn amser yn ôl. Y nhw sy'n gyfrifol am benderfynu pwy sy'n derbyn y gwasanaeth hwn wrth symud ymlaen. Dylid bod yn ymwybodol ein bod wedi cyflwyno'r gwasanaeth hwn am nifer o flynyddoedd ar gais y Bwrdd Iechyd heb unrhyw dâl ac ni all barhau yn syml iawn.*
10. *Rwyf wedi cael fy nghynghori mai'r gost o weithredu'r gwasanaeth hwn yw tua £150,000 y flwyddyn. Rwy'n amau fod y gwir gost lawer iawn yn uwch gan nad yw hyn yn ystyried costau gweinyddu, goruchwyllo, corfforaethol ac ati.*
11. *Bydd y gost lawer iawn is i'r awdurdod wrth symud ymlaen. Yn amlwg, fe fydd ychydig o wastraff yn mynd i'r llif gwastraff dros ben ond bydd y maint a'r pwysau yn gyfyngedig. Byddwn yn talu cyfraddau gwaredu mewn safleoedd tirlenwi ar gyfer y deunydd hwn ac nid y costau trin gwastraff clinigol mewn safle yn Wrecsam. Mae'r ddau gerbyd blaenorol oedd yn benodol i'r gwasanaeth hwn wedi cael eu dadgomisiynu a bydd y staff yn cael eu neilltuo i ddyletswyddau eraill.*
12. *O ran amcan bras iawn, roeddem yn cyflwyno'r gwasanaeth hwn yn flaenorol i tua 350 o drigolion. O'r 01/02/15, mae'r gwasanaeth hwn wedi cael ei drosglwyddo i Fwrdd Iechyd Addysgu Powys. Maent wedi adolygu ein cronfa ddata cwsmeriaid a'r ffrydiau gwastraff unigol. Y bwriad yw cyflwyno'r gwasanaeth i tua 65 o drigolion.*
13. *Mae pryder i'w gael o hyd pan fydd newidiadau o'r fath yn cael eu gwneud ac rwy'n cytuno ein bod yn delio gyda rhai o aelodau mwyaf bregus ein cymdeithas. Fodd bynnag, mae hyn yn newid pwysig ac angenrheidiol. Nid yw o anghenraid yn ymwneud ag arbed arian oherwydd fe wnaeth y Bwrdd Iechyd ein cynghori fod y gwasanaeth yn torri canllawiau gwastraff clinigol.*
14. *Nid oes unrhyw benderfyniad wedi cael ei wneud ar gasgliadau pob 3 wythnos a byddai ychydig yn gynnar ar yr adeg hon i ddod i gasgliadau ar y mater hwn. Fodd bynnag, mae hyn wedi'n nodi ein strategaeth gyllidebol fel arbediad effeithiolrwydd posibl. Fel gydag unrhyw newid i wasanaeth, bydd angen ystyried mesurau lliniaru a gallai hynny gynnwys gwasanaethau ychwanegol i gynhyrchwyr gwastraff hylendid nad yw'n heintus.*

*15. Nid yw'n bosibl cadarnhau fod yr "holl bryderon glanweithdra posibl" wedi cael eu trafod gan fod hyn yn fater i bob preswlydd unigol. Fodd bynnag, mae'r mesurau a gynigir yn cydymffurfio â'r cyfarwyddyd diweddaraf ac yn cyd-fynd ag Awdurdodau Lleol eraill Cymru.*

*16. Os gallwch gynnig manylion eich etholwyr pryderus, byddaf yn sicrhau fod y tîm gwastraff yn cysylltu â hwy yn bersonol i sicrhau cyfnod pontio didrafferth i'r gwasanaeth newydd.*

Mewn ymateb i gwestiwn atodol y Cynghorydd Hayes o ran a fyddai'r Aelod Portffolio yn fodlon cysylltu â Chymdeithas Llywodraeth Leol Cymru (CLILC) ac awdurdodau lleol eraill sydd eisoes wedi mynd trwy'r broses hon yn llwyddiannus i weld pa gamau y gallai'r Cyngor eu cymryd i sicrhau fod pobl yn dioddef y niwed lleiaf, esboniodd y Cynghorydd Powel nad oedd y Cyngor eto wedi manteisio ar y cynnig gan CLILC ac Ystadau Iechyd Cymru am gymorth ychwanegol yn 2010 gan fod y Cyngor a'r Bwrdd Iechyd eisoes yn cydweithio'n agos. Cynhaliwyd trafodaethau gyda Bwrdd Iechyd addysgu Powys ym mis Ebrill 2014 gan roi digon o rybudd am y trosglwyddo. Cadarnhaodd yr Aelod Portffolio y byddai swyddogion yn cysylltu â thriogion pryderus.

**L.R.E. DAVIES  
CADEIRYDD**