Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

LDP Document: 39 FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes An Addendum to the Powys Local Development Plan, Deposit Draft (

RefPoint: 39.1 Executive Summary FC1, FC2, FC3

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status M	odified Summary			
78.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summa	ry FC2		
Source:	Type: Object	on Mode	Oral (Examination) Stat	tus Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5				
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. I	Housing - Distribution and Numbers	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Your comments are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.				
	Please note that any further changes Matters Arising Changes.	to the LDP which may result from this	additional work will be recommended to t	the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via	
Council Response:					0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

HBF object to the reduction in the number of dwellings required by 1019 (18%) and an increase in the affordable requirement by 213 dwellings (20%) as we can see no sound justification for such a change.

The impact of the change will be to reduce the overall number of private market houses which can be built.

The Councils Population and Housing Topic Paper ADDENDUM January 2016 justifies this change at para. 5.1 where it states 'the Council recognises that the housing requirement has been significantly reduced due to the requirement for a five year land supply to be demonstrated'. The HBF object to this approach as the housing requirement should not be controlled by the need to provide a 5 year land supply. The 5 year land supply is a method for monitoring the success of a plans delivery of housing and to ensure that adequate land is available for the construction of homes to meet the identified need in the area over a five year period and not the whole plan period.

The HBF would refer to TAN1 which refers to the JHLAS on a number of occasions:

Para.2.1 it states 'The planning system, through the LDP process, must provide the land that is needed to allow for new home building and Local planning authorities are required to ensure that sufficient land is genuinely available to provide a 5 year supply of land for housing.

Para 2.3 to say ...housing land availability needs to be soundly based on meeting the housing requirements identified by the local planning authorities....

Para 2.4 The JHLAS is an extremely important piece of evidence which should inform LDP strategies, policies and allocations and is a key mechanism for monitoring the effectiveness of the LDP.

Para. 3.2 it states ... local planning authorities are required to demonstrate that there is a five year housing land supply at the time the plan is adopted and the latest approved JHLAs can be used as an important piece of evidence.

The HBF contend that the approach taken by Powys in using future five year land supply calculations to justify a reduction in housing numbers in order to ensure a 5 year land

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Rep	resentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	·		, ,	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary			
78.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Exam	ination)	Status Maintained	
	supply is maintained is contrary to the advice	e in TAN1 identified above and therefore m	akes the plan unsound		
	The Population and Housing Topic Paper A	DDENDUM January 2016 goes on to discus	ss in more detail the rea	ason for this reduction and comments on:	
	been a very deep recession in the house bu	ilding industry. This is not the best way to pally. Although many parts of Powys are less	lan for the future, an LI likely to attract volume	t figures particular a time period when there was known to have DP should be an aspirational document and aim for growth to he house builders the HBF consider there is still a healthy housing as no positive benefit for the Council.	lp
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	Justify the reduction in the housing requiren	nent figure.			
	Justify the increase in the affordable Housin	g figure (see comments to FC24).			
	If neither of these can be done to the satisfa	action of the Inspector then amend the plan	to reinstate the pre foc	used changes housing requirement figure.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the objection	on I have raised above in the inquiry as it er	ables the issue to be d	liscussed between all parties.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Objection to the change in housing numbers	3.			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 2 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

542	Abermule (with) Lland	yssil Community	y Counci
-----	------------	-------------	-----------------	----------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodad Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 542.F1 Summary: Executive Summary FC2 Type: Comment Source: Post or in person Mode Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts **Council Response** Question:

Representation Texts:

The comments made in support of the recalculation of the housing land allocation the proportionate increase in local needs housing are noted. However, the Representor also requests the housing allocation number for Cefn-Y-Coed, Abermule (P02 HA2) to be reduced, in light of the reduced housing requirement. The Council set out the reason for maintaining the allocation of this site, and in particular the inclusion of the land adjacent Parkside, in it's response to the deposit representation made by this Representor (reference 542,V27). The Council maintains that the size of the allocation should not be changed and that the land at Parkside should be included in order to enable the required highway improvements to be carried out. This matter will be considered by the Independent Planning Inspector conducting the examination into the soundness of the Powys LDP. It should also be noted that we are undertaking further work on the housing requirement and provision figures, and that the findings and any recommendations relating to this will be available in due course. This matter will be considered by the Independent Planning Inspector conducting the examination into the soundness of the Powys LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Both the recalculation of the housing land allocation based on current data and the proportionate increase in local needs housing are welcome.

Given the reduced requirement the Community Council would request consideration of a lower allocation of houses for the Cefn y Coed road (Abermule). As indicated in our previous consultation responses, location, access and highway safety are all issues here although two or three small housing clusters, including local needs, could potentially be accommodated satisfactorily and would be in keeping with existing housing. Numbers as currently proposed would, in combination with housing on other identified sites, unduly

increase the overall size of a village with limited infrastructure and facilities and could adversely impact on traffic and the nature of the village.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Consider reduction of the housing numbers for site allocation on the Cefn y Coed Road, Abermule (P02 HA2?) in response to overall reduced housing requirement for Powys LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

Representation Texts: Comment on the overall housing numbers for Abermule - constraints associated with allocated site/settlement infrastructure justify a reduced housing figure for the allocation at

Cefn y Coed road, Abermule.

0 Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 3 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

2242	National Crid	Aganti	Amon Fonton Wilsonlan	
2213	National Grid	Agent:	Amec Foster Wheeler	
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary		
2213.F1	10/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summ	nary FC1, FC2, FC3	
Source:	Type: Comme	nt Mode	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5			
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation T	exts: Noted.			
Council Respo	onse:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation T	exts: We have reviewed the above consulta	ation document and can confirm that	National Grid has no comments to make in response to this consultation.	
Council Respo	onse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 4 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6147 Herefordshire Council

014/ пе	reiorasiire Councii			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	dified Summary		
6147.F1	11/02/2016	Summary: All Focussed Changes		
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	edule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5			
		Issue: 20	15: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Text	s: Support for the Focussed Changes is	noted.		
Council Respons	ee:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Text	s: Herefordshire Council supports the Fo	cussed Changes proposed to the Deposit Powys Local D	evelopment Plan 2015.	
Council Respons	ee:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Cha	nge		
Representation Text	s: No changes proposed.			
Council Respons	ee:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Text	s: Support the Focussed Changes			
Council Respons	ee:			0

25/04/2016 Page 5 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6210 , The Bowker Family Agent: Pegasus Group

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6210.F1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Executive Summary FC2

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016 the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures and is also undertaking a review of the Viability Study. These topics are clearly linked to the Plan's strategy for the delivery of affordable housing which the Council will also need to clarify in updated papers in due course.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Matters Ansing Changes

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Objections are made in respect of the housing requrement, the affordable housing requrement and the distribution of housing. Council note - logged as F1 to F3.

FC2 and resultant changes (namely FCI2):

Planning Policy for Wales requires that housing requirements are informed by a local Housing Market Assessment (LHMA). Technical Advice Note (TAN) 2 identifies that the IRMA should "cover the whole housing market by considering the requirement for both market and affordable housing".

A LHMA Update was produced for Powys in March 2015, which Identified a need for 153 affordable homes per annum (which equates to 2,295 over 15 years). However, the LDP proposes only 1,257 affordable homes as this Is as many as can be delivered from the identified supply. The proposed affordable housing requirement in Powys does not therefore meet the identified affordable housing requirement for Powys by a very large margin. This clearly supports an Increase to the housing requirement to ensure that there is sufficient supply to respond to a greater element of the significant affordable needs.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: The affordable housing requirement proposed in Focussed Change 2 and all resultant changes are not

25/04/2016 Page 6 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oonoundion Nop	ort Apportaix 4. 1 o Roprocontatio	no a ocanon recoponicos	1 on yo ocanty counter 200ai 2010	opinoni i ian
by: Rep	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
6210.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
		2 and resultant changes should be dropped, such that an ter reflects the identified housing needs of Powys remains	in the	
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Pegasus Group wish to speak at the exan housing requirement which does not response	nination to explore the consequences of adopting an afford ond to the identified affordable needs.	lable	
Council Response:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
6210.F3	11/03/2016 \	Summary: Executive Summary FC2		
0210.10		Gailliary. Excounte Gailliary 1 G2		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5			
		Issue: 2015: E	Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	points raised by the Inspector in her letter	of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to m	e of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Governmer ore clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and n the Focussed Changes Schedule. This work will include clarification	d housing
	Please note that any further changes to the Matters Arising Changes.	ne LDP which may result from this additional work will be re	ecommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be address	sed via
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			

Representation Texts: FC2 and resultant changes (namely FC7, FC11 and FC22)

Planning Policy for Wales requires that Local Development Plans are aspirational but realistic. In order

to achieve this it requires that housing requirements are informed by a Local Housing Market

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation	n No					
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo L	Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary					
6210.F3	11/03/2016	Summ	ary: Executive Summary FC2			
Source:	Ту	pe: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		

Assessment (LHMA) which takes account of local housing requirements, the needs of the local and national economy, and social considerations (amongst other things). The starting point must therefore be to assess what the local housing requirements are, taking account of these factors and seek to provide for these in full.

Technical Advice Note (TAN) 2 Identifies that the LHMA should "cover the whole housing market by considering the requirement for both market and affordable housing" and that "Local planning authorities should ensure that development plan policies are based on an up-to-date assessment of the full range of housing requirement across the plan area over the plan period."

A LHMA was produced in August 2010 which considered the requirement for both market and affordable housing. This recommended a housing requirement of 885 dwellings per annum (dpa) across Powys of which 728dpa should be In the Powys Unitary Area (equating to 10,920 over 15 years).

A subsequent LHMA Update was produced for Powys in March 2015, which identified the needs for affordable housing only. It did not assess the local housing requirements for market housing nor did it consider the economic needs and so does not provide a LHMA in accordance with TAN 2. Powys Council has sought to address this by the publication of a Population and Housing Background Paper 2015 (and a subsequent update in 2016) and through the pre-existing Employment Needs Assessment.

The 2015 Background Paper proposed a housing requirement which took account of the Welsh Government Household Projections but sought to address (at least partially) the fact that these reflected recessionary trends. It did this by proposing a figure between the principal projection (which reflected the recessionary trends) and the 10 year migration trends projection (which dilutes these trends). However, this is still unduly representative of recessionary trends by not providing for the full 10 year migration trends projection.

indeed, the Welsh Government advised in the letter of 14th April 2014 that the 2011 based principal projections were based on recessionary trends and that whilst these should form the starting point for assessing housing requirements they are not an end in themselves. The Welsh Government accordingly produced the 10 year migration trends projection which dilute the effects of the recession by assuming an average of trends which had been experienced in both the pre-recession and recessionary years. As a result of the advice from the Welsh Government it is clearly these which should be afforded greater weight. Nevertheless, the housing requirement proposed by the 2015 Background Paper does not meet these projections in full, although it did make significant steps towards these and so no objections were submitted on behalf of the Bowker Family at this stage.

However, the 2016 Background Paper now seeks to retract from the position and proposes a housing requirement which is significantly below that proposed in the 2015 Paper. This amendment has been proposed solely in order that the Council can demonstrate a five year land supply in response to TAN 1. However TAN 1 requires that "the homes which are needed are delivered" and this should not be compromised in order to demonstrate a five year supply.

25/04/2016 Page 8 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Sta	atus Modified Summary		
6210.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summ	nary FC2	
Source:	Type: C	Objection Mode	Oral (Examination) Status	Maintained

This reduction is fundamentally unsound. TAN 1 requires that a five year land supply is demonstrated, but this should not be achieved at the expense of delivering the required amount of housing to respond to local needs as identified in the 2015 Background Paper (although recognising that this does not take account of economic needs). To do so would resullt in the LDP aiming to fall, such that it would not be effective in delivering housing in response to the identified needs (and so would not deliver) and that it would not be aspiratlonal in accordance with national policy including TAN1 and TAN 2 (and would not fit). The proper response to an insufficient five year land supply should be to identify further sources of supply (including additional allocations) to provide for the identified housing needs in the short-term.

Furthermore, paragraph 6.3 of the Powys Housing Provision and Delivery of New Housing Update identifies that there will be a 7.1 year land supply at the time of adoption. This clearly indicates that based on the evidence of the Council there is no need to reduce the housing requirement to the proposed figure of 4,500 as the Council could demonstrate a 5 year supply with a significantly greater housing requirement, which would also provide for a greater proportion (although by no means all) of the local housing needs. Indeed, the identified supply could support a housing requirement of 5,654 homes and still demonstrate a five year supply based on the Council's evidence. As a result the Council's justification for the reduced housing requirement of 4,500 homes simply does not stand up to scrutiny.

Despite the proposed very significant reduction to the housing requirement. Powys Council have increased the housing provision within the LDP from 6,071 to 6,129 homes. This supply figure is calculated based upon existing commitments, a windfall allowance and the proposed allocations (as set out in the Population and Housing Background Paper 2016). The proposed allocations provide for 2,773 homes of the total supply. In order to justify these allocations, the Council must consider them to be deliverable as confirmed in paragraph 1.4 of the Population and Housing Background Paper 2016. The windfall allowance has been agreed by the Study Group and so the Council must also assume this to be deliverable. These two sources of supply add to 3,733 homes which means that Powys Council assume that only 767 of the 2,396 homes subject to commitments will deliver to meet the proposed housing requirement of 4,500 homes. This equates to an implementation rate of only 32% which is clearly a very negative assumption. Indeed, based on experience elsewhere circa 80%-90% of sites deliver across a plan period. Even if the most negative of these (80%) was assumed in Powys then the LDP would deliver 5,650 homes (assuming that all of the sites considered by the Council did deliver). The result of this is that the LDP now proposes a housing requirement of only 4,500 as the Council argue that any increase above this would be unrealistic, despite the fact that the Council consider that a five year land supply could be demonstrated against a housing requirement of 5,650 homes and that the LDP provides for a level of housing that is likely to deliver circa 5,650 homes (if the Council's assumptions on windfall and allocations are borne out). As a result, the previously proposed housing requirement of 5,519 homes could be comfortably delivered. This is a fundamental failing of the focussed changes, in that the Council argue that the previous housing requirement of 5,519 homes is not realistic on the one hand but then also demonstrate that significantly in excess of this number are able to be delivered across the five year period and across the plan period.

The Population and Housing Background Paper 2016 repeatedly refers to the 6,129 homes as providing flexibility above and beyond the housing requirement, to ensure that the

25/04/2016 Page 9 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation	No				
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary					
6210.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		

LDP provides a sufficient number and choice of sites which can be developed. This assumes that some of the 6,129 homes will fail to deliver. However, according to the Council's evidence the only element of supply that can be assumed to fail to deliver are the existing commitments. Based on the previous analysis even with a negative assumption of the deliverability of existing commitments a much higher housing requirement should be supported which would better respond to the identified housing needs.

The Council currently argue that it is appropriate to have a very low housing requirement (4,500) in order to artificially inflate the five year land supply providing that delivery is still forthcoming at an appropriate level (up to 6,129) across the plan period. However, this is a negative strategy as it provides no contingency for any sites which don't deliver indeed, if the housing requirement of 4,500 were adopted to respond to the identified needs of 5519 homes and justified on the basis that the current supply is likely to deliver 5,650 dwellings in any case (based on the preceding analysis), this provides no contingency to ensure the delivery of the required 5,519 homes to meet the identified needs. Indeed, under this strategy it would be inferred to be acceptable to not meet the identified needs of 5,519 homes (over five years or the plan period) despite the fact that the Council's evidence indicates that this could be achieved (with a

surplus of over 100 homes). Instead, the housing requirement should provide for the full housing needs which the Council's evidence indicate is likely to be met in any case in accordance with paragraphs 2.3 of TAN 1 and 8.1 of TAN 2. This would ensure that an appropriate number of homes were delivered.

Indeed, the Sustainability Appraisal (January 2016) makes reference to the provision of 6,129 homes, which by the Council's own evidence will not be delivered, it Is not clear whether the Sustainability Appraisal has actually considered the effects of 4,500 homes (as proposed by the LDP) or 6,129 homes (which the Council do not consider will be achieved). This will be significant to the conclusions of the Sustainability Appraisal. Furthermore, and most critically the Sustainability Appraisal has not tested any reasonable alternatives of adopting different housing requirements, including that previously proposed. Therefore, the sustainability credentials of adopting a housing requirement which responds to the identified needs and is deliverable on the Council's evidence has not been assessed compared to a housing requirement which accepts that the needs may not be delivered, nor has any alternative.

The Affordable Housing Update confirms that there is a need for 153 affordable homes per annum which equates to a need for 2,295 affordable homes over the 15 year plan period. However, the LDP proposes only 1,257 affordable homes as this is as many as can be delivered from the identified supply. It is therefore accepted that the full affordable needs will not be provided for by the proposed housing which the Council itself consider will be subject to very significant levels of non-implementation and so the result would be that even this limited affordable provision would not be delivered. This clearly supports an increase to the housing requirement to ensure that there is sufficient supply to respond to

a greater element of the significant affordable needs

The housing requirement of the LDP is acknowledged to result in a decline in the number of jobs within Powys (within the Employment Needs Assessment). This is an especially significant issue in Powys where the population is projected to decline as well as age. The result of not providing enough homes to support the economy is likely to result in an elderly population without a sufficient workforce to support the existing (let alone an increased) level of services, with inevitable adverse effects on the availability of such services which will be required to support increasingly vulnerable communities.

Indeed, this factor is recognised in the Key issues and Considerations of the LDP but then is ignored in ensuring that the LDP delivers enough homes to support the community. The effect of not even assessing the economic led needs is likely to result in the LDP failing to deliver and being ineffective in addressing the Key Issues.

The Housing Provision and Delivery of Housing Update suggests that there is a low demand for housing in Powys and as such increased levels of delivery could not be achieved. However, there are omission sites which have not been included in the LDP, which are being actively pursued for development. It would be very difficult to sustain an argument that there is low demand when there are developers seeking to build on these omission sites but being prevented from doing so by the planning system. These sites clearly provide an opportunity to support additional delivery which will respond to the identified needs in sustainable locations such as the Large Village of Four Crosses.

The Council also argue in the Population and Housing Background Paper 2016 that migration levels have been much lower according to the mid-yearestimates in 2013 and 2014 than assumed in the Welsh Government projections and infer from this that the projections are likely to over-estimate population growth (although in Powys these already project a population decline) and household growth. The first point to note on this is that the Council are using mid-year estimates, which are unreliable (indeed it is these that have resulted in the significant levels of unattributable population change experienced in the recent past nationally). They are estimates, which are indicative of the population change

25/04/2016 Page 10 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses			Powys County Council Local Development Pla		
by: Rep	resentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary			
6210.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		
	but should not be afforded undue weight.				
	influenced by the availability of housing in ar houses available for migrants to move into, the accommodation in other areas which may not significant increases to unsustainable commup by other authorities. Indeed, paragraph 5 Update identifies that there has been a short will have reduced the supply of housing whice Furthermore, as a result of LDPs being adopting the supply of housing in those areas, this will unsustainably. Indeed, the current migration other authorities as a result of under-supply. Therefore, these estimated trends of migratic supply and should not be taken as being ind assume these (or similar rates) across the pas Powys has failed to supply housing, populations.	ot meet their needs sustalnably. This is likely to result in nuting flows and defers Powys' housing needs to be picked .10 of the Housing Provision and Delivery of New Housing tfall of housing provision over the period 2011-15 and this ch will have therefore had a negative effect on in-migration. Otted in nearby areas in recent years, which have increased ll also have negatively affected migration flows to Powys at trends are reflective of the needs of Powys being met in in Powys. It is over recent years are a product of the lack of licative of the demand or need for housing in Powys. To olan period would result in a self-fulfilling prophesy such that ulation growth has been constrained and therefore using ed for new housing. This cycle would continue indefinitely,			
Council Response:				0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	and as a result Focussed Change 2 and res	In Focussed Change 2 and all resultant changes are not sound ultant changes should be dropped, such that a housing ed housing needs of Powys remains in the LDP.			
Council Response:				0	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:		nation to explore how the currently proposed housing requirement se have been robustly tested through the Sustalnablilty Appraisal.			
Council Response:				0	

25/04/2016 Page 11 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CF	PRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery	Agent:	CPRW Brecon	& Radnor	
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sur	mmary			
6235.F1	11/03/2016	ummary: Executive Summ	nary FC2		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scho	edule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5		Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Text	points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 A provision figures as published in the Deposit Pla	pril 2016, the Council is pr n and the subsequent revi	oducing further work to sed figures as published	ule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing in the Focussed Changes Schedule. recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via	
	Matters Arising Changes.	,		·	
Council Respons	e:			0	
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Text	of figures could reduce some of the excessively	large allocations in housin	g sites in large villages	increase in affordable homes is welcomed. The apparent downwards revision and towns where there is very limited infrastructure and adverse impacts have of convinced that the final housing allocation figures are correct: see FC11	
Council Respons	e:			0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Text	s: Review of FC11 required				
Council Respons	e:			0	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Text	s: Review of FC11 required				
Council Respons	e:			0	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Text	s:				
Council Respons	e:			0	

25/04/2016 Page 12 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

-	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary		
6235.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC3		
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5			
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environ	ment
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	This comment showing support for the F	ocussed Change is noted.		
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	The rewording of this paragraph to include	le reference to the more inclusive term 'his	toric environment' is welcome.	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	e		
Representation Texts:	N/A			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	The rewording of this paragraph to include	de reference to the more inclusive 'historic	environment' is welcome	
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 13 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315	Natural Res	ources Wales
------	-------------	--------------

0010 Hai	iarai Noodardoo Waroo			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary		
6315.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2, Housing and	Employment Numbers	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5			
		Issue: 201	5: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts	: Thanks for your comment, your support	for the Focussed Change is noted.		
Council Response):			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts		t the proposed number housing and employment land a mployment development within the plan period, reflectir	llocations have been decreased. It is considered that this read the UDP take up rates.	eflects a more realistic
Council Response):			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	e		
Representation Texts	: N/A			
Council Response):			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	Support for Focussed Change FC2.			
Council Response):			0

25/04/2016 Page 14 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417	Cymdeithas Yr Iait	h Gyrmaeg
------	--------------------	-----------

=				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	eessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6417.F2//H4	11/03/2016	Summary: Executive Summary FC2		
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.47			
Policy: H4			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Mae'r cynrychiolaeth hwn yn gefnogol o gyfra	annau tai fforddiadwy y Cynllun.		
	This representation is supportive of the afford	dable housing proportions of the Plan.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	Rydym yn croesawu'r cynnydd yn y gyfran o	dai fforddiadwy a awgrymir.		
	We welcome the increase in the proportion of	of affordable houses that is suggested.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Ddim yn gymwys.			
	N/A.			
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Mae'r cynrychiolaeth hwn yn croesawu'r cynr	nydd yn y gyfran o dai fforddiadway a aw	grymir.	
	This representation welcomes the increase in	n the proportion of affordable housing su	ggested.	
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 15 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.3 The LDPs Context. FC4, FC5 6192 Gardiner, Mr Ben Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016 6192.F2 Summary: The LDPs Context, FC5 Type: Objection Source: Email Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-10. Welsh Language and Culture and Heritage Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Policy DM14 will apply to all housing developments in Llanfyliin that include 10 dwellings or more, this will include the requirement for a Language Action Plan to set out the measures to be taken to protect, promote and enhance Welsh Language and Culture. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: 2.2.8a Welsh Language and culture. The development site at Llanfyllin has no local need so by implication people from the other side of the border or the other side of the world are to be attracted to this 'Welsh speaking stronghold'. This runs counter to paragraph 2.2.8a Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Contradiction between paragraph 2.28a and Llanfyllin Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 16 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CF	PRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery	Agent:	CPRW Bre	econ & Radnor	
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary				
6235.F3	11/03/2016 Summa	ry: The LDPs Conte	xt. FC4		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode	Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	edule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.5				
			Issue	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Text	ts: This comment showing support for the Focussed Chan	ge is noted. Severa	ıl SPG's are prop	posed relating to the historic environment.	
Council Respons	se:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Text	ts: The detailed consideration now given to cultural heritage We trust robust SPGs will follow to ensure implementations.		g with the DM po	olicies to support protection.	
Council Respons	se:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Text	ts: N/A				
Council Respons	se:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Text	ts: The detailed consideration now given to cultural heritage We trust robust SPGs will follow to ensure implementary		g with the DM po	olicies to support protection.	
Council Respons	se:				0

25/04/2016 Page 17 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417 Cymdeithas Yr Iaith Gyrmaeg

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary	
6417.F6//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: The LDPs Context.FC5	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained
Document:FC - Schedule of Foc	ussed Changes Jan 2016, p.35		

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Policy: DM14

Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn mewn perthynas â pherthnasedd yr iaith Gymraeg, a nifer y siaradwyr Cymraeg o fewn ardaloedd y tu allan i'r rheini a ddynodwyd o fewn polisi DM14. Mae'r polisi yn cyfeirio at ardaloedd a ystyriwyd i fod yn gadarnleoedd yr iaith Gymraeg, a ddiffinnir fel ardaloedd Cynghorau Cymuned lle mae mwy na 25% o'r boblogaeth yn siarad Cymraeg. Efallai fod ardaloedd eraill gyda niferoedd mwy o siaradwyr Cymraeg; fodd bynnag, maent yn cynrychioli cyfran lai o'r boblogaeth o fewn cymunedau hynny. Mae'r iaith Gymraeg yn rhan arwyddocaol o ffabrig cymdeithasol cadarnleoedd y Gymraeg ac mae'r ardaloedd hynny hefyd wedi dangos y gostyngiad mwyaf sylweddol yn nifer y siaradwyr Cymraeg yn ystod y degawdau diwethaf. Oherwydd hynny, maent felly'n cael eu hystyried i fod yn arbennig o sensitif i ddatblygiadau mawr newydd. Lle bo safleoedd mawr ar hap ysdd heb eu rhagweld gan y Cynllun gyda'r potensial i gael effaith ar yr iaith Gymraeg yn cael eu cynnig, efallai y byddai'n briodol gofyn am gynnal asesiad effaith iaith yn ystod y cam cyflwyno cais cynllunio, fel sy'n cael ei gynghori o fewn y drafft ymgynghori diwygiedig o Nodyn Cyngor Technegol (TAN) 20. Gellir cyflwyno'r posibilrwydd o ystyried hyn o fewn y cyfiawnhad ategol i bolisi DM14 trwy ychwanegu'r frawddeg ganlynol ar ddiwedd paragraff 4.2.5. 'Efallai hefyd y byddai'n briodol gofyn am asesiad effaith iaith mewn cysylltiad â datblygiadau cymhleth ar hap y tu allan i gadarnleoedd y Gymraeg'. Gan ystyried yr uchod, nid yw'r Cyngor yn ystyried bod angen cynnwys ardaloedd eraill o fewn y diffiniad polisi o Gadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg.

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-10. Welsh Language and Culture and Heritage

Nodwyd y cais i gynnwys yr laith Gymraeg ar arwyddion y tu allan i Gadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg. Tra gellir hyrwyddo hyn ar draws y Sir gan y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol, dim ond er mwyn diogelu cymeriad ieithyddol lleol, traddodiadau ac i hyrwyddo nodweddion diwylliannol y bydd hi'n cael ei ystyried yn angenrheidiol i ofyn am gynnwys y Gymraeg ar arwyddion o fewn Cadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg.

These comments in relation to the relevance of the Welsh language to, and number of Welsh speakers within, areas outside those identified within policy DM14 are noted. This policy refers to areas that are considered to be Welsh language strongholds, which are defined as Community Council areas where more than 25% of the population speak Welsh. Other areas may have larger numbers of Welsh speakers, however they represent a smaller proportion of the population within those communities. The Welsh language is a significant part of the social fabric of Welsh language strongholds and these areas have also shown the most significant decreases in the number of Welsh speakers in recent decades, and therefore they are considered to be particularly sensitive to new large developments. Where large windfall sites that were not anticipated by the Plan and that have the potential to impact on the Welsh language are proposed, it may be appropriate to require a language impact assessment to be carried out at the planning application stage, as is advised within the revised consultation draft of TAN20. The scope for considering this could be provided within the supporting justification to policy DM14 by adding the following sentence at the end of para 4.2.5. 'It may also be appropriate to require a language impact assessment in connection with exceptionally large or complex windfall developments outside the Welsh language strongholds'. In view of the above, the Council does not consider that there is a need to include other areas within the policy definition of the Welsh Language Strongholds.

The request for the Welsh language to be included on signage outside the Welsh Language Strongholds is noted. Whilst such could be promoted across the County by the Local Development Plan, it is only considered necessary to require the inclusion of Welsh on signage within Welsh Language Strongholds in order to protect their local linguistic character, tradition and to promote cultural distinctiveness.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

by: Rep	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	iono di Godinon Rosponoco	r only county counting Ecoul Borolog	, mont i idii
		iffed Cumman		
6417.F6//DM14	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	Summary: The LDPs Context.FC5		
0417.F0//DIWI14	11/03/2010	Summary. The LDF's Context.1 C3		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Representation Texts:	ogystal fod y Gymraeg yn perthyn i bob cymunedau tu allan i'r ardaloedd o sen Gymraeg hefyd yn ffactor cynllunio me Golyga hyn bod angen ym mhob achly masnachol newydd yn yr ardaloedd tu a Whilst we welcome the recognition of tl County. There are more Welsh speake	I ieithyddol ardaloedd o Bowys (e.e. FC5) - dylid cydnabod rhan o'r Sir. Mae rhagor o siaradwyr Cymraeg yn byw me sitifrwydd ieithyddol na'r nifer o'u mewn, ac felly mae'r wn llefydd fel y Drenewydd, ac yn wir ymhob rhan o'r Sir. sur sicrhau bod y Gymraeg yn amod ar bob arwydd/datblydallan i'r ardaloedd o sensitifrwydd ieithyddol. ne linguistic sensitivity of areas of Powys (e.g. FC5) – it shrs living in communities outside the areas of linguistic senswn and indeed every part of the County.	wn	of the
	This means that the Welsh language n	eeds to be a condition for every new sign/ commercial dev	elopment in areas outside the areas of linguistic sensitivity.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	ge		
Representation Texts:	Dylid cydnabod bod y Gymraeg yn pert	hyn i bob rhan o'r Sir a ddylir ei ystyried yn ffactor cynlluni	o ym mhob rhan o'r Sir.	
	Sicrhau bod y Gymraeg yn amod ar bo	b arwydd datblygiad tu allan I'r ardaloedd o sensitifrwydd i	eithyddol.	
	It should be recognised that Welsh belo	ongs to all parts of the County and it should be considered	as a planning facotr yn all parts of the County.	
	The Welsh language should be a condi	tion for every new sign/ commercial development in areas	outside the areas of linguistic sensitivity.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:		od y Gymraeg yn perthyn i'r Sir cyfan a dylied ei ystyried y chol newydd yn yr ardaloedd tu allan i'r ardaloedd o sensit	rn ffactor cynllunio ym mhob rhan o'r Sir. Hefyd, ystyrir y dylai'r Gymraeg f ifrwydd ieithyddol	od yn
		at Welsh belongs to the whole of the County and that it should be a condition for every new sign/ commercial develo	ould be considered as a planning factor in all parts of the County. Also, it pment in areas outside the areas of linguistic sensitivity.	is
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 19 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.5 Our Vision of Powys 2026 FC6 6235 **CPRW Brecon & Radnor CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery** Agent: Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6235.F4/3.2.1/ Summary: Our Vision of Powys 2026 FC6 Source: Email Type: Comment Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.6. para.3.2.1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy Representation Texts Question Question: **Council Response** Thanks for your comment, your support for the Focussed Change is noted. The suggested amendment to the first sentence of LDP Objective 5 (FC8) does not relate to text Representation Texts: subject to a proposed Focussed Change, but in any xase landscape would be addressed through the environmental impacts already referred to. 0 Council Response: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: We commend Powys for recognising the importance of our outstanding and varied landscapes to residents, the tourist economy and future generations and that landscape merits a topic in its own right. This greater importance afforded now requires detailed expansion to prevent any possible doubt about the Council's will to implement landscape policy. For example in FC8 this statement should be strengthened by inserting landscape i.e. 'acceptable in terms of landscape, economy ...' Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Review of FC8 required. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Support FC6 inclusion of landscapes in the vision. But that FC8 needs strengthening. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 20 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6416	on behalf of Residents	s Group
------	------------------------	---------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6416.F1/3.2.1/

Source: Type: Not duly made Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.6,

para.3.2.1

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: This representation is not considered to be duly made as it does not relate to a specific Focussed Change. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site

allocation P06 HA1, so this matter will be considered by the Independent Planning Inspector conducting the examination into the soundness of the Powys LDP.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: This change has added "Outstanding Landscapes" as part of the vision for Powys 2026. It is our considered opinion that the proposed development (P06 HA1 - 1008) will

significantly impact the current landscape of Llyswen.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Do not approve for this plot to be included within the LDP. There are already existing plots within the village which were included in a previous LDP 15+ years ago and have to date never been put through the planning process. What is the point of plots being approved and placed within the boundaries of a village when there is no intention to build upon them, this defies the purpose of the LDP does it not? The current plot approved some years ago is attached (appendix 1) for ease of reference and we understand that this plot has now increased in size and can easily accommodate a substantial amount of houses and feel it is suitable for this plot to be developed upon as already within the boundaries of the village.

Should P06 HA1 -1008 be included also this would mean two accesses virtually opposite one another, which adds risk to a very busy and dangerous piece of the main road and double the amount of houses being built in an already "small" village.

Attached to rep: appendix 1 - site plan of "approved plot" - although plan is extract from candidate sites register.

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 21 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.6 Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable Places - FC7, FC8

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F2 11/03/2016 Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable Places - FC7

Source: Type: Comment Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.6

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Your comments are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The HBF support the increase in the housing allocations from 6,071 6129 dwellings but object to the reduction in the dwelling requirement figure from 5,519 to 4,500. (See comments to FC2).

However we note that the Council in arriving at this housing allocation figure rely heavily on windfall sites and existing planning consents totalling 2386 units which represents 38% of the total housing figure.

The HBF question the under construction figure of 348, last year's JHLAS 2015 schedules indicated that there were only 190 units under construction, however in the 5 year land calculation table of the JHLAS 2105 document it refers to 123 units under construction. Although it is accepted that there will be some units under construction on sites of less than five units but the HBF do not believe that this would increase the number to 348 as suggested in the plan.

The HBF also question the figure in the second column (less 17.4% Households in BBNP) of Table H1 Calculating the Housing Requirement. The WG also produce Household projections for the National Parks the most recent of which are 2008 based this shows that between 2011-2026 the projected increase in households in the BNNP is 1694. The HBF would question why hasn't this figure been used in the table, as the household projections are used in the first column.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

With regard to the units provided by existing planning consents the Council should provide a table which identifies which of these consents have been granted over five years ago and have been renewed. The reason for this is to identify sites which are at higher risk of not coming forward based on past planning history.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	•	, ,	·
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary			
78.F2	11/03/2016 Summary: Object	ctiives: Planning for Growth in Sustaina	ple Places - FC7	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	The HBF request clarification over how the Council has come to	o the figure of 348 for units under const	ruction.	
	Clarify why the figure in the second column (less 17.4% House has not been used.	holds in BBNP) of Table H1 Calculating	the Housing Requirement has been used and why the fig	gure HBF identify
Council Response				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the comments I have raised ab	bove in the inquiry as it enables the issu	e to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Representation questions the figures used/evidence base for a	rriving at housing land requirement and	housing land provision.	
Council Response				0

25/04/2016 Page 23 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1084 Welsh Government

Rep'n/Para/Policy	Accessnivo	DateLoaga	Late?	Status Modified Summary	

1084.F6 11/03/2016 Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable Places - FC8 - Renewable Energy/Policy RE1

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.6

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08. Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The comments are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and recent legislative changes,

the Council is undertaking a review of the current renewable energy evidence which will also consider other low carbon technologies (solar). Any further changes to the LDP

which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Key Area of Concern 6:

Renewable Energy – The authority has positively addressed the majority of our concerns raised in our deposit representation (July 2015), however, we have an outstanding concern relating to the authority's evidence base ('Renewable and Low Carbon Energy Assessment' EB17) which has not adequately informed the development plan policies for renewable energy or other low carbon technology. All relevant low carbon energy generation mechanisms as identified in the assessment (EB17) for local-authority scale renewable energy schemes or other low carbon technology should be supported by a policy and shown spatially on the proposals map. This policy requirement is reinforced in the Ministerial letter to Chief Planning Officers (10 December 2015).

Whilst not required in national planning policy, we support the inclusion of an indicative target for renewable energy generation (LDP Objective 5, Focussed Change 8). However, the target appears to be unnecessarily restricted by predicted consumption within the local authority area and does not reflect the potential renewable energy capacity identified in the authority's assessment (EB17).

MOVING FORWARD:

Notwithstanding the significant issues raised in this letter, much of the work undertaken by the Council will be of value moving forward. The Council has a broad range of evidence and, in general terms, our concerns relate to how evidence has been taken forward rather than there being an absence of evidence. The supporting evidence often provides a good overview of the issues the LDP must address and it is, again in general terms, the disconnect between the evidence and LDP polices that requires further work.

For renewable energy, we welcome the changes made and the inclusion of targets. Given the potential for renewable energy generation in the County Borough and the importance the Welsh Government attaches to renewable energy, further work is required to examine whether there are opportunities to optimise renewable energy generation and explain how targets have been set.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary		
1084.F6	11/03/2016	Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable	Places - FC8 - Renewable Energy/Policy RE1	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Council Respo	onse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 25 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F5 11/03/2016 Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable Places - FC8. Objective 5 - Energy and Water

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.6

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08. Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Your comments are noted. The Council disagrees with this Representation. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by

Welsh Government and recent legislative changes, the Council is undertaking a review of the current renewable energy evidence which will also consider other low carbon technologies (solar). Any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed

via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

- i) Deleting 'to enable households, businesses and communities to meet their needs' makes Objective 5 incompatible with the explanation provided in Paragraph 4.10.7 that targets are for renewable generation opportunities for 'communities, households and businesses'
- ii) Target categories are wrong

The renewable electricity target should not exclude SSAs. The changes made to LDP Objective 5 by deleting 'to enable households, businesses and communities to meet their needs' and inserting 'outside strategic search areas' has been made in response to representations from REG Wind power and RWE Innogy UK Ltd. Commercial interests have been allowed to override environmental and amenity protections.. The inclusion of 'outside strategic search areas' fails to reflect the substantial generation contribution already made by Powys residents within the SSAs and the corresponding major negative environmental impacts, including those on landscape and biodiversity, already experienced across a substantial area of Powys. The DDLDP target fails to take into account that Powys is unique among Welsh counties in containing 3 SSAs which have already provided major opportunities for commercial interests.

The renewable heat targets are based on an assumed need for Powys to reach the national 12% heat renewables target by 2020 (see Topic Paper paras 32-36). 12% would be achieved by Biomass alone and the combined heat targets are unrealistically high.

Targets should be removed

CPRW considers that it is inappropriate for an LPA to set targets relating to areas outside SSAs. Such targets are not supported by UK or Welsh planning Policy. Moreover the UK government has now announced that there is sufficient onshore wind energy in the national energy network and Powys is already performing well, having probably exceeded the projected Powys electricity use in 2020. Powys does not have the natural resources to provide much hydro or solar capacity and so the default would be wind energy. Wind turbines and other renewables developments genuinely available to 'communities, households and businesses' (see Focussed Change 40) should be small scale with individual installed capacities of a fraction of a Megawatt so that 50MW would require hundreds of projects with the potential of negative cumulative impacts. If larger scale projects are considered appropriate - and a target certainly will encourage planning recommendations for larger scale projects from large wind energy companies - then the environmental impacts of 50MW installed capacity, especially in cumulation with those impacts from wind farms in SSAs, would be unacceptable. Either way, the proliferation of turbines would be of a scale in density or size to seriously conflict with biodiversity, pollution, amenity, tourism, landscape and heritage objectives and policies. Such proliferation is inconsistent with TAN 8 Annex D 8.4, according to which outside the SSAs there should be 'no significant change in landscape character from wind turbine development.' It would also conflict with other strategies (e.g. tourism hubs) within the Wales Spatial Plan, and with ambitions within the One Powys Plan to empower people to have a greater voice in local decision making and have a sense of pride in where they live (priority 4).

Paras 30 and 31 of the Topic Paper address compatibility of the wind target and renewable heat targets with other LDP Objectives. But Powys already has experience of serious,

oonounumon nop	ore appointable and of the processing to	iono a ocanon recoponece	•		. on yo ocumy occ	anon Local Botolopii	one i iai
by: Rep	presentation No						
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	lified Summary					
6235.F5	11/03/2016	Summary: Objectiives: Plar	nning for Growth in Sustain	able Places - FC8.	Objective 5 - Energy and	Water	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
	as yet, uninvestigated, problems with tu flies) which have resulted in widespread The SEA assessment and the conclusion environmental impacts. 'Energy Wales: The targets are: not based on robust and credible eviry not accompanied by any strategy for not assessed for likely negative enviry certain to encourage inappropriate definitions.	d unpopularity of operating and propons of Paras 30 and 31 of the Topic A Low Carbon Transition 2012' reduce their implementation ronmental impacts of development	cosed developments. c Paper are economical wit moves targets and replaces	th the truth and inge	nuous about the weight of		d
Council Response:		evelopment.					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	nge					
Representation Texts:	CPRW recommends the removal of tary "LDP Objective 5 – Energy and Water To support the conservation of energy a meet their needs where acceptable in to In particular, to contribute to the achieve If this change is not agreed, the minimu "LDP Objective 5 – Energy and Water To support the conservation of energy a meet their needs where acceptable in to In particular, to: i. Contribute to the achievement of the Vii. Provide an additional 50MW installed technology."	and water and to generate energy from sof the economic, social, environment of the Water Framework Dirum acceptable change is the reversand water and to generate energy from sof the economic, social, environment of the economic social, environment of the economic social environment of the economic environment of the economic social environment of the economic environment enviro	onmental, landscape* and ective targets in Powys." al of wording changes inclurom appropriately located ronmental, landscape* and in Powys.	cumulative impacts uded in Focussed C renewable resource cumulative impacts W renewable bioma	hange FC8 to read: s to enable households, bu . ass and 16MW building inte	usinesses and communities egrated heat generating	
Council Response:							0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing						
Representation Texts:	The points above i.e.: the incompatibilit policies; the lack of evidence base to ju residential and environmental interests;	istify inclusion of the targets; the lac					
Council Bosponso:							0

25/04/2016 Page 27 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417	Cymdeithas Yr Iait	h Gyrmaeg
------	--------------------	-----------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6417.F1//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in Sustainable Places	s - FC7	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained
Document:FC - Schedule of Foc	ussed Changes Jan 2016, p.35			

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Policy: DM14

Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn sy'n cwestiynu nifer y lleiniau tir y mae tai wedi cael eu dyrannu ar eu cyfer a chadernid y dull a ddefnyddir wrth benderfynu'r ffigyrau hyn gan gwestiynu a yw hyn yn adlewyrchu angen lleol. Mae dyraniadau tai wedi'u gwneud o fewn trefi a phentrefi mawrion yn unol â strategaeth aneddiadau'r CDLI gyda'r nod o alluogi datblygu tai ar lefel leol er mwyn diwallu anghenion cymunedau led led Powys. Yn dilyn amryw gynrychiolaeth i'r Rhestr o Newidiadau Penodol gan gynnwys sylwadau Llywodraeth Cymru yn ogystal â'r pwyntiau a wnaed gan yr Arolygydd yn ei llythyr ar y 5ed of Ebrill 2016, mae'r Cyngor yn cynhyrchu gwaith pellach er mwyn cynnig esboniad cliriach ar gyfer y rheswm tu ôl i'r ffigyrau anghenion a darpariaeth tai, fel yr argraffwyd yn y Cynllun Adnau a'r ffigyrau dilynol a ddiwygwyd fel yr argraffwyd yn y Rhestr o Newidiadau Penodol.

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-10. Welsh Language and Culture and Heritage

Plis nodwch y bydd y Cyngor yn argymell i'r Arolygydd y dylid cynnwys unryw newidiadau pellach i'r CDLI, a fydd o bosib o ganlyniad i'r gwaith ychwanegol hwn, fel newidiadau y bydd posib eu hannerch fel Newidiadau Materion a Godwyd.

These comments questioning the number of land that housing has been allocated for and regarding the robustness of the method used in deciding these figures and questioning of its reflection of local need are noted. Housing allocations have been made within towns and large villages in line with the LDP's settlement strategy and with the aim of enabling the development of housing at a local level in order to meet the needs of communities across Powys. Following various representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including comments made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016,the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

0

Page 28 of 317

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Representation Texts:

Gwrthwynebwn y cynnydd (e.e. FC7) yn y nifer o dai newydd y clustnodir tir ar eu cyfer - nid ydym o'r farn fod y dull o benderfynu'r ffigurau hyn yn gadarn nac yn adlewyrchu anghenion lleol.

We are opposed to the increase (e.g. FC7) in the number of new houses that land has been allocated for – we do not believe that the method of deciding on these figures is

robust and it does not reflect local needs.

Gostwng y nifer o dai y dyrannwyd tir ar ei gyfer.

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

25/04/2016

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No	•		·
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	l Summary		
6417.F1//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Objectiives: Planning for Growth in	n Sustainable Places - FC7	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	Reduce the number of house that land is a	llocated for.		
Council Respon	nse:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Te	xts: Gwrthwynebiad I'r cynnydd (e.e. FC7) yn y	nifer o dai newydd y clustnodir tir ar eu cyfer gan	nid yw'r dull yn gadarn ac nid yw'n adlewyrchu anghenion lleol	l.
	Objection to increase in number of houses	that land is allocated for as the method used is n	ot robust and it does not reflect local need.	
Council Respon	nse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 29 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.9 Objectiives: Natural and Built Assets - FC9 6235 **CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery CPRW Brecon & Radnor** Agent: Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6235.F6 Summary: Objectives: Natural and Built Assets - FC9 - Objective 13 Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.7 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Thanks for your comment, your support for the Focussed Change is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: The amendment to make specific reference to landscape is very welcome. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: N/A Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Support for FC9 to Objective 13 Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 30 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6416	on behalf of	Residents	Group
------	--------------	-----------	-------

	•			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	ummary		
6416.F2	10/03/2016	Summary: Objectiives: Natural and Built Asse	ets - FC9	
Source:	Type: Not duly made	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.7			
		Issue:	2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	onment
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	allocation P06 HA1 and no new additional evid	dence has been provided in this Representation	ussed Change. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in ron. LDP Appendix 1 already recognised the need for a Historic hedule of Focussed Changes considers landscape characteris	: Landscape Assessment
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	This change emphasises the need to protect the significantly impact the current landscape and		t. It is our considered opinion that the proposed development	(P06 HA1 - 1008) will
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	The plot should be removed from the current L	DP to ensure the LDP is sound and is in keep	ping with its contents.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.			
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 31 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CP	PRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery	Agent:	CPRW Brecon & Radno	or	
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summar	ry			
6235.F7	11/03/2016 Summ	nary: Employment Land	d Growth - FC10. Paragraphs 3.3.	.1 – 3.3.8	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode	Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	edule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8				
			Issue: 2015: Deposit D	Oraft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Overtion					
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Representation Texts Council Response				
	Council Response			with Zone which incorporates the Brecon/ Bronllys/Talgai and therefore no further changes are required.	rth area. The
Question:	Council Response This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Breco				rth area. The 0
Question: Representation Texts Council Respons	Council Response This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Breco				
Question: Representation Texts	Council Response This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Brecose: Representation Details	on and Talgarth do not	fall within the scope of the Plan a		
Question: Representation Texts Council Respons Question: 1	Council Response St: This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Brecose: Representation Details St: CPRW is unclear as to the purpose of the inclusion versions.	on and Talgarth do not	fall within the scope of the Plan a		
Question: Representation Texts Council Respons Question: 1 Representation Texts Council Respons	Council Response St: This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Brecose: Representation Details St: CPRW is unclear as to the purpose of the inclusion versions.	on and Talgarth do not	fall within the scope of the Plan a		0
Question: Representation Texts Council Respons Question: 1 Representation Texts	Council Response State This comment is noted. FC10 provided clarity with re Council consider it appropriate to highlight that Breconse: Representation Details State CPRW is unclear as to the purpose of the inclusion value: Changes needed to the Focussed Change	on and Talgarth do not	fall within the scope of the Plan a		0

25/04/2016 Page 32 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.14 Housing Growth - FC11

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F3 11/03/2016 Summary: Housing Growth - FC11

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Your comments are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule. This work will include clarification on the contingency figures and the details behind the annual supply of windfall sites.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The HBF object to the reduction in the housing requirement figure and in particular the current reason given which is based on an assessment against past build rates and the need to provide a five year land supply.

We also raise concerns at the very high contingency level of 36% and feel that more sites should be allocated instead which would providing more certainty over delivery.

With regard to Windfall sites – the plan proposes an allowance of 87 dwellings per year. Paragraph 6.3 and Table 5 Population and Housing Topic Paper ADDENDUM January 2016 tries to explain this but the calculation is not clear. It firstly refers to the calculation being based on the first four years of the plan however this would only be 58 units giving an average of 15 units/yr. But then states a much higher total which includes a large number from pre 2011 this needs to be clarified as this is then used to create the higher average figure of 87 units/yr.

In addition to this mathematical question the HBF consider that using the last four years of windfalls data is misleading as this also is the last years of the UDP a time at which you would expect windfalls to increase as allocated sites run out, further once a new plan is adopted and a number of new sites are allocated you would expect the level of windfalls to reduce. This fluctuation in windfalls should either be taken account of by varying the windfall allowance across the plan or by talking account of it in the average figure. The HBF would request that a lower windfall figure be used which in turn would result in the need for more land to be allocated to make up for the shortfall in numbers, we note that windfalls currently account for 16% of the proposed housing.

Table H2 shows 19% of dwellings (including a high level of windfalls) delivered in Rural / other areas which does not align with the Strategic Policy SP1 this needs to be explained and would be another reason to lower the windfall figure. This is an example of needing to consider the wider LDP policies and strategy compared to the previous UDP and

0

•	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •			
by: Rep	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary			
78.F3	11/03/2016 Summary: Housing Gro	wth - FC11		
Source:	Type: Objection Me	ode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	considering how this might impact on the delivery of windfall sites unde	er the new plan.		
	Table 5 referred to above shows that 43% of windfalls in the last four y Strategy its states: Open market housing development will not be permitted in Rural Settle Single rural affordable homes to meet local need in perpetuity will be proposed only housing development that complies with Planning Policy Wales at The HBF contend that this Policy will result in significant reduction in the supply of windfall sites.	ements. permitted on suitable sites where well integrand TAN6 will be permitted in the Open Co	grated into a rural settlement. ountryside.	
0 ".0				
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Clarification over the calculations used to arrive at the average windfal	l figure.		
	Even if the current figure can be justified mathematically the HBF wou allocated to make up for the shortfall in numbers.	d request that a lower windfall figure be u	used which in turn would result in the need for more land to be	
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the objection I have raised above in the	ne inquiry as it enables the issue to be dis	cussed between all parties.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Representor questions under-lying housing figures/evidence and requ	ests more housing land allocations.		
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 34 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1084 Welsh Government

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

1084.F1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Housing Growth - FC11

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-03. Housing - Delivery and Infrastructure

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your response. Following various representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including these comments made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, you will be aware that the Council is reviewing its viability evidence in order to reflect current and local market conditions and in the knowledge that development is currently happening on the ground in Powys, including on brownfield and small sites and in the challenging sub-market areas of the Rural North and South-West. This work will include reviewing assumptions and typologies to ensure that they are reflective of the allocations proposed as at the focussed changes stage, further analysis of brownfield sites and further work on the specific viability issues relating to small sites in Powys.

Further work is also being carried out to clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule. This work is likely to include reassessment of the trajectory.

In response to the query regarding the windfall completion figures, we can confirm that the large windfalls of 5+ units have been factored into the 87 unit per annum assumption. The data to show the annual average and an explanation as to how this aligns with past trends can be provided as part of the further work being undertaken, as mentioned above, in relation to the housing requirement and housing provision figures.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Key Area of Concern 1:

Housing Deliverability - We remain concerned that the LDP is not supported by evidence that demonstrates the allocated sites can be delivered. The CIL Viability Assessment (EB13) has tested sites representative of allocations in the LDP and indicates that housing developments are unviable in two of the four sub-market areas. It also identifies that brownfield and small site development is unviable across Powys (although the Population and Housing Topic Paper (EB35) demonstrates historical completions on small sites dating back to 2004).

The Phasing and Delivery of New Housing Provision Paper (EB29) says that over 1000 units (22% of the plans housing requirement) are financially unviable. The evidence states that large greenfield sites in Central Powys are considered to be the most viable and also that such an allocation (P28 HA1 Land adj. Crabtree Green) is unviable and will be slow in coming forward due to the affordable housing constraint (EB29 Appendix 1). Whilst the authority has done further work exploring whether sites are deliverable, including requesting further information from landowners/agents, it remains unclear how all this additional work has benefited the plan if 22% of allocations and commitments remain undeliverable.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo D	DateLodgd Late	e? Status Modified S	Summary			
1084.F1	11/03/2016 [Summary: Housing Growth	- FC11		
Source: Email	Т	ype: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained

We remain concerned regarding the accuracy of assumptions in the Viability Assessment (EB13) and how this relates to the delivery, timing and phasing of sites in the housing trajectory. Given we have raised these concerns to you in our comments of July 2015 and in our meeting of August 2015, we are surprised the Council has not sought to present new evidence that directly addresses our concerns, or if you consider new evidence is not required, explain more fully the relationship between the LDP policies and the evidence. The Council's Viability Assessment still implies that a significant proportion of housing provision is unviable raising questions regarding the deliverability of the plan as a whole. The further evidence provided in EB29 does not address the issues raised.

We note the additional evidence relating to delivery across the plan period and 5-year land supply (LDP Topic Paper – Phasing & Delivery of New Housing Provision Jan 2016). This does not provide the evidence required to demonstrate the ability to maintain a 5-year land supply. The required build rates remain high towards the middle/end of the plan period (2019-2025). Given the low level of completions and significant under provision in the early plan period (2011-2018), build rates will need to be made up in the remainder of the plan period at a rate of at least 50 dwellings p.a. above the average build rate of 300 units.

Figure 1 in the LDP Topic Paper – Phasing & Delivery of New Housing Provision (Jan 2016) shows a windfall allowance of 87 units per annum or 960 units up to 2026. The 2015 JHLA states small windfall completions are approximately 70 units per annum. Clarification is required on whether large windfalls of 5+ units have been factored into the 87 unit per annum assumption. If they have not been included, the Council you will need to explain why and consider the impact of large windfall sites on the plans housing provision, flexibility allowance and annual build rates in the trajectory.

MOVING FORWARD:

Notwithstanding the significant issues raised in this letter, much of the work undertaken by the Council will be of value moving forward. The Council has a broad range of evidence and, in general terms, our concerns relate to how evidence has been taken forward rather than there being an absence of evidence. The supporting evidence often provides a good overview of the issues the LDP must address and it is, again in general terms, the disconnect between the evidence and LDP polices that requires further work.

Additional work is required on viability. The Council needs to demonstrate whether the allocated and windfall sites and affordable housing its strategy relies on are viable and deliverable. At the present time, the Council's own evidence states that its housing targets cannot be met. The Viability Testing identifies very high levels of unviability on some of the tested sites. The underlying assumptions need to be examined. If they are incorrect, they need to be changed. If they are correct, the Council needs to understand what the implications are for its LDP of having such high levels of unviability across the entire County Borough. Evidence on actual completions should inform this work.

Council Response:

0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts:

Housing Deliverability - the LDP is not supported by evidence that demonstrates the allocated sites can be delivered. The LDP Topic Paper - Phasing and Delivery of New Housing Provision Jan 2016 - does not provide the evidence required to demonstrate the ability to maintain a 5 year land supply.

Council Response:

0

25/04/2016 Page 36 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

1084.F2 11/03/2016 Summary: Housing Growth - FC11

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your response. Following various representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including these comments made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, you will be aware that the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule. This work will include clarification on the contingency/flexibility allowances.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Key Area of Concern 2:

Housing Provision - We consider FC11 to increase the housing provision in the plan (to 6129) exacerbates rather than addresses the issues we have previously raised. The housing requirement of 4,500 units appears to be based on the 2011 household principal projections. The new figure deviates above the 2011 projections by 400 units and is lower than the figure in the Deposit plan (5519) by 1000 units. Whilst we note the addendum to the Population and Housing Topic Paper, it still remains unclear what evidence justifies a reduction in the housing requirement. You should note that the Welsh Government does not object in principle to revising the housing requirement. The issue is how the figure has been arrived at, why it has been reduced by 1000 units since Deposit, the lack of certainty on whether the figure can be delivered and whether it is the right figure.

The increase in the housing provision to 6129 units means that there is 36% flexibility in the plan. This raises new additional concerns regarding certainty on site delivery. It is not clear why flexibility has been increased from 10% to 36%. The reasons provided do not adequately explain the need for such a high level of flexibility. We consider that moving from an approach to housing delivery that concluded a 10% flexibility allowance was required to one now proposing a 36% allowance, is a fundamental change. In the absence of evidence to explain this, we have significant concerns on the introduction of such an approach at the focussed change stage post the submission of the LDP for examination.

MOVING FORWARD:

Notwithstanding the significant issues raised in this letter, much of the work undertaken by the Council will be of value moving forward. The Council has a broad range of evidence and, in general terms, our concerns relate to how evidence has been taken forward rather than there being an absence of evidence. The supporting evidence often provides a good overview of the issues the LDP must address and it is, again in general terms, the disconnect between the evidence and LDP polices that requires further work.

25/04/2016 Page 37 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oonounamon nop	or Appointix 4. 1 o Roprocontatio	no a ocumen recepcinese		i onyo oounty	Ocanon Ecoal Borolopinone i ian
by: Rep	resentation No				
Filtered to show: (all o	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
1084.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Housing Growth	- FC11		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	Further work is required to justify and explane chosen and be able to explain them between the requirement and provision fig. Viability, deliverability and the housing number of the control of the con	to the Planning Inspector. You m gures.	nust explain why the numbe	rs have both changed since Deposit and	d why there is now a 36% difference
Council Response:	plan period.				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Evidence is required to justify the reduction arrived at, why has it been reduced by 100	0 ,		• •	S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S S
	The reasons provided for the change from to support such a fundamental change po			xibility has been increased to such a hig	h level. There should be evidence
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 38 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6192	Gardiner,	Mr	Ben
------	-----------	----	-----

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodad Late? Status Modified Summary 09/03/2016 6192.F1 Summary: Housing Growth - FC11 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response**

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Representation Texts:

The figure of 6129 aims to provide a range of dwellings from varous sources (i.e windfall and allocated sites) that relect the dwelling requirement for the whole of Powys not just Llanfyllin. Llanfyllin is classed as a Town (in the LDP strategy which adopts the approach of a sustainable settlement hierarchy), based on its population and its wide range of services. It is for this reason that Llanfyllin has been given allocations equating to 145 dwellings.

Following the representations received, it should be noted that the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via

Matters Arising Changes.

Question: 1 Representation Details

> Page 8 Meeting Future Needs. The figure of 6129 new dwellings requires revisiting. Powys suffers from a increasingly declining and aging population. In Llanfyllin the LDP proposes over 145 new dwellings. Local research has shown that the need is much more modest with no plans for future development in Llanfyllin by the major housing associations. Inquiries to the housing associations in regard to any tentative plans for building in Llanfyllin

relate only to small housing units for the elderly.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

Representation Texts: Need to relook at 6129 dwelling figure, doesn't reflect own research as to what is required in Llanfyllin.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 39 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6210 , The Bowker Family Agent: Pegasus Group

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6210.F2 11/03/2016 Summary: Housing Growth - FC11

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016 the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

The Council notes your viewpoint that Four Crosses should receive a higher level of development and that the Council should be promoting the delivery of additional sites in Towns and Large Villages to ensure a more sustainable distribution of growth. The Council does not agree that changes to the Plan are necessary in response to this Focussed Change representation. The Council believes that growth has been appropriately apportioned across the sustainable settlement strategy and that the LDP can deliver the housing required to meet Powys' needs to 2026. In the particular case of Four Crosses, the Council considers that it is pertinent to note that the allocated site in the Draft Deposit Plan 2015 - P18 HA1 - whilst allocated for 30 dwellings based on current identified need has a larger site area and capacity if required (1.2 ha of 3.4 ha required in this Plan period). Furthermore, the pro-rata growth at the settlement level is not made up purely of new land allocations. Dwellings in the existing land bank (ie those completed since 2011, started or committed by virtue of planning permission under the current statutory development plan) also contribute to meeting needs alongside the further windfall/infill/exceptions opportunities that are likely to exist in each town/large village. For these reasons, the Council do not agree that the LDP plans for insufficient new housing

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: FC11 and FC13

Paragraph 3.4.11 of the revised deposit draft LDP identified that Towns accommodated 41% of the population of Powys, with 31% in Large or Small Villages, and 28% in Rural Settlements.

Table H2 of the revised deposit draft LDP identified the proposed apportionment of housing between the different settlement hierarchies with 53% being proposed in Towns, 31% in Large and Small Villages and 16% in Rural Settlements. Paragraph 3.4.22 identifies that this pro-rate apportionment which focusses development at the Towns and Large Villages ensures sustainable development.

FC11 however now indicates that the proposed distribution of growth has changed as a result of planning commitments. This change has the result of reducing the proportions proposed to be delivered at Towns and Large Villages, with this now being proposed at Small Villages and Rural Settlements, contrary to the strategy previously proposed. Indeed, the revised deposit draft LDP

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status	s Modified Summary		
6210.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Housing Growth -	FC11	
Source:	Type: Obje	ection Mode	Oral (Examination) Status	Maintained

proposed a housing requirement of 5,519 homes with 4,815 (or 79.3%) of these proposed at Towns and Large Villages. However, the Focussed Changes now propose only 4,611 homes (or 75.2%) at Towns and Large Villages. The result is that the apportionment towards Towns and Large Villages (which is required to ensure sustainable development) has reduced in both absolute and proportionate terms.

FC13 exacerbates this less sustainable apportionment by requiring that only 70% of housing growth is located at the Towns and Large Villages, when the current supply indicates that in excess of 75% can be achieved. This ignores both the evidence and the strategy of the LDP and so does not fit, and is not appropriate.

The only way to address these related issues is to promote the delivery of additional sites at the Towns and Large Villages, including at Four Crosses, and to set a more meaningful target for the delivery of housing at Towns and Large Villages (i.e. in excess of 75%) to ensure a more sustainable distribution.

Paragraph 3.4.13 of the LDP identifies that Large Villages (including Four Crosses) will accommodate housing growth in proportion to their size and facilities and according to their capacity to accommodate growth due to environmental and infrastructure capacity constraints. Paragraphs 3.4.23 and 3.2.24 identify that all settlements may not be able to receive pro-rate levels of growth and in these instances this will be made up at other Towns and Villages.

Appendix 1 of the Settlement Hierarchy Document identifies that Four Crosses has the same levels of facilities and services as many of the Towns, including Uanfair Caereinion, Uanfyilln, Montgomery, Presteigne, and Rhayader and the Erratum LDP Strategy Topic Paper identifies that it is the third largest Large Village. Furthermore, no environmental or infrastructure constraints have been identified at Four Crosses. It would therefore be expected that Four Crosses would receive a level of development that at least meets its pro-rata requirement.

The Erratum LOP Strategy Topic Paper identifies a pro-rata requirement for 46 homes in Four Crosses, but then identifies only 30 within the supply. This means that as a minimum Four Crosses should receive additional growth to that currently proposed to meet even the pro-rata requirement and that this it could provide an even greater number of homes to meet the constrained needs of other settlements. This would support a greater absolute and proportionate apportionment to the Large Villages, which is identified as being required to ensure sustainable development.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

The apportionment of housing growth proposed in FC11 is less sustainable than that proposed previously and the mechanism to address this is to support additional development at the Towns and Large Villages in accordance with the strategy of the LDP.

The target for housing growth in Towns and Large Villages in FC13 does not reflect the evidence or the

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M	-			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary			
6210.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Housing Growth	- FC11		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	strategy and it should be revised such that	at least 75% of housing growth	is required in these location	ns.	
Council Respon	ise:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Tex	Pegasus Group wish to speak at the exami more appropriately embedded in the LDP, p			an be	
Council Respon	ise:				0

25/04/2016 Page 42 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F8 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Housing Growth - FC11. Paragraphs 3.3.12 – 3.3.16,

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Question: Council Respon

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule. This work will include clarification on the

contingency/flexibility allowances.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via

Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: 3.3.14 The LDP housing requirement has been revised down from 5,519 to 4,500 dwellings

3.3.15 A contingency factor has been added. The original factor was 10%. The plan makes provision for 6,129 dwellings in order to meet the 'dwelling requirement' of 4,500 dwellings. The revised factor, which is not supplied, is therefore 36%. (6,129 -4,500) x 100 ÷ 4,500). No reason is given for applying a contingency factor over 3½ times the original. We are inclined to think this is a mistake but, if so, it is a mistake which then informs the entire housing allocation policy because figures are designed to add up to a total of 6.129 houses not the 4.950 houses a 10% contingency would require. The Topic Papers cited at the bottom of p10 confirm the downwards revision of the housing requirement.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Reasonable, transparent calculations with appropriate explanation. (If there is no mistake, it may be necessary to make alterations elsewhere to explain how a reduced housing

requirement can possibly lead to a much increased housing allocation).

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: Lack of explanation of figures and their consequences for housing allocation. A contingency factor of 36% is indefensible.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 43 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6416	on behalf of	Residents	Group
------	--------------	-----------	-------

6416	on behalf of Residents Group					
Rep'n/Para/Policy	y AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary				
6416.F3	10/03/2016	Summary: Housing Growth - FC11				
Source:	Type: Not duly made	Mode Written	Status Maintained			
Document:FC - S	Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.8					
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers			
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					

Representation Texts:

This representation is not considered to be duly made as it does not relate to a specific Focussed Change. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions with regard to the designation of Boughrood and Llywen within the settlement hierarchy. The Council considers that the proposed settlement hierarchy is sound, based on a robust methodology with levels of growth and site allocations supported by a wide range of supporting evidence including access to services. The Council maintains that the tiers of settlements identified accurately reflect their role, function and overall level of sustainability and it is appropriate to consider Boughrood and Llyswen in combination as a Large Village. The Council consider that the apportioned distribution of housing across the Settlement Hierarchy is based on a sound rationale which supports the delivery of the LDP strategy and the longer term viability of settlements considered capable of supporting sustainable growth and therefore does not agree that the site should be removed from the Plan.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

This change addresses the number of dwellings associated with towns, large villages, small villages and rural/other. We would point out that the LDP currently groups Boughrood and Llyswen as one large village. This incorrectly represents the villages of Boughrood and Llyswen as they are each a small village totally independent from each other. As such this totally distorts the dwelling needs of the villages.

In our representations last year this point was raised and disappointingly the Council have not responded with a reason why these two villages were grouped together as one large village.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

For the villages of Llyswen and Boughrood not to be grouped as one large village but as separate small villages and for the LDP to be re-reviewed to reflect these changes and as such the proposals to include plot HA1 to be reviewed in line with these changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

Representation Texts:

Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1. Seeking re-categorisation of settlements (Large Village to Small Village).

Council Response:

0

25/04/2016 Page 44 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.15 LDP Affordable Housing target - FC12

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F4 11/03/2016 Summary: LDP Affordable Housing target - FC12

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.11

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016 the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures and is also undertaking additional work on the Viability Study. These topics are clearly linked to the Plan's strategy for the delivery of affordable housing which the Council will also need to clarify in updated papers in due course.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The HBF object to the increased affordable housing requirement particular as there is also a reduction in the amount of market housing, this will mean that the percentage of market housing that can be delivered is reduced. This increase in affordable housing is caused by the change from 20 to 30% in the Central Powys area Policy H4 (See our comments to FC24):

The HBF object to the increase in the affordable housing percentage increase from 20% to 30 % in central Powys, we also consider that reason given is not sound and justified. It is quite clear from the wording elsewhere in the plan that no decision has yet been made as to whether or not the Council will adopt a CIL charge. Therefor a policy requirement which links directly to CIL cannot be changed at this stage. CIL guidance also states that the impact of CIL should be neutral when considered against the existing situation of S106's, so it does not follow that not having CIL will mean there is more viability in the scheme as the need to mitigate against the impact of the development will still be taken account of as part of any S106 agreement.

Also figures in para. 3.318 suggest a jump from 28/yr delivery rate over last two years to 99/yr for the next 11 years of the plan the HBF question if this is realistic. On this point it is noted that the Council refer to the issue of low delivery rates in its Population and Housing Topic Paper ADDENDUM January 2016. This concern of overly high delivery rates has also been used as a reason to reduce the market housing element of the housing requirement in the same plan.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Reduce the affordable housing requirement as a result of reinstating the requirement for 20% in the Central Powys area Policy H4.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	·	• •	·
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	l Summary		
78.F4	11/03/2016	Summary: LDP Affordable Housing target - FC12		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Council Response:				0
Question: 3 Representation Texts:	,	on I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue	e to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Objection to the revised Affordable Housing	g Target - Central Powys Area.		
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 46 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1084 Welsh Government

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modit	fied Summary				
1084.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: LDP Affordable H	Housing target - FC12			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.11

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your response. Following various representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including these comments made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, you will be aware that the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures and is also undertaking a review of the Viability Study. This work will inform the affordable housing target and threshold. These topics are clearly linked to the Plan's strategy for the delivery of affordable housing which the Council will also need to clarify in updated papers in due course.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

In response to the omission of the affordable housing target being 'subject to detailed viability assessments', this wording was removed from the Plan following a representation which questioned by a Representor at consultation stage on the Deposit Plan, as it was considered by the Representor that the wording suggested that a viability assessment would be required to be submitted for every scheme. The Council agreed that the policy as worded could be interpreted in this way and therefore removed this as a focussed change, however it continued to allow for negotiation with developers through submission of site specific viability evidence as explained in paragraph 4.6.17 in the supporting justification. It is recognised that the flexibility for negotiation with developers could be made clearer by referring to this within the policy itself. The Council would therefore agree to the following additional text within policy H4 after point 2.d: 'Where the developer of a proposal provides detailed site specific financial evidence demonstrating that the provision of the affordable housing as per the target set under criterion 1 above is not viable, the Authority will consider the appropriateness of a reduction in the affordable housing targets set above, or where necessary, the removal of the requirement to provide affordable housing.'

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Key Area of Concern 3:

Affordable Housing – Whilst we support the principle of FC12, which increases the affordable housing target to 1257 units, we have significant concerns regarding viability and delivery of the plan as a whole. Appendix 1 of the Phasing and Delivery of New Housing Provision Paper (EB29) for example, states that 1000 units (of which approximately 255 would be affordable units) are considered unviable. There is therefore doubt over the delivery of 20% of the LDP's affordable housing target.

Whilst we are supportive of the principle of FC24, which increases the affordable housing target to 30% in Central Powys, the FC omits reference to affordable housing targets being 'subject to detailed viability assessments'. This removes the flexibility for negotiation with developers and is not compliant with PPW or TAN 2.

0

by: R	eport Appendix 4: FC Representati Representation No	ons & Council Responses		Powys County Council	Local Development Plan				
Filtered to show: (a	all of) Stage=F; Status=M								
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	fied Summary							
1084.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: LDP Affordable Housing target - FC1	2						
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained					
	Viability Assessment (EB13). The afford	for a 10% affordable housing target in south-west Powysdable housing threshold of 5 or more units in Policy H4 a align with historic small site completion rates (EB35).							
	MOVING FORWARD:								
	and, in general terms, our concerns rela	Notwithstanding the significant issues raised in this letter, much of the work undertaken by the Council will be of value moving forward. The Council has a broad range of evidence and, in general terms, our concerns relate to how evidence has been taken forward rather than there being an absence of evidence. The supporting evidence often provides a good overview of the issues the LDP must address and it is, again in general terms, the disconnect between the evidence and LDP polices that requires further work.							
	deliverable. At the present time, the Couthe tested sites. The underlying assump	The Council needs to demonstrate whether the allocated uncil's own evidence states that its housing targets cannotions need to be examined. If they are incorrect, they neach high levels of unviability across the entire County Bo	ot be met. The Viability eed to be changed. If the	Testing identifies very high levels by are correct, the Council needs	of unviability on some of to understand what the				
		xplain in simple, evidence based terms the housing request to the Planning Inspector. You must explain why the refigures.							
	Viability, deliverability and the housing r plan period.	numbers the LDP seeks to deliver, must be evidence base	sed and understood in th	ne context of ensuring a 5 year ho	ousing supply over the				
Council Respons	e:				0				
Question: 4	Summary of Representation								
Representation Text	s: Affordable Housing - concerns re: viabil	ity/delivery, wording change re: FC24, 10% contribution	in Yestradynlais/South V	West Powys is not supported by e	evidence, AH threshold of				

5 or more units (Policy H4) is also not supported by evidence or aligned to historic small site completion rates.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 48 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417 Cyi	mdeithas Yr	laith G	yrmaeg
----------	-------------	---------	--------

•	, ,			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	ummary		
6417.F3//H4	11/03/2016	Summary: LDP Affordable Housing target - FC12		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.47			
Policy: H4		Issue: 2015: D	Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Mae'r cynrychiolaeth hwn yn gefnogol o gyfran	nau tai fforddiadwy y Cynllun.		
	This representation is supportive of the afforda	able housing proportions of the Plan.		
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	Rydym yn croesawu'r cynnydd yn y gyfran o da	ai fforddiadwy a awgrymir.		
	We welcome the increase in the proportion of	affordable houses that is suggested.		
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Ddim yn gymwys.			
	N/A			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Mae'r cynrychiolaeth hwn yn croesawu'r cynny	dd yn y gyfran o dai fforddiadway a awgrymir.		
	This representation welcomes the increase in	the proportion of affordable housing suggested.		
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 49 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.24 Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F5 11/03/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

Source: Type: Comment Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-03. Housing - Delivery and Infrastructure

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

These comments regarding village action plans are noted. The Council considers that it is appropriate to refer to Village Action Plans as being community led. It is intended for such plans to be adopted as Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG), and therefore the plan must gain approval from the County Council. Whilst the County Council will need to be involved in this process, ensuring the involvement and support of the community should be at the heart of the process. The Village Action Plan would be community led in this sense, but it also is anticipated that communities, landowners and developers, will initiate and lead this process. The definition provided in the Glossary of the plan (Appendix 5), added at the focussed changes stage (FC63) explains the process for preparation and approval of a Village Action Plan. Village Action Plans that are adopted as SPG and have been subject of public consultation will carry appropriate weight in the planning process.

Whilst the concerns of the Representor in respect of the perceived added delay and risk that could be caused by the Village Action Plan process are noted, however the Council does not agree that this would necessarily be the case as such plans are aimed at adding certainty to the process by involving the community. The Plan makes provision for such sites to come forward subject to their accordance with a Village Action Plan, however it does not rely on such sites coming forward to meet housing needs. The Plan does not envisage or encourage large developments to come forward within Small Villages as it does not consider that they are appropriately located in terms of their sustainability and also in terms of their capacity to accommodate large scale developments. Furthermore, opportunities for larger infill development within small villages are likely to be relatively limited compared to the potential for larger infill in higher settlements within the hierarchy. However, the Council continues to consider it important to make provision for appropriate schemes to come forward where they have the support of the community to meet the needs and aspirations of that community.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Within the table that supports Strategic Policy SP1 at Section 3 small villages we question the use of the phrase 'community led Village action plan' is this the appropriate document to refer to? Such a plan is referred to as potential SPG's in TAN12 which would mean they need to be approved by the Council rather than the community. Clarification in the plan is required on the process involved in approving such a plan and concern is raised that it will lead to a delay in development coming forward and put off the smaller builders who will see it as a significant additional risk to the planning process. Both of these could result in the plan under delivering.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Clarification is required on the status and approval process for such plans.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 50 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	presentation No l of) Stage=F; Status=M	•			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status i	Modified Summary			
78.F5	11/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Grov	wth / Strategic Policies - FC13		
Source:	Type: Comr	ment Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: I wish to be able to speak about the comment I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue to be discussed between all parties.					
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				_
Representation Texts	Details requested on Village Action	ı Plans.			
Council Response	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 51 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

439 Newtown & Llanllwchaiarn Town Council

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
439.F1//SP2	08/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.15				

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments.

The removal of the Montgomery Canal from the list in previos policy DM1(7.) as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction" and its insertion generically within "green tourism assets and infrastrusture" in new policy SP2 has been reconsidered, however the Council does not agree that any further changes are necessary.

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07. Employment, Retail and Tourism

The LDP must be flexible and adaptable to changing circumstances over the life of the Plan. Policy SP2 is intended to protect assets from adverse effects of development and not to promote them but it is not the intention of the policy to be "overly prescriptive" or "narrowly focussed" regarding the nature of strategic and material assets. Indeed, the policy wording is catch-all in that it refers to various types of named asset with the proviso text "including but not limited to..." and, as confirmed in the supporting text, the list is non-exhaustive. As such, it is not considered essential that the Montgomery canal is listed in its own right in any particular section of SP2.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Policy: SP2

Strategic Policy SP2 – Safeguarding of Material Assets

Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Council is of the view that the Montgomery Canal is already a major tourism asset with a navigable section around Welshpool, and use of the canal by narrow boats, canoes and coracles. In addition, it is being well used by walkers and cyclists, being part of National Cycle route 81.

The Canal and Rivers Trust, in conjunction with Welshpool Town Council, is working towards the reconnection of the Montgomery Canal to the main canal network in England, which will further raise the profile of the canal as a major tourism asset Newtown Town and Llanllwchaiarn Council is of the view that the Montgomery Canal should be included in 'Major tourism assets and visitor attractions' in bullet list iii. Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Council notes that Canals and Waterways are mentioned in bullet list iv, but as the Montgomery Canal is the only canal in Powys outside the Brecon Beacon National Park it should be mentioned by name.

The relevance of the canal to Newtown residents is supported by evidence in the recent Newtown Community consultation for the Newtown Town Plan. 26% of consultees identified 'Restoration of the canal to Newtown' as a priority for the town. It was the sixth ranked priority overall.

Following the community consultation, Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Council

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

consultation Kep	on Appendix 4. 1 6 Nepresentation	ons & council Nesponses	i owys cou	inty Council Local Developine	iit i iaii
by: Rep	resentation No				
Filtered to show: (all o	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	fied Summary			
439.F1//SP2	08/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13			
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained		
	passed a resolution at a full council meet 1. 'This Council recognises the economic restoration of the Montgomery canal betweether the could bring.'	c and regeneration opportunities that			
	'This Council wishes to add its voice to canal in asking Welsh Government to su Newtown.'	o the many organisations involved with the upport the full restoration of the canal to			
	3. 'This Council will work with the Canal a Partnership and others to achieve this air	and Rivers Trust, the Montgomery Canal im.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	ge			
Representation Texts:	a) The Montgomery Canal should be incl attractions' in bullet list iii.	luded in 'Major tourism assets and visitor			
	 b) Canals and Waterways are mentioned is the only canal in Powys outside the Bri mentioned by name. 	d in bullet list iv, but as the Montgomery Canal recon Beacon National Park it should be			
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Montogomery canal should be mentioed	in Policy SP2 as a material asset.			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 53 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

2660 Price, JR & MG

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

2660.F1//SP1 03/02/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12

Policy: SP1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council notes your continuing concerns over the lack of LDP inset maps for settlements which have been identified as Small Villages.

The Council has been consistent in the approach to Small Villages from the early stages of Plan preparation. The reasons for the loss of development boundaries for Small Villages (which were "Villages" in the Preferred Strategy) were first set out in the Preferred Strategy 2012. The LDP has continued to evolve and the Council considers that development in this tier of the settlement hierarchy will be suitably restricted and controlled by Strategic Poicy SP1 and Housing Policy H1. In the case of possible larger housing schemes on infill sites, there is opportunity for full public engagement in the preparation of the required Village Action Plan SPG, the discussions and outcomes of which should improve certainty at the local level for interested parties.

Whilst your concerns regarding the impact of potential development on the neighbouring land are recognised and appreciated and, as you have advised, were instrumental in a change to the Unitary Development Plan, the Council do not agree that the LDP should be changed so as to provide Inset Maps and Settlement Development Boundaries for the Small Village tier (which includes Felilnfach).

The Council is aware that your Deposit Plan representations will be heard in full by the Inspector at the forthcoming Examination and ultimately a decision on the matter of Small Villages and the issues you have raised will be made through the Examination process.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

In our view the LDP should identify development boundaries for Small Villages, as in the former UDP, so that owners and occupiers of adjoining land can have a degree of certainty as to where development can or cannot take place in future. To argue, as the Council does in its Response to comments raised, that a "policy" approach rather than an inset map based approach provides flexibilty is all very well and would no doubt make life easier for the planning officers, but the concomitant of that is unacceptable uncertainty for others such as us.

Furthermore, the Council's contention that inset maps create "hope values" on land adjoining settlement boundaries defies logic. We would argue strongly that the opposite is the case.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Require Inset Maps for Small Villages.

Council Response:

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 2660.F1//SP1 03/02/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

0

Council Response:

Question: 4

Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: For small villages to have inset maps and development boundaries.

25/04/2016 Page 55 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5704	Glandwr Cy	mru - Canal 8	& River	Trust in	Wales
------	------------	---------------	---------	----------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late	? Status Modified S	Summary			
5704.F1//SP2	10/03/2016		Summary: Apportioning Gro	wth / Strategic Policies - FC13 SP2		
Source: Email	Ту	/pe: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained
Document:FC - Schedule of Fo	cussed Changes Ja	an 2016, p.15				
Policy: SP2				Issue: 2015: Deposit Drai	t-01. Prep	paration, Process and Plan Strategy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. The removal of the Montgomery Canal from the list in previous policy DM1 (7.) as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction" and its insertion generically within "green tourism assets and infrastructure" in new policy SP2 has been reconsidered, however the Council do not agree that any further changes are necessary.

The LDP must be flexible and adaptable to changing circumstances over the life of the Plan. It is not the intention of policy SP2 to be "overly prescriptive" or "narrowly focussed" regarding the nature of strategic and material assets. Indeed the policy wording is catch-all in that it refers to various types of named asset with the proviso text "including but not limited to" and, as confirmed in the supporting text, the list is non-exhaustive. As such, it is not considered essential that the Montgomery Canal is listed in its own right in any particular section of SP2.

The Council does not agree that the proposed Focussed Changes in any way "downgrade" the status or significance of the canal. The aims of the Montgomery Canal interest groups/Montgomery Canal Partnership regarding restoration and re-use are acknowledged. The Council recognises the potential of the canal and the wide range of benefits on offer to Powys. The LDP is supportive of appropriate and sensitive canal related development on the Montgomery Canal through specific tourism policy TD3.

No further changes to the Plan are considered necessary in response to the Focussed Changes representation.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

FOCUSSED CHANGE: FC 13 proposes new introductory text and two new strategic policies. Glandwr Cymru wishes to comment in respect of the proposed new Strategic Policy SP2 – Safeguarding of Material Assets. Whilst the Trust has no objection to the introduction of this policy in principle, it would make the following detailed comment. Former Policy DM1 identified the Montgomery Canal as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction". Such an approach was supported by Glandwr Cymru in its previous consultation response which commented on the multifunctional nature of the canal for leisure uses, as a visitor attraction and for its wildlife and heritage value. This designation has been amended under new Policy SP2 to that of a "green tourism asset". Glandwr Cymru considers this designation to be overly prescriptive, failing to take into account the important heritage and wider economic and tourism offer of the canal. It furether considers that such an approach could result in too narrow a focus when determining impact on the canal and its operation with consequential harm on its wider characateristics and role.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Requested change:

Glandwr Cymru objects to Policy SP2 as written and requests that it be amended to either:

□ combine paragraphs ii and iii into one new category of "important tourism and visitor attractions": or

☐ retitle paragraph iv as Tourism Assets and infrastructure

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

bur	Representation No	•	, ,	•
•	•			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Polic	/ AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modi	ified Summary		
5704.F1//SP2	10/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC	213 SP2	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Council Resp	onse:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation T	exts: Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the a	bove recommended changes and their importance to the future	e of the Montgomery Canal in Powys.	
Council Resp	onse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 57 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No			
	all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
-	ardiner, Mr Ben			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	d Summary		
6192.F3	10/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - F	C13	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	edule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12			
		Issue: 2015: De	eposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Text	through a range of policies such as Policion opportunities for economic development	E2, Policy R2 and Policy TD1. It is recognised that not eve	Ilin. However, the LDP does enable employment and economic development housing allocation is located near to an employment allocation, but all contains a range of services and is considered to be a suitable and le Settlement Hierarchy.	nent
Council Respons	e:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Text	s: Transport. Creating a dormitory town. Llanfyllin has limited employment possibi all in the proposed LDP. Therefore, any r need to commute elsewhere for employm does not support the local economy as the	w residents of working age will ent which is not sustainable and		

Council Response: 0

 Question: 4
 Summary of Representation

 Representation Texts:
 Lack of employment opportunities in Llanfyllin therefore people will need to commute.

 Council Response:
 0

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6192.F8//SP1 10/03/2011 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12

Policy: SP1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

25/04/2016 Page 58 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6192.F8//SP1 10/03/2011 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

by: Representation No

Representation Texts: The LDP includes allocations and a series of policies (including on employment, transport, open space, built heritage and tourism) that seek to enable development in Towns

such as Llanfyllin in a sustainable manner.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

As made clear from Planning Policy Wales priorities for rural areas include: sustainable communities with access to affordable housing and access to high quality public services; creation of a thriving economy where agriculture is complimented by sustainable tourism and other forms of employment; and an attractive ecologically rich and accessible countryside. At present the proposed LDP fails completely to translate the directives of Planning Policy Wales in any meaningful manner to Llanfyllin and its environ. The town has potential due to its architecture, location and geography. Powys County Council has a duty of care to North Powys and its residents to ensure they are well served and that Powys County Council do not fall in to the 'Southcentric' pattern that is illustrated elsewhere in the UK.

Llanfyllin is clearly in decline as it has suffered the closure and transfer of a manufacturing employer, closure of the only bank and a leisure centre that is no longer open on Saturdays. Many shops have closed and the remaining businesses bemoan the lack of footfall throughout the week. This LDP is crucial for the town and its surroundings as there needs to be a clear, holistic vision if this decline is to be reversed.

This consultation to examine the Focused Changes does not detract from the fact that the earlier consultations were narrow and flawed. To expect that a holistic and comprehensive document that fulfils Welsh Assembly Governments guidelines, and can be considered safe, from these wholly inadequate public consultations is laughable.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: LDP fails to reflect National Policys for the town of Llanfyllin.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 59 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F9//SP2 11/03/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 (SP2 Safeguarding of Material Assets)

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.15

Policy: SP2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments.

The Council do not agree that the policy wording should be changed to offer more explicit protection regarding amenity and safety for user groups in respect of strategic/material assets. It is considered that the current policy wording making reference to the material asset and "its operation" is sufficient to cover the use of an asset (such as a trails, footpath, towpaths etc) without the need for more specific protection.

The Council does not therefore consider that any changes to the Plan should be made in respect of this Focussed Change Representation.

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

1.CPRW welcomes the inclusion of Strategic Policies which clarify the settlement hierarchy and especially welcomes the safeguarding of strategic assets in Policy SP2 (iii) & (iv).

2.CPRW would like to see explicit protection of the attributes which attract residents and visitors alike to enjoy Powys's tourism assets and we have proposed below a wording change to recognise importance of assessment of impacts on those attributes i.e. the enjoyment of a route and the ability to access the route without compromising personal safety. This recommended change is supported by PPW8 Paras 11.1.3, 11.1.8, 11.1.13 and also recognises the importance of these routes to residents and the considerable economic contribution made by outdoor tourists and by equestrians.

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Insert additional wording in SP2 introduction and iv. as below (underlined):

Developments which impact on the following material assets will only be permitted where they will have no unacceptable adverse impact on the asset and its operation, including its safe use and enjoyment by all users:

. . . .

- iv. Green tourism assets and infrastructure including but not limited to:
- a. National Cycle Network routes.
- b. National Trails and National Bridlepath Network.
- c. Local Trails and Public Rights of Way.
- d. Canals and Waterways.
- e. Open access land and common land.
- f. Potential future routes along linear features (such as disused railways).

The assessment of impacts of development on these assets is to include assessment of impact on their safe use and enjoyment by all users, and to recognise the vulnerability of

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		•		•	•	
by:	Representation No					
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Summary				
6235.F9//SP2	11/03/2016	Summary: Apportionin	g Growth / Strategic Policies - FC1	3 (SP2 Safeguarding of Material Asse	ets)	
Source: Email	Туре:	Objection N	lode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		
	impaired users and equestria	ans and horse drawn vehicles.				
Council Respo	nse:				0	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak	at hearing				
Representation Te	exts: All of the above: the need fo	or measures to ensure protection of the ar	nenity and safety of users of 'greer	n tourism assets and infrastructure'.		
Council Respo	nse:				0	

25/04/2016 Page 61 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6256	Montgomery	v Waterway	Restoration	Trust

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status N	Modified Summary			
6256.F1	10/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Gro	owth / Strategic Policies - FC13		
Source:	Type: Object	ion Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12					
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft	t-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy	

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. The removal of the Montgomery Canal from the list in previous policy DM1 (7.) as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction" and its insertion generically within "green tourism assets and infrastructure" in new policy SP2 has been reconsidered, however the Council do not agree that any further changes are necessary.

The LDP must be flexible and adaptable to changing circumstances over the life of the Plan. It is not the intention of policy SP2 to be "overly prescriptive" or "narrowly focussed" regarding the nature of strategic and material assets. Indeed the policy wording is catch-all in that it refers to various types of named asset with the proviso text "including but not limited to" and, as confirmed in the supporting text, the list is non-exhaustive. As such, it is not considered essential that the Montgomery Canal is listed in its own right in any particular section of SP2.

The Council does not agree that the proposed Focussed Changes in any way "downgrade" the status or significance of the canal. The aims of the Montgomery Canal interest groups/Montgomery Canal Partnership regarding restoration and re-use are acknowledged. The Council recognises the potential of the canal and the wide range of benefits on offer to Powys. The LDP is supportive of appropriate and sensitive canal related development on the Montgomery Canal through specific tourism policy TD3.

In the context of the LDP, it is considered that the term Green Tourism Asset is not limited to "paths or other areas for walking, cycling or riding" as suggested by your representation and a wider definition surrounding sustainable tourism assets/valuable infrastructure can be assumed. Overall Policy SP2 is designed to protect such assets from unacceptable adverse impacts of new development as opposed to curtailing appropriate well-designed development.

No further changes to the Plan are considered necessary in response to the Focussed Changes representation.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Objection: 'Green tourism assets' in (iv) are paths or other areas for walking, cycling or riding. The canals of Powys are much more: as important tourism assets they bring boating and other visitors from far and wide, as well as towpath users, providing business opportunities for moorings and other boat services and facilities, pubs, restaurants and tearooms and visitor accommodation. The LDP should take account of present use and of the future opportunities as restoration of the Montgomery Canal connects mid-Wales to the 2,000-mile national waterway network. Canals should be classified as important tourism assets, not limited to their 'green' opportunities.

Comment: Canalside development should provide economic opportunities on non-allocated sites, including new small businesses which could benefit users of the canal or towpath. The meaning of "no unacceptable adverse impact" is not clear, but on the Montgomery Canal would be governed by the processes of the Conservation Management Strategy (to

which Powys County Council is a signatory) managed by the Montgomery Canal Partnership (of which Powys County Council is a member). It should be noted that in the lifetime of the LDP the Montgomery Canal could be restored

beyond Refail where development would be desirable, eg at the outskirts of Newtown to draw boating visitors to the town, and this may be within the flood plain (DM4 refers).

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re _l	epresentation No I of) Stage=F; Status=M	s & council Responses	1 owys country country Local	Development Flam
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6256.F1	10/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	comment: "The Montgomery Waterway Re were involved with other public authorities, The Strategy strikes a careful balance of re We understand that changes to the deposit soundness of the finished LDP or to cope w national policy. The Schedule, however, see Montgomery Canal and its restoration as a	by a letter on headed paper, signed by Chairman of the Trust - let storation Trust is a member of the Montgomery Canal Partnership statutory agencies and voluntary groups, on both sides of the bord storation and conservation, respecting the built and natural heritaged LDP should only be proposed where necessary to ensure the vith a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new ems to go beyond this, with significant changes affecting the navigable waterway. The amended LDP seems to deny Powys the lively canal scene (particularly enhancing the centre of Welshpoor	a, as is Powys County Council. For the best part of feer, in the preparation of the Conservation Managenge of the canal. a full benefit of the restored Montgomery Canal as a feet of the canal.	ive years both nent Strategy. a multifunctional
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Canals should be classified as important to	urism assets, not limited to their 'green' opportunities.		
	It should be acknowledged that canalside d of the canal or towpath.	evelopment should provide economic opportunities on non-allocat	ted sites, including new small businesses which cou	lld benefit users
	It should be noted that in the lifetime of the draw boating visitors to the town, and this n	LDP the Montgomery Canal could be restored beyond Refail when hay be within the flood plain (DM4 refers).	re development would be desirable, eg at the outski	rts of Newtown to
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	worked to restore navigation to the Montgor made in the past by local authorities on bot the publicity that the canal and (largely volu importance of the County Council continuin	ng commitment of volunteers from across the country who have mery Canal in Powys and Shropshire, the significant contribution h sides of the border, the national importance of the restoration, inteer-led) events on the canal bring to the district, and the g to play its full part in supporting the Montgomery Canal rom the restoration of the Montgomery Canal.		
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Strategic Policy SP2 - does not cover the b	enefits/opportunity of the Montgomery Canal sufficiently.		
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 63 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6348	Dwr Cymru We	Ish Water
------	--------------	-----------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6348.F1//SP2 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 -(SP2 - Safeguarding of Material Assets) Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.15 Policy: SP2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Thank you for you comment, your support for the Focussed Change (Policy SP2 i. f) is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: FC13 New Strategic Policies Policy SP2 Safeguarding of Material Assets i. Welsh Water support the provision within the policy to ensure that development will only be permitted where they have no unacceptable impact on strategic infrastructure such as water supplies and utility infrastructure. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: N/A Council Response: 0 **Summary of Representation** Question: 4 Representation Texts: Support for Policy SP2 i.f - with regards to the protection of utility infrastructure. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 64 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6402 Montgomery Canal Partnership

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6402.F1//SP2

11/03/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.15

Policy: SP2

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. The removal of the Montgomery Canal from the list in previous policy DM1 (7.) as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction" and its insertion generically within "green tourism assets and infrastructure" in new policy SP2 has been reconsidered, however the Council do not agree that any further changes are necessary.

The LDP must be flexible and adaptable to changing circumstances over the life of the Plan. It is not the intention of policy SP2 to be "overly prescriptive" or "narrowly focussed" regarding the nature of strategic and material assets. Indeed the policy wording is catch-all in that it refers to various types of named asset with the proviso text "including but not limited to" and, as confirmed in the supporting text, the list is non-exhaustive. As such, it is not considered essential that the Montgomery Canal is listed in its own right in any particular section of SP2.

The Council does not agree that the proposed Focussed Changes in any way "downgrade" the status or significance of the canal. The aims of the Montgomery Canal interest groups/Montgomery Canal Partnership regarding restoration and re-use are acknowledged. The Council recognises the potential of the canal and the wide range of benefits on offer to Powys. The LDP is supportive of appropriate and sensitive canal related development on the Montgomery Canal through specific tourism policy TD3.

No further changes to the Plan are considered necessary in response to the Focussed Changes representation.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

FC13 proposes two new strategic policies. The effect would be to downgrade the significance of the Montgomery Canal. Former policy DM1 described the Canal as being" an important tourism assets and visitor attraction" which is consistent with the multi-functional use of the Canal, including its wildlife and heritage value. The suggested redesignation under new Policy SP2 as a "green tourism assets" coupled with other changes (e.g. in FC38) suggest that the nature conservation aspects should be pre-eminent. This would fail to take into account the important and wider economic and tourism and heritage aspects the Canal offers.

Background information

We are surprised to see so many changes to the LDP at this stage – i.e. when the LDP has been submitted for the Examination in Public. In particular, we note this is contrary to the Welsh Government's LDP Manual 2015 which advises that changes after Deposit should be avoided wherever possible unless needed to ensure the Plan's soundness or to cope with a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy.

As a result, there have been significant changes proposed affecting the Montgomery Canal and its restoration as a navigable waterway. There does not appear to have been an effort to discuss these proposals with us. It appears the changes have been made as a result of representations made by other organisations which have not been challenged or otherwise discussed in order to test their validity.

This failure is all the more disappointing as Powys County Council is a member of this Partnership and is therefore presumed to be supportive of the 2005 Strategy mentioned below. Some of the changes e.g. in FC 13 and FC 38, appear to downgrade the status of the Canal from multi-functional use to being merely a green tourism asset with undue emphasis on the scientific and conservation designations (e.g. the proposed changes to TD3).

0

Council Response:

0

•	oort Appendix 4: FC Representation	is & Council Responses	Powys County Council Local Developm	ent Plar
,	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	1 Summary		
6402.F1//SP2	11/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	economic benefits which this will bring.	cil to make further changes so the LDP is consistent with its superatters relating to this LDP as it progresses by post and by ema	oport for the restoration to navigation of the Canal, together with the il to the Chair (addresses below)	
	Powys County Council, Shropshire Council Montgomery Canal from Welsh Frankton in agreement on that way forward and compre elements but a key one was that the multilimit on the number of boat movements; in side of Welshpool and about 7 miles in Shr	rought together various wildlife and navigational interests repre- and regulatory and other bodies. One of its purposes was to an England to Newtown in Wales. Parts of the Canal in England a omises were needed. Eventually, in 2005 an agreed Conservatifunctional aspects of the Canal was recognised and accepted a Wales, this was agreed at 2,500 boat movements a year. About	are a SSSI and it Wales it is an SAC It took some years to reach ion Management Strategy was agreed and published. This has many and that full restoration was desirable. However, this was subject to a at half the 35 miles of the Canal has been restored; about 12 miles eit of for further restoration works. A £3m bid is due to be submitted to the	ther
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	We object to Strategic Policy SP2 as curre a.The Canal is listed with other tourism ass named); or	ntly drafted and request either sets and visitor attractions in sub-paragraph iii (we note the neaded from "green tourism assets" etc to "Tourism assets" etc	arby Welshpool and Llanfair Railway and the nearby Powis Castle are)
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	 the adverse effect of the proposed chang conservation matters. 	y to the 2005 Conservation Management Strategy agreed by th	om a multi –purpose waterway to one specialising only in scientific an e Montgomery Canal Partnership, membership of which includes Pov	

Page 66 of 317 25/04/2016

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6414	Heulwen	Trust

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6414.F1 08/03/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 - Strategic Policy SP2

Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. The removal of the Montgomery Canal from the list in previous policy DM1 (7.) as an "important tourism asset and visitor attraction" and its insertion generically within "green tourism assets and infrastructure" in new policy SP2 has been reconsidered, however the Council do not agree that any further changes are necessary.

The LDP must be flexible and adaptable to changing circumstances over the life of the Plan. It is not the intention of policy SP2 to be "overly prescriptive" or "narrowly focussed" regarding the nature of strategic and material assets. Indeed the policy wording is catch-all in that it refers to various types of named asset with the proviso text "including but not limited to" and, as confirmed in the supporting text, the list is non-exhaustive. As such, it is not considered essential that the Montgomery Canal is listed in its own right in any particular section of SP2.

The Council does not agree that the proposed Focussed Changes in any way "downgrade" the status or significance of the canal. The aims of the Montgomery Canal interest groups/Montgomery Canal Partnership regarding restoration and re-use are acknowledged. The Council recognises the potential of the canal and the wide range of benefits on offer to Powys. The LDP is supportive of appropriate and sensitive canal related development on the Montgomery Canal through specific tourism policy TD3.

No further changes to the Plan are considered necessary in response to the Focussed Changes representation.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: FC 13-New Strategic Policies —SP2

The Trust regrets that the Montgomery Canal is omitted from SP2 iii as the Major Tourism asset and visitor attraction within North East Powys which its restoration will achieve.

The Trust urges other Tourist Destinations and Attractions to support the prominence afforder

The Trust urges other Tourist Destinations and Attractions to support the prominence afforded to the canal by the LOP given the far reaching benefits for all tourist partners to be derived from its

eventual restoration and connection to the national canal network.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Insert The Montgomery Canal within paragraph iii of SP2 as a Major tourism asset and visitor attraction.

Council Response:

25/04/2016

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
6414.F1	08/03/2016	Summary: Apportioning	g Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 - Strat	egic Policy SP2	
Source:	Туре:	Objection M	ode Written	Status Maintained	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			_	
Representation Texts: Requests change to policy SP2 so as to include the Montgomery Canal as a major tourism asset.					
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 68 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6416 on behalf of Residents Group

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodad Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016 6416.F4 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions with regard to the designation of Boughrood and Llywen within the settlement hierarchy. The Council considers that the proposed settlement hierarchy is sound, based on a robust methodology with levels of growth and site allocations supported by a wide range of supporting evidence including access to a range of services. The Council maintains that the tiers of settlements identified accurately reflect their role, function and overall level of sustainability and it is appropriate to consider Boughrood and Llyswen in combination as a Large Village. The Council consider that the apportioned distribution of housing across the Settlement Hierarchy is based on a sound rationale which supports the delivery of the LDP strategy and the longer term viability of settlements considered capable of supporting sustainable growth and therefore does not agree that the site should be removed from the Plan.

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Representation Texts:

This change we feel does not represent Llyswen, the council for some reason have grouped the villages of Boughrood and Llyswen together as one large village. Powys County Council distinguishes Boughrood and Llyswen as two different community wards as Llyswen comes under Breconshire (Bronllys) and Boughrood comes under Radnorshire (Glasbury) therefore why should they then be treated as one village for planning purposes, this is incorrect, these are two separate small villages and as such as stated within the LDP Focussed Change Document page 13 point 3 - "The LDP does not identify development boundaries for small villages and there are no allocations for development within this tier".

We need answers as to what reasoning was behind grouping Boughrood and Llyswen together. As a small village Llyswen has a small amount of amenities and a primary school which is at full capacity. The main trunk road running through the village is a very busy and dangerous road, with many dwellings by the proposed plot HA1 having to park on the main road which is directly opposite a petrol station with poor pavement facilities. Added constraints to the village are the pending proposals with the council to shut the neighbouring high school Gwernyfed and if this happens there will be no attraction for families to come and live in the village. Small business will in time move out and houses currently up for sale in the village are taking a considerable amount of time to sell.

We do not feel the village and amenities can sustain any more large developments.

Question: 2

Changes needed to the Focussed Change

For the council and Welsh Government to recognise all the points raised in the above and reflect this in the LDP and for the plot HA1 to be removed from the LDP. Answers to our representation questions raised last year are yet to be answered.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 69 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summar	у		
6416.F4	10/03/2016	Summ	nary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies -	FC13	
Source:	Туре:	Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts: Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1. Seeking re-categorisation of settlements (Large Village to Small Village).					
Council Respo	nse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 70 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417	C	ymdeithas	Yr	laith	G	yrmaeg
------	---	-----------	----	-------	---	--------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary						
6417.F4//SP1	11/03/2016 Sumr	mary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13				
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained			
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.12						
Policy: SP1		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-01. Preparation, Process and Plan Strategy				

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Mae'r gwrthwynebiad a wnaed gan y Cynrychiolydd i bolisi strategol FC13 wedi'i nodi. Fodd bynnag, nid yw'r rheswm dros hyn yn glir. Mae strategaeth aneddiadau'r CDLl yn ceisio cyfeirio datblygiadau i'r lleoliadau mwyaf cynaliadwy o fewn y Sir h.y. trefi a phentrefi mawrion. Nid yw'r CDLl yn cyfeirio at grynodi datblygiad o fewn pentrefi mawrion o anghenraid. Mae Polisi SP1 yn cyfeirio at gyfran sylweddol o ddatblygiadau tai newydd yn cael eu cyfeirio at y pentrefi mawrion; fodd bynnag mae'n nodi hefyd y bydd datblygiadau'n cael eu canolbwyntio'n bennaf ar Drefi, gyda dim ond 20% o ddatblygiadau tai'r Sir yn cael eu cynllunio ar gyfer pentrefi mawrion. Mae'r cyfiawnhad ategol i'r strategaeth hon yn esbonio ei fod yn ceisio cyfrannu tuag at batrwm cynaliadwy o ddatblygiad ar gyfer Powys, gyda phentrefi mawrion yn cael eu hystyried i fod yn briodol yn gyffredinol ac yn gallu cefnogi cyfran o dwf o ran eu maint, swyddogaeth, cymeriad, cysylltiadau trafnidiaeth, a gallu cymdeithasol ac amgylcheddol.

The objection made by the Representor to FC13 strategic policy is noted, however the reason for this is unclear. The LDP's settlement strategy seeks to direct developments to the most sustainable locations within the County i.e. towns and large villages. The LDP does not refer to concentrating developments in large villages as such. Policy SP1 refers to a significant proportion of new housing development being directed to large villages, however it also states that development will be mainly focused in Towns, with only 20% of the County's housing development being planned for large villages. The supporting justification for this strategy explains that it seeks to contribute to a sustainable pattern of development for Powys, with large villages being generally considered to be appropriate and able to support a proportion of growth in terms of their size, function, character, transport links, social and environmental capacity.

Council Response:

0

0

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Nid ydym yn cytuno â chanolbwyntio datblygiadau mewn "Pentrefi Mawr".

We do not agree with concentrating developments in "Large Villages".

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: I beidio canolbwyntio datblygiadau mewn pentrefi mawr.

To not concentrate developments in large villages.

Council Response:

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Gwrthwynebiad i'r strategaeth arfaethedig i ganolbwyntio datblygiadau mewn pentrefi mawr.

25/04/2016 Page 71 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6417.F4//SP1 11/03/2016 Summary: Apportioning Growth / Strategic Policies - FC13 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Objection to the proposed strategy to concentrate development in large villages.

0

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 72 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained	nd Environment
Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.16 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response	nd Environment
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.16 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response	nd Environment
Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response	nd Environment
Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response	nd Environment
Question: Council Response	
Representation Texts: Thanks for your comment, your support for the Englished Change is noted	
representation route. Thanks for your confinent, your support for the rocussed Change is noted.	
Council Response:	0
Question: 1 Representation Details	
Representation Texts: CPRW welcomes the revision of DM1 to DM17 which makes for a more robust and focussed set of policies enabling planners, applicants and community members to 'know where they are'.	
Council Response:	0
Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change	
Representation Texts: N/A	
Council Response:	0
Question: 4 Summary of Representation	
Representation Texts: CPRW welcomes the revision of DM1 to DM17 which makes for a more robust and focussed set of policies enabling planners, applicants and community members to 'know where they are'.	
Council Response:	0

25/04/2016 Page 73 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.26 Development Management Policies - FC15

27 Clwyd Powys Archaeological Trust

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

27.F1//DM16 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.38

Policy: DM16 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-10. Welsh Language and Culture and Heritage

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

The objection raised to policy DM16 is noted. It is noted that there will be a statutory duty placed on the Welsh Government to create and keep up-to-date a Historic Environment Record by the emerging Historic Environment (Wales) Bill 2015, which has since been passed by the National Assembly and has gained Royal Assent. Reference is already made within the supporting justification to policy DM16 to the need to give regard to the information held on the Historic Environment Record. In the event that it is considered to be necessary to provide additional reference to the need to give regard to the information held on the Historic Environment Record, the Council would agree to inclusion of this within the policy itself. However, it does not consider it to be appropriate to refer to the HER within the list set out of sites and features, as it is not intended to give statutory protection to non-designated sites and features on the HER. Furthermore, although the HER is intended to provide information and the evidence needed for informed decisions to be made on the historic environment, no detail is provided within the legislation or within the draft complementary guidance as to how the information held is to be used to inform decision-making in relation to local development plans or in considering planning applications. Consultation is currently taking place on proposed changes to PPW Chapter 6 The Historic Environment, however it is noted that no detail is provided within this document regarding the use of the HER. It is understood that the Welsh Ministers will be issuing guidance as to how local authorities and other bodies should use the historic environment records in their functions. In the absence of such guidance, the Council considers that it would be more appropriate to refer to the HER later within the policy, after 'proposals should also have regard to other non-designated heritage assets of significance, including any locally listed buildings, and their settings' additional text should read 'and to any s

Record

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

CPAT generally welcomes the intention of the LDP to protect, and where practicable enhance, the historic environment. I would however raise one objection to the suggested Policy DM16 which while making specific reference to a list of protected historic environment features (which the LDP will have regard to), makes no mention of those sites within the Historic Environment Record for Powys. As you may be aware the Historic Environment (Wales) Act 2016 charges Welsh Ministers with the statutory responsibility for maintaining an up-to-date Historic Environment Record for each local authority area, and it is Ministers intention to issue guidance on the use of HERs by bodies, including local authorities, in the exercise of their functions. It is assumed that this guidance will say that local authorities should have regard to the content of the Historic Environment Record in making planning decisions.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: The following suggested rewording of Policy DM 16 might be considered

25/04/2016 Page 74 of 317

Consultation Rep	ort Appendix 4: FC Representati	ons & Council Responses		Powys County Council Loc	al Development Pla
by: Rep	presentation No				
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modi	fied Summary			
27.F1//DM16	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies -	FC15		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
	including their essential settings and sig i. Scheduled Ancient Monuments. ii. Listed Buildings. iii. Conservation Areas. iv. Registered Parks and Gardens of Sp v. Historic Battlefields. vi. Registered Landscapes of Outstandi vii.Sites listed in the Regional Historic E	ecial Historic Interest. ng and Special Historic Interest.	e assessed in accordanc	ee with national guidance and legislatio	
	I appreciate that this intention is express	sed further on in the section in paragraph 4.2.75, but I fe	el it is important that it sh	nould also be noted within the Policy.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:		c Environment Record for Powys to be referred to in pol b have regard to the content of the Historic Environment ted in the policy itself.			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 75 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

439	Newtown	& L	_lanllwchaiarn	Town	Council
-----	---------	-----	----------------	------	---------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 08/03/2106 439.F2//DM16 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Type: Objection Source: Email Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.38 Policy: DM16 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: These comments are noted, however the Council does not consider it necessary to refer to specific types of sites or features of the historic environment, such as industrial heritage, within the policy. Any sites and features of importance both nationally and locally within the historic environment will be captured by this policy, regardless of their particular type. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: Development Management Policy DM15 - Protection and Enhancement of the Historic Environment Policy clause 1 bullet list Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Council is of the view that a category should be added to policy clause 1 bullet list for 'Industrial Heritage of Local or National Importance'. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: a) A category should be added to policy clause 1 bullet list for 'Industrial Heritage of Local or National Importance' Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Include reference to 'Industrial Heritage of Local and National Importance in Policy DM14 Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 76 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1481 The Coal Authority

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

1481.F1//DM7 11/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (DM7 Minerals Safeguarding)

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.30

Policy: DM7 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: This Representation is noted. The Council welcomes the support of the Representor for the new Policy DM7 defining Mineral Safeguarding Areas.

Following further discussions with the Coal Authority the following revised wording of the policy is proposed for consideration by the Planning Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:

"Policy DM7 - Minerals Safeguarding

Mineral Safeguarding Areas have been designated for aggregates and surface coal and these are shown on the Proposals Map.

Non-mineral development proposals within mineral safeguarding areas will only be permitted where it can be demonstrated by the developer that:

- i) The mineral resource is not of potential future value; or
- ii) The development is of a temporary nature and can be completed and the site restored to a condition that would allow for future extraction; or
- iii) The mineral can be extracted satisfactorily prior to the incompatible development taking place; or
- iv) Extraction would not meet the tests of environmental acceptability or community benefit as set out in National Policy; or
- v) There is an overriding need in the public interest for the development; or
- vi) The development is householder development or of a very minor nature such as extensions to dwellings, fences, walls or bus shelters."

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Comment - The Coal Authority welcomes the reference to defined Mineral Safeguarding Areas (MSAs) within Policy DM7. Whilst we have not had sight of the revised Proposals Map referred to in the policy, this should define the MSAs relating to coal in accordance with The Coal Authority's Surface Coal Resource data which has been made available to the LPA.

We consider that it would be beneficial for the wording of the policy to be revised in order to provide greater clarification on the instances when new non-mineral surface development will be permitted within MSAs.

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments. We are, of course, willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired and would be happy to negotiate alternative suitable wording to address any of our concerns. The Coal Authority would be happy to enter into discussions ahead of any examination hearing process to try and reach a negotiated position if this were considered helpful.

Consultation Re	port Appendix 4: FC Representations & Co	uncil Responses	Powys County Council	l Local Development Plar
by: Re	epresentation No			
Filtered to show: (al	II of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summa	ry		
1481.F1//DM7	11/03/2016 Sumn	nary: Development Management Policies - FO	C15 (DM7 Minerals Safeguarding)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Council Response	»:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts	Change Requested – Amend Policy DM7 to read: Policy DM7 – Minerals Safeguarding Mineral Safeguarding Areas have been designated for these are shown on the Proposals Map. Within the Monon-mineral surface development is proposed the promineral resources will be considered. New development. The mineral resource is not of potential value; or ii. The mineral resource can be extracted prior to deviii. Extraction would not meet the tests of environment benefit as set out in National Policy; or iv. The development is of a temporary nature and ca restored to a condition that would allow for future ext v. The development is householder development or of extensions to dwellings, fences, walls or bus shelters Reason – To comply with MTAN2	Mineral Safeguarding Areas where evention of the sterilisation of a very minor nature such as		
Council Response	e:			0

25/04/2016 Page 78 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4369	The Theatres Trus
TUUU	THE THEATTES HUS

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

4369.F1//DM11 03/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - comment re new definition for glossary and DM11

Source: Email Type: Not duly made Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: DM11 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-06.Transport and Community Facilities

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: This representation is considered not to be duly made as it does not relate to a specific focussed change in relation to the Glossary (FC63). The Council considers that paragraph

4.12.5 adequately defines community facilities and no amendment to the LDP is necessary. However, this comment was made at the Deposit Stage and if the Inspector

considered that the definition should be included in the Glossary as a minor editing change, the Council would have no objection.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: The Trust continues to recommend that a definition for community facility' is provided in the glossary. This is

needed to provide clarity to proposed policy DM11 and CI to clearly identify what uses they apply to. We recommend this succinct all-inclusive description which would obviate the need to provide examples; community facilities provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual.

recreational, leisure and cultural needs of the local community.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: We recommend this succinct all-inclusive description which would obviate the need to provide examples:

community facilities provide for the health and wellbeing, social, educational, spiritual, recreational, leisure

and cultural needs of the local community.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Add a definition for community facilities into the Glossary.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 79 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

	5201	Montgomeryshire Wildlife	Trust
--	------	--------------------------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodad Late? Status Modified Summary 04/03/2016 5201.F1//DM2 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - Comment on Policy DM2 Type: Comment Source: Email Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21 Policy: DM2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: The existing wording: "Wildlife Trust Reserves and Sites" is inclusive of 'Local Wildlife Sites' as these are assessed by the three Wildlife Trusts in the County. As such, the Council does not recommend any fuerther wording amendments in this respect. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: Local Wildlife Sites should be listed along with vii. Local Nature Reserves, viii. Wildlife Trust Reserves and Sites. ix. Regionally Important Geological Sites and Geological Conservation Review Sites. 4.2.8 – This text needs amending. See suggested change in the box below. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Paragraph 4.2.8 suggested amended text: In addition to [insert: Local Wildlife Sites which have been designated having passed a standard set of criteria justifying their importance for wildlife, there may be other sites which have vet to be identified or designated, but meet the same ecological standards. Developers and applicants therefore must be made aware that LWS will be protected on the basis of agreed selection criteria rather than historic designation alone. A basic site assessment, including a data search with the Local Record Centre, should be carried out to determine how the proposal might relate to or impact upon any LWS; consultation with the County Ecologist and/or an ecological consultant may be necessary]. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Comment concerning status of Local Wildlife Sites Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 80 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5704 Glandwr Cymru - Canal & River Trust in Wales

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5704.F2//DM 1 11/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - Objection FC15 Policy DM1

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.20

Policy: DM 1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments. Please note that it is not the purpose of the LDP to repeat national policy.

Planning obligations may only be used where they meet the tests of being: necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the proposed development and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

Clearly one of the main roles of planning obligations is to "offset negative consequences" so as to make development acceptable in land use planning terms. The Council considers that the current policy wording and supporting text in DM1 is sufficiently detailed and does not agree that any changes to the Plan are necessary in response to this Focussed Changes representation.

The Council considers that, as the Plan is to be read as a whole, the Montgomery Canal and it's infrastructure will furthermore be appropriately protected through a number of LDP policies, including those relating to material assets, the natural environment. European protected sites, tourism and heritage assets.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Focussed Change: FC15 proposes that that Section 4.2 of the LDP is re-written to disaggregate the three previous Development Management policies (DM1-DM3) into specific policies in order to better articulate these policies and provide greater legibility. Glandwr Cymru is surprised at the extent of the propsed changes to the plan at such a late stage in its production in the context of guidance in the Welsh Government's LDP Manual 2015 which states that changes after Deposit should be avoided wherever possible unless needed to ensure the Plan's soundness or to cope with a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy.

Glandwr Cymru would make the following detailed comments

Policy DM1 - Planning Obligations

Glandwr Cymru does not consider that Policy DM1 as written fully reflects Planning Policy Wales (PPW), paragraph 3.7.1 of which states that that contributions from developers may be used to:

- offset negative consequences of development,
- help meet local needs, or
- secure benefits which will make development more sustainable.

Glandwr Cymru has previously commented that new development in the vicinity of the Montgomery Canal may place an additional burden on the canal infrastructure, for example as a result of the increased use of the towpath by pedestrians and cyclists, increased vehicular traffic crossing historic canal bridges or increased water levels due to the introduction of surface water run-off. It is considered that the need for developers to contribute towards necessary improvements to mitigate the adverse impact of development upon the canal infrastructure should be fully considered by developers and is consistent with the guidance contained in PPW. Whist such an approach is now reflected in the supporting text and to be welcomed it is considered that Policy DM1 should be amended to better reflect PPW by the inclusion of a new criteria iii as below:

Council Response: 0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Consultation Nep	ont Appendix 4. I o Representations & C	Journal Responses	i owys county council Local Devel	opinient i ian
by: Re	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sumr	mary		
5704.F2//DM 1	11/03/2016	mmary: Development Management Policies - Objection	n FC15 Policy DM1	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:		nded to include a new criteria iii as follows to better reflenegative consequences of development."	ect the guidance cntaiend in PPW	
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above reco	mmended changes and their importance to the future of	of the Montgomery Canal in Powys.	
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Objection to FC15 - Policy DM1 Planning Obligation	ons		
Council Response:	:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sumr	marv		
5704.F3//DM5			Policy DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.27			
Policy: DM5	·	Issue: 2015: Depo	sit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The Council agree this policy is not meant to be a	pplied to canals.		
	The council recommend a paragraph is inserted a 4.2.31a - It is not the intention for this Policy to be	s follows: applied to canals.		
Council Response:	:			0

Question: 1 Representation Details

25/04/2016 Page 82 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M		, , ,	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary			
5704.F3//DM5	11/03/2016 Summary: Development M	anagement Policies - FC15 (Policy DN	M5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru objects to criteria v of new policy DM5 which states that "v) Any developments located adjacent to a watercourse should leave an an enhancement feature and allowing for routine maintenance. The width should have a maintenance strategy for clearing and maintaining the char	of any buffer strip should be agreed wi	ith the relevant authorities on a site by site basis. Such sites	
	This criteria relates to all watercourses but is not considered to be necess	arily appropriate in respect of all devel	opment adjacent to a canal.	
	Leaving an undeveloped buffer strip may be impractical where there is a t business) to provide moorings for boats and otherwise encourage public a edge and it is considered that the policy would benefit from a greater degr	access to the water. There are many ex	xamples of appropriate development bordering a canal with a hard	d
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Requested Change Glandwr Cymru objects to the proposed wording of the supporting text of which it refers (ie rivers) .	Policy DM5 and requests that it be amo	ended to either be more specific in terms of the waterways to	
	v) Any developments located adjacent to a [insert: natural] watercourse sl the watercourse and [insert: any] [remove: the] immediate riparian zone a agreed with the relevant authorities on a site by site basis. Such sites sho structures such as trash screens and bridges. "	s an enhancement feature and allowing	g for routine maintenance. The width of any buffer strip should be)
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above recommended changes and	their importance to the future of the Me	ontgomery Canal in Powys.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Objection to FC15 - Policy DM5			
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 83 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 5704.F4//DM6 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - Objection to DM6 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.29 Policy: DM6 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: The Council recommends the insertion of the word 'unacceptable' before ii) adverse and iv) disturbance. The Council feels that this will make the policy flexible enough without compromising its intentions. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: Glandwr Cymru objects to reference in the supporting text to policy DM6 (para 4.2.37) that "Dark wildlife movement corridors should be left, e.g. no external lighting of boundary habitat features, wildlife corridors, and watercourses." Such a prescriptive approach may not necessarily be appropriate in all circumstances, such as developments adjacent to a canal in an urban area, and is considered to be more prescriptive than the policy wording which states simply that proposals involving external lighting will only be permitted when a lighting scheme has been provided that demonstrates that the lighting will not individually or cumulatively cause harm. ItT is considered that this wording could usefully be amended to provide greater flexibility in accordance with the wording of the policy. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Requested change: Glandwr Cymru objects to the proposed wording of the supporting text of Policy DM6 and requests that the supporting text be amended to provide greater flexibility consistent with the policy wording "Dark wildlife movement corridors should linsert; normally be left linsert; unlit to avoid any individual or cumulative harm, e.g., no external lighting of boundary habitat features. wildlife corridors, and watercourses Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above recommended changes and their importance to the future of the Montgomery Canal in Powys.

Council Response:

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

Objection to FC15 Policy DM6 Dark Skies Representation Texts:

Council Response:

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=N	1				
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd	Late? Status Modified	Summary			
5704.F4//DM6 11/03/201	6 🗌	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC15 - Objection	on to DM6	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status M	Maintained

25/04/2016 Page 85 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Summary of Representation

Mis-match between para 4.2.19 (Open Space Standards) and Allocation P32 HA2

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6192	Gardiner,	Mr	Ben
------	-----------	----	-----

Question: 4

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016 6192.F5//DM1 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - Open Space Type: Objection Source: Email Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1248//P32 HA2 Maesydre Field, Llanfyllin Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Representation Texts Question Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Paragraph 4.2.19 is referring to the standards that need to be applied when a planning permission is submitted that will result in the loss of an Open Space. Policy H14 - Open Space Provision in Housing Development (it does not appear in the Focussed Changes Schedule as it was not subject to any changes since Deposit) is the policy that will be applied to new housing developments of more than 10 dwellings, therefore there will be a requirement placed on the allocations in Llanfyllin to incorporate an element of Open Space - as identified in the Open Space Assessment. 0 Council Response: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: 4.2.19 Refers to the accessibility of play space and informal recreation. While it is acknowledged that the current play area is within the 400 metres walking distance of the proposed entrance to the new development this route is along the A490 road carrying heavy goods and farm vehicles and therefore can not be considered as a safe route. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 86 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6235	CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery	Agent:	CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F13//DM2 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21

Policy: DM2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council has provided for the protection of public rights of way in Powys through Policy SP2 - Safeguarding of Material Assets. This policy covers the protection of iv. Green

tourism assets and infrastructure including but not limited to: a. National Cycle Network routes. b. National Trails and National Bridlepath Network. c. Local Trails and Public

Rights of Way. d. Canals and Waterways. e. Open access land and common land. f. Potential future routes along linear features (such as disused railways).

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: The LDP has inadequate protection and enhancement of rights of way throughout Powys

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Recommended change to make plan sound:

Include major rights of way by adding a fifth section to DM2:

After 4.. Inclusion of the following paragraph within Policy DM2

5. Major public rights of way:

i. National trails (e.g. Glyndwr's Way and Offa's Dyke)

ii. National rides (e.g. Cross Wales, Red Dragon, BHS promoted routes)

iii. Regional trails (e.g. Kerry Ridgeway)

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: The need to ensure clear protection of major rights of way for the benefit of Powys residents, visitors and the tourist economy of rural Powys.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: The need to include major rights of way by adding a fifth section to DM2:

25/04/2016 Page 87 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oonounation nop	ort Apponaix 4. 1 o Roprocontain	one a countil recoponed	•		i on yo oddiny oddinon	Local Botolopino	
,	presentation No						
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modit	ied Summary					
6235.F13//DM2	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC15				
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Council Response:							0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modit	ied Summary					
6235.F21//DM16	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC15 -	DM16			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.38						
Policy: DM16			Issue: 2015: Dep	osit Draft-09.Dev	elopment Management and Envir	onment	
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts:	1) The meaning of a locally listed building is described in the glossary to the LDP as 'any building or structure contained on any forthcoming list of locally important buildings or structures compiled by the Authority'. Historic Environment legislation makes provision for Local Planning Authorities to identify historic assets of special local interest and develop and publish a list of these assets. This would be a separate list and process to the Historic Environment Record. It is intended to prepare Supplementary Planning Guidance on Buildings and Structures of local importance.						
	 This request is noted, however the Codevelopment on the historic landscape for used for that purpose, which takes into a development on the historic environmen settings. 	orms part of assessing the landsca	ape impact of the developme on landscape features and o	ent and the classif in the character a	ication of the historic landscape landscape.	ayer of LANDMAP is The impact of	
Council Response:							0
Question: 1	Representation Details						
Representation Texts:	Policy DM16: CPRW would welcome Clwyd Powys Archaeological Trust in the			s refers to assets	within the Historic Environment R	ecord as referred to by	
	2) Paragraph 4.2.74: The Historic lands	scape classification within Landma	p should be included.				
Council Response:							0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	ie					

Representation Texts: 1) For clarity and effectiveness the term 'locally listed buildings' needs explanation.

25/04/2016 Page 88 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	presentation No	·		•
Filtered to show: (a	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6235.F21//DM16	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM16		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	2) insert after 'townscapes and landscapes	: 'with special regard to Historic Landscapes awarded high or outs	standing importance in Landmap'	
Council Response				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts	Above, if not remedied.			
Council Response				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	Request for clarification of the term locally lis	sted buildings and request for inclusion of reference to Landmap cla	assification of historic landscape	
Council Response				0

25/04/2016 Page 89 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6256 Montgomery Waterway Restoration Trust

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6256.F2//DM1 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Type: Comment Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council disagree with this representation. Policy DM2 ackr

The Council disagree with this representation. Policy DM2 acknowledges the importance of designated international sites such as SACs which is a requirement of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended). The Council supports the ongoing sensitive restoration of the canal and the need to protect the Montgmery Canal SAC to satisfy the requirements of the Habitats Directive. The Focussed Changes have had an overall positive impact upon the soundness of the Plan and

therefore, no further changes are considered necessary.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: DM2/DM16 -

FC15/DM2: Comment: Offline reserves have been used on the Montgomery Canal for over thirty years. Following survey work (Newbold 2003) which demonstrated that where fully managed, reserves have a flora representative of the adjacent length of the canal, the Conservation Management Strategy adopted a programme of in-line conservation and off-line reserves with special protection measures for existing concentrations of rare species. Research by Liverpool University (Eaton and Willby 2002) was used to predict the extent of new open water habitat required. It follows that there may be occasions where works to create extended areas of habitat may affect existing protected areas.

FC15/DM16:Comment: The Montgomery Canal is one of the best preserved canals of the waterway network of Wales and England and avoided a much of what happened to others later, with the surviving range of structures forming a relatively complete record of a once thriving rural canal. The Conservation Management Strategy (para 3.2.1) records that the canal has about three times the national average of listed buildings per mile of the canals of the Canal

& River Trust, reflecting the rural nature of the canal and the relative lack of development or early unsympathetic restoration. A 1994 heritage survey identified a further seventy site records, including outbuildings and original properties too modified to merit listing, but still of local importance. The survival rate is mirrored in the number of smaller structures, including for example cast iron signs, crane bases and original sheds. The Strategy also notes that is

important to include the canal channel as the continuous link that binds the history and other buildings together, an engineering structure in its own right and with a major influence on the built heritage and vernacular architecture in the canal corridor.

It should be noted that the Conservation Management Strategy recorded (para 3.1.5) that support for designation of a Conservation Area covering the whole canal had been given in principle by the Council's planning committee. The Montgomery Canal should therefore be added to the list of protected sites and features.

Supporting information: Rep accompanied by a letter on headed paper, signed by Chairman of the Trust - letter included the rep points noted on the rep form plus further comment: "The Montgomery Waterway Restoration Trust is a member of the Montgomery Canal Partnership, as is Powys County Council. For the best part of five years both were involved with other public authorities, statutory agencies and voluntary groups, on both sides of the border, in the preparation of the Conservation Management Strategy. The Strategy strikes a careful balance of restoration and conservation, respecting the built and natural heritage of the canal.

We understand that changes to the deposited LDP should only be proposed where necessary to ensure the soundness of the finished LDP or to cope with a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy. The Schedule, however, seems to go beyond this, with significant changes affecting the

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Consultation Nep	on Appendix 4. 1 o Nepresentations	s & Council Nesponses	i owys county council Local Develop	incin i iai
by: Re	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6256.F2//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15		
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
			wys the full benefit of the restored Montgomery Canal as a multifuncti shpool), to the use of the towpath and to an appreciation of the canal	
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	It should be noted that there may be occasion the list of protected sites and features.	ons where works to create extended areas of habitat may af	fect existing protected areas. The Montgomery Canal should be add	ed to
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Shropshire, the significant contribution made (largely volunteer-led) events on the canal be	e in the past by local authorities on both sides of the border,	have worked to restore navigation to the Montgomery Canal in Powys the national importance of the restoration, the publicity that the canal il continuing to play its full part in supporting the Montgomery Canal	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Suggested Changes to Policies DM2 and DM	M16 - additional wording re: Montgomery Canal.		
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 91 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (a	all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
6315 Na	tural Resources Wales			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	dified Summary		
6315.F2//DM1	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Police	cies - FC15	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016			
Policy: DM1		Issu	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environme	ent
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts	s: Thanks for you comment, your suppor	t for the Focussed Change is noted.		
Council Response	e:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts		ed in particular that policies DM1 – DM3 have been opproach to which all development proposals will be a	disaggregated into specific policies. This is welcomed and we now cossessed against.	onsider that policies
Council Response	e:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Char	nge		
Representation Texts	s: N/A			
Council Response	e:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	S: Support for the splitting of the DM1 and	d DM2 policies.		
Council Response	e:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	dified Summary		
6315.F3//DM2	11/03/2016	·	cies - FC15 Policy (DM2 - The Natural Environment)	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sche	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21			
Policy: DM2		Issu	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environme	ent

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: R	epresentation No	, s	, on , o count , occ	
Filtered to show: (a	ll of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6315.F3//DM2	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies	- FC15 Policy (DM2 - The Natural Environment)	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts	Your Comments relating to DM2 are noted.	Thank you.		
Council Response	9:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts	proposals do not result in detrimental impact		nvironment – which we believe reinforces the need to s Regulations. We also welcome that this policy refer- tive.	
Council Response	9 :			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts	s: N/A			
Council Response	e:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	Supporting the inclusion of DM2 and its mea	sures to protect European Sites and meet the requ	uirements of the Water Framework Directive.	
Council Response) :			0

25/04/2016 Page 93 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6323 RWE Innogy UK Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6323.F1//DM2 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (Policy DM2)

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21

Policy: DM2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: To address the three points in turn:

1. The Council would argue that the current wording of the opening paragraph of DM2 (including the word 'compromise') is acceptable however were the words 'or compromise' to be deleted there would be no major objection.

2. The Council does not agree that the current wording (of the opening para of point 1) prohibits any development. However it does seek to ensure that the particular features or characteristics that give rise to the designation (as opposed to the site itself) will be adequately protected.

3. The Council disagrees with the need to alter the wording of the final para of point 4 of DM2. The existing wording places the correct emphasis on the need for development to 'protect, positively manage and enhance' biodiversity. This wording attempts to make sure that developers actively pursue all three aspects, including those ideas that will enhance biodiversity. The suggested replacement wording makes enhancement an optional consideration requiring extra work to establish if there is a need, and the Council considers that enhancement is always possible and should be considered a norm.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Policy DM2 - The Natural Environment

For the reason set out below, it is considered that LDP Policy DM2 in its current form fails to meet the Consistency (C2) and Coherence and Effectiveness (CE2) tests of soundness.

- Policy DM2 (first paragraph): the test set out in the opening paragraph of LDP Policy DM1 relating to not "unacceptably adversely affect" is the correct test and one that is regularly used in development plans. The use of the term "compromise" is less regularly used and therefore less well defined and tested in land use planning terms. It is considered that the term "unacceptably adversely affect" test is sufficient and that the use of "compromise" is undefined, unnecessary and potentially confusing.
- Policy DM2 1: the test that designated sites/species/habitats "will be protected from any development that would harm their distinctive features or characteristics" is more stringent than the requirements set out in Chapter 5 of Planning Policy Wales (Edition 8, January 2016) (PPW). Whilst designated sites/species/habitats should be afforded the highest level of protection, the nature conservation interests of a site should be balanced against other material planning considerations as acknowledged throughout Chapter 5 of PPW and, specifically, in paragraphs 5.4.1 and 5.5.1 5.5.5. Paragraph 5.5.5 of PPW categorically states that "Statutory designation does not necessarily prohibit development, but proposals for development must be carefully assessed for their effect on those natural heritage interests which the designation is intended to protect." The current wording of DM2 1 suggests the prohibition of any development.
- Policy DM2 (final paragraph): There is no justification or evidence for departing from the accepted terminology adopted by PPW in relation to nature conservation, i.e. "conserve and enhance" and "appropriate management", to the tests of "protect and enhance" and "positively manage" as adopted in the LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Policy DM2 – The Natural Environment (first paragraph):

25/04/2016 Page 94 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Concanation res	port Apportaix 411 o Roprocontain	one a country receptings	•		i on yo ocurry oc	anon Eooai Bovolopino	
by: Re	epresentation No						
Filtered to show: (al	ll of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	fied Summary					
6323.F1//DM2	10/03/2016	Summary: Development N	lanagement Policie	s - FC15 (Policy DM2)			
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Written	Statu	us Maintained		
	 Delete "or compromise" at the end of the Policy DM2 – 1 – The Natural Environme Delete "and will be protected from any Designations, Habitats and Species" Policy DM2 – The Natural Environment (Delete "protect, positively manage and 	ent: development that would harm the (final paragraph):					
Council Response):						0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	fied Summary					
6323.F2//DM3	10/03/2016	Summary: Development M	lanagement Policie	s - FC15 (Policy DM3 Lar	ndscape)		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Written	Statu	us Maintained		
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.25						
Policy: DM3	J 3,,		Issue:	2015: Deposit Draft-09.De	evelopment Management a	nd Environment	
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts	The Council would argue that the curren or' to be deleted there would be no majo		oh of Policy DM3 (ir	ncluding the word 'compro	omise') is acceptable howev	er were the words 'compromise	·•,
Council Response):						0
Question: 1	Representation Details						
Representation Texts	 Policy DM3 – Landscape For the reason set out below, it is consident soundness. Policy DM3 (first paragraph): It is agree one that is regularly used in development is considered that the term "unacceptable above, it is considered that LDP Policy D 	ed that the test set out in the oper nt plans. The use of the term "con ly adversely affect" test is sufficie	ning paragraph of L npromise" is less re nt and the "compro	DP Policy DM1 relating to gularly used and therefor mise" is undefined, unned	o not "unacceptably adverse e less well defined and teste essary and potentially confi	ly affect" is the correct test and ed in land use planning terms. It	t
Council Response): :						0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Policy DM3 – Landscape (first paragraph):

05/04/2016

Page 95 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		•	•	•
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modific	ed Summary		
6323.F2//DM3	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policie	s - FC15 (Policy DM3 Landscape)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	Delete "compromise, or" at the beginning	g of the first paragraph		
Council Respo	nse:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Te	exts: Remove the word "compromise" from Po	licy		
Council Respo	nse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 96 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6416	on behalf of Residents Group
• •	on bonan or recordence or our

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6416.F5//DM1 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise

new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions.

Increased primary schooling capacity at Archdeacon Griffith (CinW) school is expected within the Plan period and access to secondary school provision wiill be maintained. The Council therefore consider that the apportioned distribution of housing across the Settlement Hierarchy is based on a sound rationale which supports the delivery of the LDP strategy and the longer term viability of settlements considered capable of supporting sustainable growth.

The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final

adopted LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: This change refers to ensuring that the community needs can be addressed. A further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will create additional demand and strain on the

limited facilities in the area, especially schooling which has reached its limit for primary schooling and it is understood for secondary schooling there will be further significant

constraints imposed due to the potential closure of a local secondary school (Gwernyfed High School).

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be removed from the LDP for

such risks.

The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclusion within the LDP.

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Council Response:

Representation Texts: Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.

Council Response:

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Reprimpara/Policy Accessants Date Lodge Late Status Modified Summary Development Management Policies - FC15	•	epresentation No I of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained ReprivPara/Policy Accessivillo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary. 6416.F6t/DM1 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (Wa/TW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements: The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary			
### Reptn/Para/Policy Access/No DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary ### Status Modified Summary Development Management Policies - FC15 Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained	6416.F5//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Po	licies - FC15		
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts: Question: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Ingrovements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Lybswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary			
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of *Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required.* Re P06 HA2: Deletion of *Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements.* The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	6416.F6//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Po	licies - FC15		
Policy: DM1 Representation Texts Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Lyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements.* The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Policy: DM1		Iss	sue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Deve	elopment Management and Environment	
Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Question	Representation Texts				
new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that the local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows from the proposed development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Question:	Council Response				
Treatment Works (WwTW) can accommodate the foul flows from this proposed development site. The Council will therefore propose to the Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows: Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.	Representation Texts				e allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not rai	ise
Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.					development and that the Llyswen Village Wastewater	
Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Waste Water Treatment Works may be required." Re P06 HA2: Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.		The Council will therefore propose to the	Planning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to	update the infrastructure require	ements for sites P06 HA1 and P06 HA2 as follows:	
Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater Treatment Works has a limited capacity and dependent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when increased capacity is required. Should developers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund the necessary improvements." The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.			aste Water Treatment Works may be required."			
adopted LDP.		Deletion of "Llyswen Village Wastewater increased capacity is required. Should de				
Council Response: 0			should be removed, but it will be for the Inspect	or to decide if the site should be	e excluded as a housing land allocation in the final	
	Council Response	:				0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

This change refers to ensuring adequate essential infrastructure and utilities. This will most certainly be a requirement for any future development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen as the existing infrastructure and utilities are not sufficient for additional dwellings in the village. To raise a further point about sewerage problems with the current village, why has the council responded differently to a representation made to a proposed plot in Boughrood when the village of Llyswen uses the same sewerage works as Boughrood? Surely the responses should be the same and as such the problems that need addressing the same?

25/04/2016 Page 98 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

-	presentation No	a dearion Respondes	. Only Obanity Obanion 20	odi Borolopillolik i idil
, ,	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6416.F6//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC1	5	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	For the council to recognise the risks we are such risks.	highlighting to them as were done so in our representa	tions last year and act on them. This site should be rem	noved from the LDP for
	The council must demonstrate and show evi	dence that all checks, risk assessments have been care	ried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclusion	on within the LDP.
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.			
Council Response:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6416.F7//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC1	5	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016			
Policy: DM1		Issue: 2015: [Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environ	ment
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:		sentation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in I I Planning Authority to change its conclusions.	relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Repr	esentor does not raise
		he local sewerage network can accommodate foul flows te the foul flows from this proposed development site.	s from the proposed development and that the Llyswen	Village Wastewater
	The Council will therefore propose to the Pla	anning Inspector a Matters Arising Change to update the	e infrastructure requirements for sites P06 HA1 and P06	HA2 as follows:
	Re P06 HA1: Deletion of "Improvements to Llyswen Wast	e Water Treatment Works may be required."		

25/04/2016 Page 99 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses		ations & Council Responses	Powys County Council Local Development Pl		
by: F	Representation No				
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary			
6416.F7//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies	- FC15		
Source:	Type: Objection	on Mode Written	Status Maintained		
			ent upon the pace and build rate of development there will ultimately be a time when y improvements then financial contributions from developers are required to fund		
	The Council does not agree that the s adopted LDP.	site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to o	decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final		
Council Respons	se:		0		
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Text	of development there will ultimately be		er Treatment Works has limited capacity and dependant on the pace and build rate velopers wish to proceed in advance of any regulatory improvements then financial		
Council Respons	se:		0		
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Cha	ange			
Representation Text	ts: For the council to recognise the risks such risks.	we are highlighting to them as were done so in our repre	sentations last year and act on them. This site should be removed from the LDP for		
	The council must demonstrate and sh	now evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been	n carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclusion within the LDP.		
Council Respons	se:		0		
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Text	ts: Seeking removal of site allocation P0	6 HA1.			
Council Respons	se:		0		
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary			
6416.F8//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies	- FC15		

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Type: Objection

Source:

25/04/2016 Page 100 of 317

Status Maintained

Mode Written

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6416.F8//DM1 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Envi Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Pi The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Previous Representation Texts: Council Response: Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly imperivironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Changes needed to the Focused Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for includence in the site of the focus of the council on the prior to any consideration for includence in the site should be such risks.	ironment
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Envi Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Provious Representation Texts: Question: The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Texts: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green spaces. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impending the space of the process of green spaces. Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focused Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the Allocation of Processed Change and the plant of the p	ironment
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Envi Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Pi The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Proving the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Texts: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focused Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the such risks.	ironment
Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Envi Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Properties of the Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Council Response: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly improved the council result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council to recognise the risks we devidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council to recognise the risks we devidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council to recognise the risks we devidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the carried out on this plot prior to any	ironment
Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Environm	ironment
Representation Texts: Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Pt The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Council Response: Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	
Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered. Provision has already been made play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Pto The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Council Response: Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for includence in the plant of the plant o	
play space within the Allocation and this new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of Potential The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation. Council Response: Question: 1 Representation Details This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	
Council Response: Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	
Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impension of the natural environment and green spaces. Council Response: Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	ocation in the final adopted
Representation Texts: This change refers to protection of the natural environment and green space. Any further development (P06 HA1 - 1008) in Llyswen will significantly impenvironment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	0
environment and will result in further loss of green spaces. Council Response: Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	
Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclination.	pact the natural
Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks are considerable to the council must demonstrate and check are considerable to the council must demonstrate and check are considerable to the council must demonstrate and check are considerable to the council must demonstrate and check are considerable to the council must	0
such risks. The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for include the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for including the council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks is a second to the council must demonstrate the coun	
	removed from the LDP for
	usion within the LDP.
Council Response:	0
Question: 4 Summary of Representation	
Representation Texts: Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.	
Council Response:	0
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary	
6416.F9//DM1 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15	
Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained	

25/04/2016 Page 101 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016 6416.F9//DM1 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Policy: DM1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. Previous Representations concerning this site have been considered and provision has already been made for considering the landscape and historic environment issues. This new Representation does not present any new evidence to challenge the decision to include the Allocation of P06 HA1. The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: This change emphasises the need to protect the current landscape and the historic environment. It is our considered view that the proposed development (P06 HA1 - 1008) will significantly impact the current landscape and historic environment of Llyswen. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: For the council to recognise the risks we are highlighting to them as were done so in our representations last year and act on them. This site should be removed from the LDP for such risks.

The council must demonstrate and show evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been carried out on this plot prior to any consideration for inclusion within the LDP.

Council Response:

0

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

Representation Texts: Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA1.

Council Response: 0

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 6416.F10//DM1

Source: Mode Written Status Maintained Type: Objection

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

-	presentation No	iono a ocumon recoponado		. only county countries 200a	. Dovolopillone i la
•	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	ified Summary			
6416.F10//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies	- FC15		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Policy: DM1		Issue: 2	015: Deposit Draft-09.Dev	elopment Management and Environmer	<u>nt </u>
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	new issues or evidence which lead the through/adjacent this site. Part of this	epresentation. Objections were made to the Deposit LI Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. Ho Drdinary watercourse was re-routed to accommodate extenance/protection zone should be secured along the	wever, evidence has beer earlier phases of developm	provided that an Ordinary watercourse ent. No drainage/flood issues have bee	flows en recorded since
	The Council does not agree that the sit LDP.	e should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to	decide if the site should be	e excluded as a housing land allocation i	in the final adopted
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	significant groundwater from the gully the further development take place on this	prevention and minimising ground and surface water. nat crosses the A470 from the direction of Brechfa Pocsite there will be nowhere for the water to soak away a ificant changes/improvements will be needed to the expension	ol. The site has significant and will potentially create a	surface water during periods of heavy ra	ain. Should a
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	ge			
Representation Texts:	For the council to recognise the risks w such risks.	e are highlighting to them as were done so in our repre	esentations last year and a	ct on them. This site should be remove	d from the LDP for
	The council must demonstrate and sho	w evidence that all checks, risk assessments have been	en carried out on this plot p	orior to any consideration for inclusion w	ithin the LDP.
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Seeking removal of site allocation P06	HA1.			
Council Response:	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 103 of 317

by: Rep	ort Appendix 4: FC Representation oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	ns & Council Response:	s		Powys County Coun	icii Locai Developme	ent Plan
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary					
6416.F11//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development M	lanagement Policies - FC15				
Source:	Type: Not duly ma	ide Mode	written	Status	Maintained		
Document:FC - Schedu	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016						
Policy: DM1			Issue: 2015: Dep	oosit Draft-09.Deve	lopment Management and E	Environment	
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts:	The Council does not consider this Repre site allocation P06 HA1 and were included when any development application is made	d as Issues in the proposed Foc	ussed Changes to Appendix 1	1 - Focussed Char	nge FC 45. These issues wo	uld require to be addresse	
Council Response:							0
Question: 1	Representation Details						
Representation Texts:	This change emphasises the need to ens will prove to be very difficult if not impossi proposed development. Should there be Additionally the A479 is an extremely bus parking opposite the petrol station. (see a part of the plot proposed for inclusiojn.	ble with respect to pedestrian and residents with disabilities or moley road with high level of traffic the	nd cycle access due to the limbility impairments it will be diff hat has to negotiate its way th	nited pathways alo ficult if not impossi nrough a very narro	ngside the very busy A479 while for them to cross the A47 by road through Llyswen villa	vhich is adjacent to the 79 and access the village. age made worse due to car	
	Attachments: Appendices 2 & 3 - two pho	otographs.					
Council Response:							0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)					
Representation Texts:	For the council to recognise the risks we a such risks.	are highlighting to them as were	done so in our representation	ns last year and ac	et on them. This site should	be removed from the LDP f	or
	The council must demonstrate and show	evidence that all checks, risk as	sessments have been carried	d out on this plot pr	rior to any consideration for i	nclusion within the LDP.	
Council Response:							0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation						
Representation Texts:	Seeking removal of site allocation P06 HA	A1.					
Council Response:							0

25/04/2016 Page 104 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M				
,	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ed Summary			
6416.F12//DM1	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Manageme	ent Policies - FC15		

Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Writter	o Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedu	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Policy: DM1			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Deve	elopment Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and the Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. However, evidence has been provided that an Ordinary watercourse flows through/adjacent this site. Part of this Ordinary watercourse was re-routed to accommodate earlier phases of development. No drainage/flood issues have been recorded since the watercourse was re-routed. A maintenance/protection zone should be secured along the watercourse corridor. Soil type for locality is indicated as being freely draining, i.e. suitable for SuDS.				
	The Council does not agree that the site LDP.	should be removed, but it will be for the Ir	nspector to decide if the site should be	excluded as a housing land allocation in the	ne final adopted
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:		account that this plot retains a lot of waters which have over the years caused signi		ook running down the plot contains exceedi	ingly large
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	e			
Representation Texts:	For the council to recognise the risks we such risks.	are highlighting to them as were done so	in our representations last year and a	ct on them. This site should be removed from	om the LDP for
	The council must demonstrate and show	evidence that all checks, risk assessmen	ts have been carried out on this plot p	rior to any consideration for inclusion within	n the LDP.
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Seeking removal of site allocation P06 H	A1.			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 105 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6421 Natural England

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6421.F1//DM2 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Para 4.2.6 Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Policy: DM2 Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Your support for FC15 (DM2 para 4.2.6) is noted. Thank you Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: The focused change has added a commitment to the River Wye SAC Nutrient Management Plan (NMP) within the Local Development Plan (LDP) supporting the HRA's conclusion that the LDP does not result in likely significant effects on the River Wye SAC. Commitment to the NMP, to working with partners and to undertaking any actions that fall to Powys Council are key to ensuring no likely significant effect on the River Wye SAC. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: None Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: In support of the para that explains PCCs support for and participation in the NMP process Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 106 of 317 by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.27 Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F14 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

To address the points raised in their order

Para. 4.2.5. This para. sits within a section on the natural environment and uses the word 'also' to imply that in addition to the interests of the Natural Environment (which obviously includes biodiversity) it 'also' has a contribution towards the other roles identified. The Council therefore does not accept the need for any change.

Para. 4.2.7. For the purposes of brevity it is not considered necessary to go into any more detail about the roles of, and relationships between, different competent authorities in this para. Doing so would not add anything materially relevant to the content.

Para. 4.2.8. This para already contains on the first line the sentence '...there are also areas that are, or are being, designated as Local Wildlife Sit...' as well as, further down, the reminder that 'LWS are under continual review and not all qualifying sites have been identified or designated.' The Council therefore does not accept the need for any change. Para. 4.2.9. This para provides a very brief introduction to the WFD and as such it repeats the aim of the WFD for both surface and groundwater to be taken into account in the Directive. Both private water and livestock drinking supplies would be included in these categories. Contamination and the mechanisms set up to prevent and address such pollution is dealt with in paras 4.2.11. Agricultural activities such as manure spreading is outside the competence of the planning system however the Council is actively considering the creation of Supplementary Planning Guidance on lessening the impact of intensive agriculture on water courses.

Para. 4.2.10. This para. provides an overview of the WFD and in doing so, to avoid confusion, repeats the language used in it. The means for meeting the targets and maintaining the status is then laid out in para. 4.2.11.

Para. 4.2.11. The council feels that this para is already clear enough. It lays out the process through which the sites, and their features, that require the highest level of protection under international legislation (and listed in section 1 of this Policy) will be protected from water-borne pollution.

Para.4.2.12. and 4.2.13. The Council feels that these paragraphs are already clear enough with para 13 providing adequate detail about how the public amenity, natural or cultural heritage value of trees and hedges are assessed.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The text is often insufficiently precise and robust and fails to inform the public appropriately

- 1) Paragraph 4.2.5 states: "These natural and semi natural environments are valuable non-renewable resources which are also essential for education, leisure, and the economy" this list should also include 'biodiversity'.
- 2) Paragraph 4.2.7 states: "In order to assess the acceptability of a development proposal against the criteria of Policy DM2, proposals which would be likely to result in increased nutrient loading to the environment, such as intensive livestock units, will be required to assess the potential impacts in respect of water and air quality, to ensure that they do not adversely affect these natural and semi natural environments." Currently the public is confused about the respective roles of NRW and Powys County Council in assessing nutrient loading and land and water pollution. This paragraph should also make the respective responsibilities of Natural Resources Wales and Powys County Council in carrying out such assessments clear to all parties.

•	ort Appendix 4: FC Representation resentation No	ons & Council Responses		Powys County Council Local De	velopment Plar
Filtered to show: (all o	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary			
6235.F14	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Develop	ment Management Policies - F	C15	
Source: Email	Type: Objection		(Examination)	Status Maintained	
	 3) Paragraph 4.2.8: This paragraph shot 4) Paragraph 4.2.9: There is no menting water contamination through spreading developments should be considered. The Waste 5) Paragraph 4.2.10: This paragraph is and reporting groundwater deterioration 6) Paragraph 4.2.11: CPRW finds the deterioration at the content of the c	on of protection of private water drinkin of agricultural byproducts such as manuere is no discussion of relative roles of welcome but fails to explain how 'minim and what measures this will trigger to a drafting of this paragraph grammatically neans in this context. The 'significant public amenity, natural of are 'nationally recognised systems of a	for LWS designation. g water supplies or livestock dri re, or digestate on land. The c NRW and PCC in securing prot um anthropogenic impact' is to meliorate the situation. confusing/confused (ensuring/g r cultural heritage value' of trees menity evaluation' and this requ	nking water. There is no mention of surface water and umulative impacts of such spreading with that from oth ection of water quality form these risks (see also common be measured. It is also unclear who is responsible for prevent/ensure). It is also unclear what 'internationally and hedges etc. is to be judged, and who is to make	her ments on W1 r identifying important
Council Response:	, , ,	,			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	je			
Representation Texts:	essential for biodiversity, education, leisi 2) Paragraph 4.2.7: 'and pollutants' sho described. 3) Paragraph 4.2.8: Include additional w 4) Paragraph 4.2.9: Include need to pagainst surface water and ground water development must be considered. The responsible of the paragraph 4.2.10: Inclusion of explicition of Paragraph 4.2.11: This paragraph received.	ure, and the economy. uld be added after 'nutrient loading'. E vording as follows (underlined): "impact rotect private domestic drinking water s contamination through spreading of ag elative roles of NRW and PCC in secur it measures to achieve the operation of quires redrafting for greater clarity. Also uired as to how the 'significant public an	xplicit reference to the responsition any existing LWS or area bupplies (springs and boreholes) icultural byproducts such as many protection of water quality of the precautionary principle in reinternationally important featurenenity, natural or cultural heritanger.	and livestock drinking water. Include specific need to anure, or digestate on land. Cumulative impacts with our these risks (see also comments on W1 Waste) elation to ground water.	o protect other
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	Strengthen application of policies by ma	king terms clearer and defining respons	ibilities.		
Council Response:					0

Page 108 of 317 25/04/2016

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Summary			
6235.F15	11/03/2016	Summa	ry: Justification: Development Management Policies	- FC15 (Policy DM3 - Landscape)	
Source: Email	Туре:	Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 20	016			
			Issue: 2015: Deposit I	Draft-09.Development Management and Er	nvironment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council recommends to the Inspector that a paragraph could be added to say the following "Natural Resources Wales have published a useful series of Guidance Notes on the use of LANDMAP including 'Guidance Note 3: Using LANDMAP for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of Onshore Wind Turbines' which should be referred to. Further details on the approach to be taken in relation to landscape will be given in Supplementary Planning Guidance (SPG)". The Council also recommends changing "how" to "how / whether" in paragraph 4.2.24. However points 3 and 4 of the representationand the issue regarding views are felt to be adequately covered in the LDP, these issues should be addressed and developed in the SPG, to which there is a commitment in Appendix 2 of the Written Statement.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

In general this section is very welcome.

- 1) Paragraph 4.2.24: For medium to large wind turbines, developers should be directed to follow the guidance contained in "LANDMAP Information Guidance Note 3: Using LANDMAP for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of Onshore Wind Turbines. The impact of development on views from other landscape points must be considered. The value accorded to landscapes and views by local people and visitors should be taken into consideration where they diverge from the Landmap 'visual and sensory layer' classification.
- 2) Paragraph 4.2.24: 'Developers are advised to refer to LANDMAP to determine how development proposals can be integrated into the landscape'. Considering the issue is not enough. The developer should address the question of whether the development can be integrated into the landscape.
- 3) Paragraph 4.2.24: Cumulative impacts of developments of the same and different types must be considered.
- 4) Paragraph 4.2.25: This paragraph does not give the Snowdonia NP equal weight to the BBNP. It should say how relevant policies of the Snowdonia National Park will be considered if a development within Powys is likely to impact on the Snowdonia National Park.
- 5) CPRW would welcome a statement of the commitment of the LPA (as advised by Richard Pitts, Planning Policy Officer) to produce an SPG on landscape.

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

- 1) Paragraph 4.2.24 Insertion of advice: 'For medium to large wind turbines, developers should be directed to follow the guidance contained in "LANDMAP Information Guidance Note 3: Using LANDMAP for Landscape and Visual Impact Assessment of Onshore Wind Turbines".'
- Insertion of advice: 'Developers should take into account the value accorded to landscapes and views by local people and visitors where they diverge from the LANDMAP 'visual and sensory laver' classification'.
- 2) Paragraph 4.2.24 Insert 'whether' in place of 'how': 'Developers are advised to refer to LANDMAP to determine whether development proposals can be integrated into the

25/04/2016

Page 109 of 317

0

-					, ,	
by: Re	presentation No					
Filtered to show: (al	of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	l Summary				
6235.F15	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: De	velopment Management Po	olicies - FC15 (Polic	cy DM3 - Landscape)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
	landscape' 3) After Paragraph 4.2.24: Insert in a sepal 4) Paragraph 4.2.25: Insert description of v Snowdonia National Park. 5) End of section Insertion of a final paragr	which relevant policies of the Sr	nowdonia National Park will	I be considered if a		
Council Response	:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing					
Representation Texts:	Sound reasons for the changes above.					
Council Response	:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Texts:	Amendments to the text acting as justification	on to Policy DM3 - Landscape.				
Council Response	:					0

25/04/2016 Page 110 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

urces \	Nales
	urces \

Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modific	ed Summary	
6315.F4	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15 (Support for Justification to Policies - FC15)	plicy DM2)
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21		
		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management a	nd Environment
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Comments in support of the justification t	ext for DM2 are noted. Thank you.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	targets is through the Nutrient Manageme	the the additional text within Policy DM2 that identifies that for the River Wye SAC the primary mechanism ent Plan (NMP) process. This is overseen by a Board and a Technical Group which Powys County County the Deposit Draft, this is of particular relevance when assessing applications for intensive livestock unminatural environments.	cil supports and participates in.
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	9	
Representation Texts:	N/A		
Council Response:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Support for inclusion of NMP within Police	y DM2	
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 111 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	evelopment Management Policies - Fo	C15	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary	
78.F8//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - Policy DM5 Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.27		
Policy: DM5		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Stage 2, Strategic Flood Consequences A incorporated into all construction works the	River Basin Management Plans covering Powys (as required by the EU Water Framework Directive) as per the recommendations of the Assessment. Whilst, Schedule three of The Flood and Water Management Act requires sustainable drainage systems (SuDS) to be not have drainage implications, this policy is intended to bridge the gap until the requirement is introduced. Furthermore other Adopted P3) have included Policies for the incorporation of SuDS within new development.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	•	and Land Drainage Part B - The HBF object to this section as it is requiring a level of SuDS over and above national guidance. Current ould therefore not be used to make policy by a local development plan.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	9	
Representation Texts:	Remove the wording in Policy DM5 – Floo	od Prevention Measures and Land Drainage Part B	
Council Response:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing		
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the object	ction I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Request the removal of the requirement f	or all development to include SuDS	
Council Response:			0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	ified Summary			
78.F8//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC1	15 - Policy DM5 Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	ified Summary			
78.F10//DM2	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC1	15 - Policy DM12	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Policy: DM2			Issue: 2015: [Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Your comment is noted but the Council development proposal. The CSS Wales			or triggering such assessments depend on the particular circumstances of a	
Council Response:				0	
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	complied with or at what level of develo	pment a Traffic Assessment and/o	Travel Plan is required.	gue and gives no clarity or certainty as to what highway requirements are to be In particular the use of the word 'significant' should be quantified. We note at a larger than the supporting text or an expectation of the supporting text or an expectation.	
Council Response:				0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	ge			
Representation Texts:	Amended the wording of Policy DM12 apply to.	Fransport Requirements for New De	evelopment to, provide gre	reater clarity and guidance on which developments the policy requirements will	
Council Response:	:			0	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the obj	ection I have raised above in the in	quiry as it enables the iss	sue to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response:				0	

25/04/2016 Page 113 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary			
1481.F2//DM9	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management	Policies - FC15 (DM9 Contaminated and Unstal	ole Land)	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained		
Document:FC - Scheo	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.31				
Policy: DM9			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Mar	nagement and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Thanks for your comment, your support for	the Focussed Change is noted.			
Council Response	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts	 Support – The Coal Authority supports Police framework for addressing the issue of unstallegacy. 				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	N/A				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	Support				
Council Response	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 114 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6192	Gardiner,	Mr	Ben
------	-----------	----	-----

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6192.F4//DM15 10/03/2011 ☐ Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.37 Site: 1248//P32 HA2 Maesydre Field, Llanfyllin

Policy: DM15 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Question: Council Response

Situating development in towns such as Llanfyllin within walking distance of a range of services and public transport links reduces carbon emissions compared to building in unsustainable locations in open countryside. All of the allocations in the LDP have been subject to Strategic Environmental Assessment and Sustainability Appraisal. It is recognised that allocation P32 HA2 will change the gateway to Llanfyllin therfore a development brief will be produced to ensure the development is in keeping and sensitive to

the area.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Para

Representation Texts:

Paragraphs - 4.2.69 Refers to the scale of the development land. The site(s) in Llanfyllin

is/are extensive and not in proportion with the town itself. It will materially

affect the 'gateway' to Llanfyllin.

4.2.72 - Refers to the requirement that the proposal should contribute to the

achievement of sustainable development.

The site Ref HA2 is on a north facing slope so makes the uses of 'passive

solar gain' difficult if not impossible to achieve.

The site Ref HA2 has a exit adjacent to the Dolydd. This makes the journey into the centre of town difficult as it is both up hill and along a main road.

The trip into town is likely to be by car which is not sustainable.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Mis-match between policy DM15 and housing allocation P32 HA2

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 115 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	(/ 3 - ,				
6235	CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgo	mery Agent:	CPRW Brecon & F	Radnor	
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modific	ed Summary			
6235.F16//DM4	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Ma	anagement Policies - FC15	. Policy DM4 Development and Flood Risk	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - So Policy: DM4	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.26		Issue: 2015: De	eposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Te	Therefore Amend Policy DM4 – Develop All development proposals must be located appropriate detailed technical assessment	ment and Flood Risk to read ed away from tidal or fluvial flood nt has been undertaken to ensure ent must not increase flood risk e ture such as an extension to a dw	plains unless it can be den that the development is de elsewhere, and shall where	nonstrated that the site is justified in line with national guidance esigned to reduce/avoid the threat and alleviate the consequence possible allow floodplains to provide water storage to reduce flo	ces of flooding
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Te	exts: CPRW recommend a change in the draft	ing of this policy in order to comp	ly with provisions of PPW8	13.2.	
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	e			
Representation Te	exts: Change of wording: 'designed to alleviate consequences of flooding over its lifetime		flooding over its lifetime's	hould be amended to 'designed to reduce/avoid the threat and	alleviate the
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Te	exts: Commitment to realistic measures to add	dress flooding			
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	exts: Amendment to Policy to reflect wording in	n PPW.			
Council Respo	nse:				0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sui	mmary		
6235.F16//DM4	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15. Po	olicy DM4 Development and Flood Risk	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sur	mmary		
6235.F17//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15. Po	olicy DM5 Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.27			
Policy: DM5		Issue: 2015: Depos	sit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:		licy provision is required for the protection of peat and da arbon stores" in the development management policies w	ark soils in the Powys LDP. Following representations at the last removed.	Deposit
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	proposal. The impacts of replacement of peat a		eased downstream flood risk from an extensive upland developture properties) and the alteration of normal water flow are in multiple developments.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Inclusion of specific provision for the protection or to existing water flow channels is proposed.	of upland peat and dark soils and for assessment of dowr	nstream flood risks where any interference with or damage to	these soils
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Above, unless remedied.			
Council Response:	i.			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			

25/04/2016 Page 117 of 317

Representation Texts: Inclusion of specific provision for the protection of upland peat and dark soils and for assessment of downstream flood risks where any interference with or damage to these soils

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	resentation No		Noopenooe		on yo county counting		
Filtered to show: (all o	of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status	Modified Summary					
6235.F17//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary:	Development Management Policies - FC15. F	Policy DM5 Flood P	revention Measures and Land I	Orainage	
Source: Email	Type: Object	ction	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status M	Maintained		
	or to existing water flow channels is	s proposed.					
Council Response:						0	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status	Modified Summary					
6235.F18//DM10	11/03/2016	Summary:	Development Management Policies - FC15. F	Policy DM10 Amenit	ies		
Source: Post or in per	rson Type: Objec	ction	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status M	<i>l</i> laintained		
Document:FC - Schedu	ıle of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.	32					
Policy: DM10			Issue: 2015: Depo	osit Draft-09.Develo	pment Management and Envir	onment	
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts:	The Council recognises the omission of 'shadow flicker' from the amenities policy, however a paragraph inserted into the justification text on the renewables policy that also makes reference to 'glint and glare' is felt to be a better way to resolve the situation.						
	Policy DM10 - Amenities does not	include reference to lig	ht pollution because this is dealt with under po	olicy DM6 - Dark Sl	kies and External Lighting (FC1	5).	
	The Council agree that overlooking	needs to be clarified I	by linking it to privacy.				
	The Council recommends the follow	wing amendments via	Matters Arising Changes:				
			a All renewable energy proposals must resperase when it comes to 'glint and glare' from sol				
		t the existence and am	enities of neighbouring uses including approve arises from hours of operation), air quality (od				
Council Response:						0	
Question: 1	Representation Details						

Representation Texts: 1)Policy DM10 omits mention of shadow flicker and light pollution.

2) Paragraph 4.2.48: This paragraph requires clarification to improve its effectiveness.

Council Response:

by: Re	presentation No							
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M							
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd La	ate? Status Modified	Summary					
6235.F18//DM10	11/03/2016		Summary: Development M	anagement Policies - FC1	5. Policy DM10 Ame	nities		
Source: Post or in pe	erson	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Question: 2	Changes needed to the	Focussed Change						
Representation Texts:	1) Insertion of the work	ds "shadow flicker, ligl	ht pollution" after "shall not b	oe unacceptably affected b	by levels of noise, du	st, air pollution, litter, odd	our, hours of operation"	
	2) Paragraph 4.2.48: I	n the phrase "These	e amenities include overlookin	g" the term 'overlooking	' needs definition.			
Council Response:	:							0
Question: 3	Reason for request to s	peak at hearing						
Representation Texts:	Above unless remedie	ed.						
Council Response:	:							0
Question: 4	Summary of Representa	ation						
Representation Texts:	Amend Policy DM10 - pollution, litter, odour,		1) Insertion of the words "sha	dow flicker, light pollution"	after "shall not be	unacceptably affected b	y levels of noise, dust, air	
	2) Paragraph 4.2.48: I	n the phrase "These	e amenities include overlookin	g" the term 'overlooking	' needs definition.			
Council Response:	:							0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd La	ate? Status Modified	Summary					
6235.F19//DM12	11/03/2016		Summary: Development M	anagement Policies - FC1	5 - DM12			
Source:		Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes	Jan 2016, p.33						
Policy: DM12				Issue: 2015: D	Deposit Draft-09.Deve	elopment Management a	and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts							
Question:	Council Response							
Representation Texts:	The Council disagrees needs of all transport			ments are implicit in meeti	ing highway access s	standards and Policy DM	12 (FC15) refers to the access	
Council Response:	:							0

25/04/2016 Page 119 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6235.F19//DM12 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM12 Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Representation Details Question: 1 Representation Texts: This policy should address both the safety and access needs of road users, taking into account that rural roads also serve for walkers and equestrians as part of the footpath and bridleway network. This policy fails to recognise equestrians and horse drawn vehicles among vulnerable road users. The WAG Road Safety Framework for Wales (July 2013) clearly sets out the vulnerability of equestrians and carriage drivers and their prevalence on roads in rural areas in sections 71 to 73. The onus on the local authority to consider road safety is clearly set out. Local government is the main delivery agent of road safety; local authorities have a statutory duty under section 39 of the 1988 Road Traffic Act, to "take steps both to reduce and prevent accidents". Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: The wording should be amended as below: "Proposals for development must meet highway access and safety requirements and vehicular parking standards and must incorporate the access and safety needs of all transport users, especially pedestrians and cyclists, equestrians and horse drawn vehicles, and those with disabilities or mobility impairment." Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: This policy should address both the safety and access needs of road users, taking into account that rural roads also serve for walkers and equestrians as part of the footpath and bridleway network. This policy fails to recognise equestrians and horse drawn vehicles among vulnerable road users. The WAG Road Safety Framework for Wales (July 2013) clearly sets out the vulnerability of equestrians and carriage drivers and their prevalence on roads in rural areas in sections 71 to 73. The onus on the local authority to consider road safety is clearly set out. Local government is the main delivery agent of road safety; local authorities have a statutory duty under section 39 of the 1988 Road Traffic Act, to "take steps both to reduce and prevent accidents". Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Policy DM12 to be amended to include safety for pedestrians, cyclists, equestrians and those with disabilities. Council Response: 0

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.37

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016

Type: Support

Policy: DM15 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment

Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM15

Status Maintained

Mode Written

25/04/2016

6235.F20//DM15

Source: Fmail

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o o		
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	1 Summary		
6235.F20//DM15	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC	15 - DM15	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Te	xts: Your Support for Policy DM15 is noted.			
Council Respor	ise:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Te	xts: Improved design implementation methods	and a greater emphasis on the standard of design and b	ouild in Powys is timely and welcome.	
Council Respor	ise:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Te	xts: Improved design implementation methods	and a greater emphasis on the standard of design and b	ouild in Powys is timely and welcome.	
Council Respor	ise:			0

25/04/2016 Page 121 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315	Natural	Resources	Wale
ชอาอ	maturai	Resources	vva

Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ed Summary	
6315.F6//DM6	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (DM6 Dark Skies and External Lighting))
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.29		
Policy: DM6		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management	ent and Environment
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Thanks for your comment, your support	or the Focussed Change is noted.	
Council Response:	:		0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:		ark Skies and External Lighting is welcomed as a standalone policy and note that the policy wording they do not individually or cumulatively cause disturbance to protected species.	now includes reference to the need
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	e	
Representation Texts:	N/A		
Council Response:	:		0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Support for Focussed Change to DM6 D	ark Skies and External Lighting Policy	
Council Response:	:		0

25/04/2016 Page 122 of 317

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6323 RWE Innogy UK Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6323.F3//DM6 10/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (Policy DM6 Dark Skies)

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.29

Policy: DM6 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council recommends that the criteria ii and iv of policy DM6 should be amended as a Matters Arising Change to read as follows:

ii. An unacceptable adverse effect on the visibility of the night sky.

iv.An unacceptable disturbance to protected species.

However the Council does not recommend putting the word 'unacceptable' before the words nuisance or hazard.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Policy DM6 – Dark Skies and External Lighting

For the reason set out below, it is considered that LDP Policy DM6 in its current form fails to meet the Consistency (C2) and Coherence and Effectiveness (CE2) tests of

soundness.

• DM6 (ii, iii, iv): External lighting may have an adverse impact on the features intended to be protected by this policy but still be acceptable on balance. The appropriate test is

whether the impact is 'unacceptable'.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Policy DM6 – Dark Skies and External Lighting:

• Insert "unacceptable" before ii. "adverse..."; iii. "nuisance..."; and iv. "disturbance..."

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Policy DM6 – Dark Skies and External Lighting: Insert "unacceptable" before ii. "adverse..."; iii. "nuisance..."; and iv. "disturbance..."

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 123 of 317

Concunation Rop	ort Appondix 4. 1 o Ropi ocomat	iono a coanon recoponece		1 on yo county countin 2000	a Bovolopinont i ian
, ,	presentation No				
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	lified Summary			
6323.F4//DM10	10/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policie	s - FC15 (DM10 Amenities)		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.32				
Policy: DM10		Issue:	2015: Deposit Draft-09.Deve	elopment Management and Environmer	nt
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	In responses to this representation, the Arising Change:	Council recommends that the following clarification a	mendment could be added	to the reasoned justification (Para 4.2.4	18) as a Matters
	"The reference given to 'nearby or prop by the LDP."	oosed properties 'in the policy means residential prope	erties which lawfully exist or	nave planning permission and sites allo	ocated for housing
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	For the reason set out below, it is consi	idered that LDP Policy DM6 in its current form fails to	meet the Consistency (C2)	and Coherence and Effectiveness (CE:	2) tests of
	planning permission" at the time of make made in locations where there is no pol	inclusion of "proposed properties" is too broad and the king a decision should be included. The inclusion of "plicy support for such developments and/or no realistic succeeding paragraph 4.2.48 of the Deposit Draft of tt".	proposed properties" risks sp likelihood of receiving planr	peculative applications for new resident ning permission simply to frustrate other	ial properties being r planning
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	nge			
Representation Texts:	Policy DM10 - Amenities: Delete "near	by or proposed properties" and replace with "neighbo	uring residential properties v	which lawfully exist or have planning pe	rmission"
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Policy DM10 - Amenities: Delete "near	by or proposed properties" and replace with "neighbo	uring residential properties v	which lawfully exist or have planning per	rmission"
Council Response:	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 124 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6348	Dwr Cymru '	Welsh Water
------	-------------	-------------

50-10 BW	Toyuna Wolon Water		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ace	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary	
6348.F3//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage)	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.27		
Policy: DM5		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Thanks for your comment, your support for	r the Focussed Change is noted.	
Council Response	:		0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	B) The tackling of surface water at source it	d Drainage is a vital component of sustainable drainage and we fully support the requirement for developers to incorporate sustainable drainage inaging surface water at source will mitigate against overloaded sewers which can ultimately lead to flooding.	
Council Response	:		0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change		
Representation Texts:	: N/A		
Council Response	:		0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Support for Policy DM5 - Flood Prevention	Measures and Land Drainage - Part B sustainable drainage	
Council Response	:		0

25/04/2016 Page 125 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6402 Montgomery Canal Partnership

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6402.F2//DM5 11/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage)

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.27

Policy: DM5 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council agree this policy is not meant to be applied to canals.

The Council recommends a paragraph is inserted as follows via a Matters Arising Change:

"4.2.31a - It is not the intention for this policy to be applied to canals."

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

DM5 seems based on a misconception that all watercourses are the same. In fact, they are not. Canals do not have water flowing as rivers do. Canals have towpaths for walking along whilst many rivers do not.

Thus the suggestion in sub-paragraph v) should be amended. Leaving an undeveloped buffer strip is impractical where there is a towpath. Indeed, a developer may well be prepared to pay for towpath improvements. Where a development is on the non-towpath side, it may be appropriate (e.g. for a retail business) to provide moorings for boats and otherwise encourage the public to come to the water's edge to enjoy the scene. The reference to channel maintenance is not relevant for the Canal where the owners have existing means of doing so. Having said that, it would be undesirable for the footprint of the building element of a development to be right up to the water's edge.

Background information

We are surprised to see so many changes to the LDP at this stage – i.e. when the LDP has been submitted for the Examination in Public. In particular, we note this is contrary to the Welsh Government's LDP Manual 2015 which advises that changes after Deposit should be avoided wherever possible unless needed to ensure the Plan's soundness or to cope with a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy.

As a result, there have been significant changes proposed affecting the Montgomery Canal and its restoration as a navigable waterway. There does not appear to have been an effort to discuss these proposals with us. It appears the changes have been made as a result of representations made by other organisations which have not been challenged or otherwise discussed in order to test their validity.

This failure is all the more disappointing as Powys County Council is a member of this Partnership and is therefore presumed to be supportive of the 2005 Strategy mentioned below. Some of the changes e.g. in FC 13 and FC 38, appear to downgrade the status of the Canal from multi-functional use to being merely a green tourism asset with undue emphasis on the scientific and conservation designations (e.g. the proposed changes to TD3).

We therefore request Powys County Council to make further changes so the LDP is consistent with its support for the restoration to navigation of the Canal, together with the economic benefits which this will bring.

We also ask that we are consulted on all matters relating to this LDP as it progresses by post and by email to the Chair (addresses below)

THE MONTGOMERY CANAL PARTNERSHIP

The Partnership was formed in 1999 and brought together various wildlife and navigational interests represented by voluntary trusts/societies and statutory authorities, such as Powys County Council, Shropshire Council and regulatory and other bodies. One of its purposes was to agree a way forward to effect full restoration of the whole of the

•	ont Appendix 4. 1 o Nepresentatio	nis & Council Responses	iit i iaii
, ,	presentation No		
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary	
6402.F2//DM5	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 (DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage)	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
	agreement on that way forward and comp elements but a key one was that the mult limit on the number of boat movements; in side of Welshpool and about 7 miles in SI	in England to Newtown in Wales. Parts of the Canal in England are a SSSI and it Wales it is an SAC It took some years to reach promises were needed. Eventually, in 2005 an agreed Conservation Management Strategy was agreed and published. This has many infunctional aspects of the Canal was recognised and accepted and that full restoration was desirable. However, this was subject to a n Wales, this was agreed at 2,500 boat movements a year. About half the 35 miles of the Canal has been restored; about 12 miles eith hropshire. Since 2005, the Partnership has been seeking funding for further restoration works. A £3m bid is due to be submitted to the ure plans involve the continued use of voluntary groups, community payback etc.	er
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	Đ	
Representation Texts:	Requested change. We suggest the word	ds make it clear this is not referring to canals.	
Council Response:	:		0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing		
Representation Texts:	 the adverse effect of the proposed char conservation matters. 	nges about the Montgomery Canal, apparently downgrading it from a multi –purpose waterway to one specialising only in scientific and ary to the 2005 Conservation Management Strategy agreed by the Montgomery Canal Partnership, membership of which includes Powing proposed at this stage of the process	
Council Response:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	To make canals exempt from Policy DM5		
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 127 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417 Cymdeithas Yr Iaith Gyrmaeg

•	-		_					
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd La	ate? S	Status Modified S	Summary				
6417.F5//DM14	11/03/2016			Summary: Development Ma	nagement Policies -	- FC15 - DM14		
Source: Email		Type:	Objection	Mode	Written	Sta	itus Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of Foo	cussed Changes	Jan 20	016, p.35					
Policy: DM14					Issue: 20	15: Deposit Draft-10.	Welsh Language and Culture and Heritage	

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

- 1) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn am yr effaith bosibl ar yr iaith Gymraeg o ganlyniad i ddatblygiadau bychain sy'n llai na'r trothwy o 10 anheddle mewn ardaloedd gwledig. Yn gyntaf, mae'n bwysig nodi nad yw polisi DM16 yn gofyn am gynnal Asesiadau Effaith laith Gymraeg, fel y cyfeirir ato gan y Cynrychiolydd. Yn hytrach na hynny, mae polisi DM14 yn gofyn am gyflwyno Cynllun Gweithredu laith mewn perthynas â datblygiadau arfaethedig sy'n cynnwys 10 tŷ neu fwy o fewn aneddiadau sydd o fewn cadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg a ddynodwyd. Mae'r dull polisi hwn yn derbyn fod gan ddatblygiadau o'r fath y potensial i gael effaith sylweddol ar yr iaith Gymraeg, ac y dylid felly sicrhau mesurau lliniaru priodol mewn perthynas â maint a lleoliad y datblygiada hwn. Nid yw strategaeth a pholisïau CDLl arfaethedig y Cyngor yn rhagweld nac yn caniatáu datblygiadau ar raddfa fawr o fewn pentrefi bychain ac aneddiadau gwledig. Dim ond datblygiadau mewnlenwi ar gyfer 1 neu 2 anheddle neu ddatblygiadau mewnlenwi mwy yn unol â Chynlluniau Gweithredu Pentrefi, estyniadau cymedrol ar gyfer tai fforddiadwy mewn pentrefi bychain ac aneddleoedd unigol i ddiwallu angen sydd wedi'i brofi am dai fforddiadwy mewn aneddiadau gwledig y mae Polisi H1 y CDLl arfaethedig yn ei ganiatáu. Byddai'r polisi hwn hefyd yn atal datblygiadau llai yn gyffredinol, er enghraifft 5 anheddle fel y cyfeiriwyd ato gan y Cynrychiolydd, mewn aneddiadau o'r fath, oni bai eu bod yn unol â Chynllun Gweithredu Pentref, y byddai angen cefnogaeth gymunedol ar ei gyfer. O ganlyniad i hynny, nid yw'r Cyngor yn ystyried ei bod hi'n angenrheidiol i'r polisi hwn fod yn gymwys i aneddiadau llai neu ddatblygiadau ar raddfa fechan. Mae'r pryder am effaith gronnus nifer o ddatblygiadau bychain sy'n cynnwys llai na 10 anheddle, yn enwedig mewn ardaloedd gwledig, wedi'i nodi. Credir y gellir ystyried effaith gronnus datblygiad penodol ar yr iath Gymraeg, lle bo hynny'n berthnasol. Gellid egluro'r posibilrwydd o ystyried hyn gyda'r cyfiawnhad ategol trwy gynnwys y testun ychwanegol canlynol
- 2) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn am yr angen am arbenigedd i gynnal asesiad effaith iaith ac iddo fod yn asesiad annibynnol. Yn unol â'r Diwygiad Drafft i Nodyn Cyngor Technegol (TAN) 20 y cynhaliwyd ymgynghoriad arno, byddai'r Awdurdod Cynllunio Lleol (ACLI) yn gyfrifol am gynnal unrhyw asesiad ac am benderfynu ar ei ffurf. Gwneir cyfeiriad hefyd at y posibilrwydd o gynnwys Comisiynydd y Gymraeg. Byddai cynnal yr asesiad, ag unryw anghenion hyfforddiant, yn fater i'r ACLI ei ystyried yn ystod y cam cyflwyno cais cynllunio.
- 3) Nid yw enwau ar gyfer datblygiadau newydd yn dod o dan reolaeth y system gynllunio yn uniongyrchol. Mae enwau datblygiadau newydd yn cael eu cofrestru gan y Cyngor a deallir fod gofyn iddynt gael eu cofrestru gydag enwau dwyieithog.
- 1) These comments in relation to the potential impact of smaller developments of less than the 10 dwelling threshold in rural areas on the Welsh language, are noted. It is important to firstly note that policy DM16 does not require Welsh Language Impact Assessments to be carried out, as is referred to by the Representor. Instead, policy DM14 requires a Language Action Plan to be submitted in connection with proposed developments of 10 or more houses within settlements that are within the identified Welsh speaking strongholds. This policy approach accepts that such developments have the potential to have a significant impact on the Welsh language, and therefore appropriate mitigation measures should be secured in connection with this scale and location of development. The Council's proposed LDP strategy and policies do not envisage or permit large scale developments within small villages and rural settlements. Proposed LDP Policy H1 only permits infill developments for 1 or 2 dwellings or larger infills in accordance with Village Action Plans, modest extensions for affordable homes in small villages, and single dwellings to meet proven need for affordable housing in rural settlements. This policy would also generally preclude smaller developments, for instance of 5 dwellings as referred to by the Representor, in such settlements, unless they are in accordance with a Village Action Plan, for which there would need to be community support. Therefore, the Council does not consider it to be necessary for this policy to apply to smaller settlements or smaller scale developments. The concern regarding the cumulative impact of several small developments of less than 10, particularly in rural areas, is noted. It is considered

25/04/2016 Page 128 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Source: Email		Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained		
6417.F5//DM14	11/03/2016		Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM14				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Lat	te? Status Modified	Summary				
Filtered to show:	Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
by:	Representation No						

that the cumulative impact of a particular development, together with extant or approved planning permissions, on the Welsh language, could be taken into account at the planning application stage, where relevant. The scope to consider this could be clarified within the supporting justification by including the following additional text at the end of paragraph 4.2.5 – 'Consideration will also be given to the cumulative impact of developments of a smaller scale than the set threshold, together with extant planning permissions and current planning proposals, within the identified settlements, along with other smaller settlements within Welsh speaking strongholds, where relevant and appropriate'.

- 2) These comments in relation to the need for expertise to carry out a language impact assessment and for it to be an independent assessment are noted. According to the draft Revision to TAN20 which has been consulted upon, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) would be responsible for undertaking any assessment and for determining its form, and reference is also made to the potential for involvement by the Welsh Language Commissioner. The undertaking of the assessment, including any training requirements, would be a matter for the LPA to consider at the planning application stage.
- 3) Names for new developments are not directly within the control of the planning system. The names of new developments are registered by the Council and it is understood that they are required to be registered with bilingual names.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

- 1) Tra'n croesawu'r egwyddor o Asesiadau Iaith i ddatblygiadau o fwy na 10 tŷ (Polisi DM14) mae lle cryf i ddadlau bod datblygu 5 uned mewn ardal wledig yn gallu bod yn sylweddol ac felly dylid asesu'r effaith ar yr iaith. Yn aml, gwelir sawl datblygiad o 5 tŷ yn yr un pentref neu mewn pentrefi cyfagos, gyda'r un effaith cronnus a phe adeiladwyd 10 neu fwy o dai mewn un datblygiad.
- 2) Dylid diwygio DM14 er mwyn sicrhau bod "cynllun gweithredu iaith" neu asesiad effaith iaith datblygiad yn cael ei lunio'n annibynnol ar y datblygwr neu'r sawl sy'n cyflwyno'r cais. Dylai'r awdurdod sicrhau bod asesiadau o'r fath yn cael eu cwblhau gyda'r arbenigedd ac annibyniaeth briodol fel sail i benderfynu ar geisiadau.
- 3) Hefyd, dylid sicrhau bod angen i holl swyddogion cynllunio yr awdurdod dderbyn hyfforddiant er mwyn sicrhau ymwybyddiaeth o'r iaith Gymraeg, ei chymunedau, ac effaith datblygu.
- 4) Bod datblygiadau newydd ym mhob rhan o'r Sir yn defnyddio enwau Cymraeg ar lefydd ac NID enwau dwyieithog (DM14 4.2.68)

Ail-bwysleisiwn y sylwadau a'r egwyddorion cyffredinol a anfonon ni atoch yn eich ymgynghoriad blaenorol ar Orffennaf 20fed llynedd.

- 1) Although we welcome the principle of a Language Impact Assessment for developments of 10 houses or more (Policy DM14) it could be strongly argued that developing 5 units in a rural area could be significant and that a language impact assessment should be carried out. A number of developments of 5 houses each are often seen in the same village or in nearby villages, this could have the same cumulative effect as if 10 or more houses were built in one development.
- 2) DM14 should be revised to ensure that a "language action plan" or the language impact assessment of a development is drawn up independently of the developer or whoever is presenting the application. The authority should ensure that such assessments are completed with the appropriate level of specialism and independence as a basis for deciding on the applications.

25/04/2016 Page 129 of 317

0

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses				Powys County Council Local Development Plant		
by: Rep	resentation No					
Filtered to show: (all o	of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	dified Summary				
6417.F5//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies	s - FC15 - DM14			
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained		
	3) Also, all the authority's planning offi	cers should receive training to ensure an awareness of	the Welsh language, its con	nmunities and the effect of development.		
	4) That new developments in every pa	rt of the County should use Welsh placenames and NC	OT bilingual names (DM14 4.	2.68).		
Council Response:					0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Char	nge				
Representation Texts:	1) Dylid asesu'r effaith ar yr iaiith o dda	atblygiadau 5 uned mewn ardal wledig ac ysytried yr eff	faith gronnus o ddatblygiada	u Ilai.		
	datblygiad yn cael ei lunio'n annibynno	u bod "cynllun gweithredu iaith" neu asesiad effaith iaitl l ar y datblygwr neu'r sawl sy'n cyflwyno'r cais. Dylai'r th yn cael eu cwblhau gyda'r arbenigedd ac annibyniae				
		l swyddogion cynllunio yr awdurdod dderbyn hyfforddia Gymraeg, ei chymunedau, ac effaith datblygu.	nnt er			
	4) Bod datblygiadau newydd ym mhob enwau dwyieithog (DM14 4.2.68)	rhan o'r Sir yn defnyddio enwau Cymraeg ar lefydd ac	NID			
	A language impact assessment sho developments.	uld be carried out for developments of 5 units in rural a	reas and consideration shou	ald be given to the cumulative impact ofsmall		
		hat a "language action plan" or the language impact as ority should ensure that such assessments are comple				
	3) Also, all the authority's planning offi	cers should receive training to ensure an awareness of	the Welsh language, its con	nmunities and the effect of development.		
	4) That new developments in every pa	rt of the County should use Welsh placenames and NC	OT bilingual names (DM14 4.	2.68).		
Council Response:					0	

Question: 4

Summary of Representation

Representation Texts:

Gwrthwynebiad yn ymwneud a phryderon ynglyn a datblygiadau llai ar yr laith Gymraeg mewn ardaloedd gwledig, hefyd wrth ystyried yr effaith gronnus o rhain. Hefyd yn pwysleisio'r angen ar gyfer asesiadau effaith ieithyddol annibynnol ac ar gyfer hyfforddiant swyddogion ar y mater. Yn ogystal, ystyrir y dylid enwau llefydd Gymraeg ac NID enwau dwyieithog ar gyfer datblygiadau newydd ym mhob rhan o'r sir.

Objection relating to concerns about the impact of smaller developments on the Welsh language in rural areas, also taking into the cumulative impact of these. Also highlighting the need for independent language impact assessments to be carried out and the need for Officers to be trained on the issue. In addition, it is considered that new developments

25/04/2016 Page 130 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6417.F5//DM14 11/03/2016 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM14 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained in every part of the the County should use Welsh placenames and NOT billingual names.

0

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 131 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6418 Gweithgor y Gymraeg Powys / Powys Welsh Langu

•	, , , ,	•		
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnN	lo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6418.F1//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of I	Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.35			
Policy: DM14		Issue: 2015: Depos	sit Draft-10. Welsh Language and Culture and Herit	age

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

- 1) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn am yr effaith bosibl ar yr iaith Gymraeg o ganlyniad i ddatblygiadau bychain sy'n llai na'r trothwy o 10 anheddle mewn ardaloedd gwledig. Yn gyntaf, mae'n bwysig nodi nad yw polisi DM16 yn gofyn am gynnal Asesiadau Effaith laith Gymraeg, fel y cyfeirir ato gan y Cynrychiolydd. Yn hytrach na hynny, mae polisi DM14 yn gofyn am gyflwyno Cynllun Gweithredu laith mewn perthynas â datblygiadau arfaethedig sy'n cynnwys 10 tŷ neu fwy o fewn aneddiadau sydd o fewn cadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg a ddynodwyd. Mae'r dull polisi hwn yn derbyn fod gan ddatblygiadau o'r fath y potensial i gael effaith sylweddol ar yr iaith Gymraeg, ac y dylid felly sicrhau mesurau lliniaru priodol mewn perthynas â maint a lleoliad y datblygiadau newnlenwi ar gyfer 1 neu 2 anheddle neu ddatblygiadau mewnlenwi mwy yn unol â Chynlluniau Gweithredu Pentrefi, estyniadau cymedrol ar gyfer tai fforddiadwy mewn pentrefi bychain ac aneddiadau gwledig y mae Polisi H1 y CDLl arfaethedig yn ei ganiatáu. Byddai'r polisi hwn hefyd yn atal datblygiadau llai yn gyffredinol, er enghraifft 5 anheddle fel y cyfeiriwyd ato gan y Cynrychiolydd, mewn aneddiadau o'r fath, oni bai eu bod yn unol â Chynllun Gweithredu Pentref, y byddai angen cefnogaeth gymunedol ar ei gyfer. O ganlyniad i hynny, nid yw'r Cyngor yn ystyried ei bod hi'n angenrheidiol i'r polisi hwn fod yn gymwys i aneddiadau llai neu ddatblygiadau ar raddfa fechan. Mae'r pryder am effaith gronnus nifer o ddatblygiadau bychain sy'n cynnwys llai na 10 anheddle, yn enwedig mewn ardaloedd gwledig, wedi'i nodi. Credir y gellir ystyried effaith gronnus datblygiad penodol ar yr iath Gymraeg, ynghyd â chaniatâd cynllunio sydd mewn bodolaeth neu wedi'i gymeradwyo, yn ystod y cyfnod cyflwyno cais cynllunio, lle bo hynny'n berthnasol. Gellid egluro'r posibilrwydd o ystyried hyn gyda'r cyfiawnhad ategol trwy gynnwys y testun ychwanegol canlynol ar ddiwedd paragraff 4.2.5 'Rhoddir ystyriaeth hefyd i effaith gronnus datblygiadau ar raddfa lai na'r trothwy a bennir, ynghyd â chaniatâd cynllu
- 2) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn am yr angen am arbenigedd i gynnal asesiad effaith iaith ac iddo fod yn asesiad annibynnol. Yn unol â'r Diwygiad Drafft i Nodyn Cyngor Technegol (TAN) 20 y cynhaliwyd ymgynghoriad arno, byddai'r Awdurdod Cynllunio Lleol (ACLI) yn gyfrifol am gynnal unrhyw asesiad ac am benderfynu ar ei ffurf. Gwneir cyfeiriad hefyd at y posibilrwydd o gynnwys Comisiynydd y Gymraeg. Byddai cynnal yr asesiad, ac unryw anghenion hyfforddiant, yn fater i'r ACLI ei ystyried yn ystod y cam cyflwyno cais cynllunio.
- 3) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn mewn perthynas â pherthnasedd yr iaith Gymraeg, a nifer y siaradwyr Cymraeg o fewn ardaloedd y tu allan i'r rheini a ddynodwyd o fewn polisi DM14. Mae'r polisi yn cyfeirio at ardaloedd a ystyriwyd i fod yn gadarnleoedd yr iaith Gymraeg, a ddiffinnir fel ardaloedd Cynghorau Cymuned lle mae mwy na 25% o'r boblogaeth yn siarad Cymraeg. Efallai fod ardaloedd eraill gyda niferoedd mwy o siaradwyr Cymraeg; fodd bynnag, maent yn cynrychioli cyfran lai o'r boblogaeth o fewn cymunedau hynny. Mae'r iaith Gymraeg yn rhan arwyddocaol o ffabrig cymdeithasol cadarnleoedd y Gymraeg ac mae'r ardaloedd hynny hefyd wedi dangos y gostyngiad mwyaf sylweddol yn nifer y siaradwyr Cymraeg yn ystod y degawdau diwethaf. Oherwydd hynny, maent felly'n cael eu hystyried i fod yn arbennig o sensitif i ddatblygiadau mawr newydd. Lle bo safleoedd mawr ar hap sydd heb eu rhagweld gan y Cynllun gyda'r potensial i gael effaith ar yr iaith Gymraeg yn cael eu cynnig, efallai y byddai'n briodol gofyn am gynnal asesiad effaith iaith yn ystod y cam cyflwyno cais cynllunio, fel sy'n cael ei gynghori o fewn y drafft ymgynghori diwygiedig o Nodyn Cyngor Technegol (TAN) 20. Gellir cyflwyno'r posibilrwydd o ystyried hyn o fewn y cyfiawnhad ategol i bolisi DM14 trwy ychwanegu'r frawddeg ganlynol ar ddiwedd paragraff 4.2.5. 'Efallai hefyd y byddai'n briodol gofyn am asesiad effaith iaith mewn cysylltiad â datblygiadau cymhleth ar hap y tu allan i gadarnleoedd y Gymraeg'. Gan ystyried yr uchod, nid yw'r Cyngor yn ystyried bod angen cynnwys ardaloedd eraill o fewn y diffiniad polisi o Gadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg.
- 4) Nodwyd y cais i gynnwys yr laith Gymraeg ar arwyddion y tu allan i Gadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg. Tra gellir hyrwyddo hyn ar draws y Sir gan y Cynllun Datblygu Lleol, dim ond er mwyn diogelu cymeriad ieithyddol lleol, traddodiadau ac i hyrwyddo nodweddion diwylliannol y bydd hi'n cael ei ystyried yn angenrheidiol i ofyn am gynnwys y Gymraeg ar arwyddion o fewn Cadarnleoedd yr laith Gymraeg.

by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Stat	us=M		
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLoc	dgd Late? Status Modified Su	ımmary	
6418.F1//DM14 11/03	3/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained

- 5) Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn o ran yr angen i ystyried datblygiad addysg cyfrwng Cymraeg ochr yn ochr â datblygiadau mwy mewn ardaloedd gyda niferoedd uchel o siaradwyr Cymraeg. Fel yr esboniwyd uchod, lle mae datblygiadau mwy ar hap yn cael eu cynnig o fewn ardaloedd y tu allan i Gadarnleoedd y Gymraeg, efallai y bydd gofyn cael asesiadau effaith iaith, a lle bydd gofyn cael mesurau lliniaru, efallai y gallai hyn gynnwys cyfraniadau tuag at ddarpariaeth addysgol, gan gynnwys darpariaeth addysg Gymraeg.
- 6) Nid yw enwau ar gyfer datblygiadau newydd yn dod o dan reolaeth y system gynllunio yn uniongyrchol. Mae enwau datblygiadau newydd yn cael eu cofrestru gan y Cyngor a deallir fod gofyn iddynt gael eu cofrestru gydag enwau dwyieithog.
- 1) These comments in relation to the potential impact of smaller developments of less than the 10 dwelling threshold in rural areas on the Welsh language, are noted. It is important to firstly note that policy DM16 does not require Welsh Language Impact Assessments to be carried out, as is referred to by the Representor. Instead, policy DM14 requires a Language Action Plan to be submitted in connection with proposed developments of 10 or more houses within settlements that are within the identified Welsh speaking strongholds. This policy approach accepts that such developments where the potential to have a significant impact on the Welsh language, and therefore appropriate mitigation measures should be secured in connection with this scale and location of development. The Council's proposed LDP strategy and policies do not envisage or permit large scale developments within small villages and rural settlements. Proposed LDP Policy H1 only permits infill developments for 1 or 2 dwellings or larger infills in accordance with Village Action Plans, modest extensions for affordable homes in small villages, and single dwellings to meet proven need for affordable housing in rural settlements. This policy would also generally preclude smaller developments, for instance of 5 dwellings as referred to by the Representor, in such settlements, unless they are in accordance with a Village Action Plan, for which there would need to be community support. Therefore, the Council does not consider it to be necessary for this policy to apply to smaller settlements or smaller scale developments. The concern regarding the cumulative impact of several small developments of less than 10, particularly in rural areas, is noted. It is considered that the cumulative impact of a particular development, together with extant or approved planning permissions, on the Welsh language, could be taken into account at the planning application stage, where relevant. The scope to consider this could be clarified within the supporting justification by
- 2) These comments in relation to the need for expertise to carry out a language impact assessment and for it to be an independent assessment are noted. According to the draft Revision to TAN20 which has been consulted upon, the Local Planning Authority (LPA) would be responsible for undertaking any assessment and for determining its form, and reference is also made to the potential for involvement by the Welsh Language Commissioner. The undertaking of the assessment, and any training needs, would be a matter for the LPA to consider at the planning application stage.
- 3) These comments in relation to the relevance of the Welsh language to, and number of Welsh speakers within, areas outside those identified within policy DM14 are noted. This policy refers to areas that are considered to be Welsh language strongholds, which are defined as Community Council areas where more than 25% of the population speak Welsh. Other areas may have larger numbers of Welsh speakers, however they represent a smaller proportion of the population within those communities. The Welsh language is a significant part of the social fabric of Welsh language strongholds and these areas have also shown the most significant decreases in the number of Welsh speakers in recent decades, and therefore they are considered to be particularly sensitive to new large developments. Where large windfall sites that were not anticipated by the Plan and that have the potential to impact on the Welsh language are proposed, it may be appropriate to require a language impact assessment to be carried out at the planning application stage, as is advised within the revised consultation draft of TAN20. The scope for considering this could be provided within the supporting justification to policy DM14 by adding the following sentence at the end of para 4.2.5. 'It may also be appropriate to require a language impact assessment in connection with exceptionally large or complex windfall developments outside the Welsh language strongholds'. In view of the above, the Council does not consider that there is a need to include other areas within the policy definition of the Welsh Language Strongholds.
- 4) The request for the Welsh language to be included on signage outside the Welsh Language Strongholds is noted. Whilst such could be promoted across the County by the Local Development Plan, it is only considered necessary to require the inclusion of Welsh on signage within Welsh Language Strongholds in order to protect their local linguistic character, tradition and to promote cultural distinctiveness.

25/04/2016 Page 133 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No		
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status M	lodified Summary	
6418.F1//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Development Management Policies - FC	15
Source: Email	Type: Comm	ent Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained
	speakers are noted. As explained a may be required, and where mitigation	bove, where larger windfall developments are proposed within on is required, this may involve contributions towards education	
	6)Names for new developments are they are required to be registered wi		nes of new developments are registered by the Council and it is understood that
Council Response:			0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Mae Gweithgor y Gymraeg Powys am nodi ei fod o'r farn fod yr hyn sydd yn y Polisi ar Ddatblygiadau yng Nghadarnleoedd y Gymraeg yn welliant sylweddol ar y drafft gwreiddiol, ac felly ei fod yn gefnogol o'r newidiadau hynny.

Serch hynny, mae gan y Gweithgor awgrymiadau pellach i'w hystyried.

i)Tra'r ydym yn croesawu'r egwyddor o gynnal asesiadau effaith ar y Gymraeg ar gyfer datblygiadau o fwy na 10 ty, gellid dadlau fod effaith datblygu 5 uned mewn ardal wledig yn gallu bod yn sylweddol ac felly bod angen asesiad effaith ar y Gymraeg, yn enwedig os ceir sawl datblygiad o 5 ty, ble byddai'r effaith gronnus yr un fath neu'n fwy na datblygiadau o 10 ty neu fwy. Dylid adlewyrchu hyn hefyd trwy gynnwys enwau'r aneddiadau llai yn y rhestr.

ii)Mae hefyd angen sicrhau fod rhywun â'r arbenigedd briodol i gynnal yr asesiadau effaith ar y Gymraeg, h.y. trwy ymgynghorwyr neu bod swyddogion yr Adran Gynllunio'n derbyn hyfforddiant priodol i ymgymryd â'r asesiad. Nid yw'r gweithgor o'r farn y dylai'r datblygwyr ymgymryd â'r asesiadau, oni bai bod ganddynt arbenigedd wedi'i brofi yn y maes.

iii)Mae'r Gweithgor yn croesawu cydnabod sensitifrwydd ieithyddol ardaloedd o Bowys, a bod hynny'n cael ei adlewyrchu yn y polisi. Serch hynny, rhaid cydnabod hefyd fod y Gymraeg yn perthyn i bob rhan o'r Sir, a bod niferoedd uwch o siaradwyr Cymraeg yn rhai o'r cymunedau tu allan i'r ardaloedd hynny o sensitifrwydd ieithyddol, e.e. Y Drenewydd. Credwn felly fod angen i'r Gymraeg fod ystyriaeth ar gyfer cynllunio yn yr ardaloedd hynny hefyd.

iv)Ynghlwm â'r uchod felly, dylid sicrhau amod ynghylch cynnwys y Gymraeg ar bob arwydd/datblygiad masnachol newydd yn yr ardaloedd tu allan i'r ardaloedd o sensitifrwydd ieithyddol hefyd.

v)Dylid ystyried datblygiadau addysg Gymraeg yn gyfochrog gyda datblygiadau mwy mewn ardaloedd lle mae niferoedd uchel o siaradwyr Cymraeg, e.e. Y Drenewydd/Dyffryn Hafren

vi)I warchod a hyrwyddo treftadaeth ieithyddol a diwylliannol y Sir, dylai datblygiadau newydd ym mhob rhan o'r Sir ddefnyddio enwau Cymraeg yn unig, nid enwau dwyieithog.

Powys' Welsh Language Working Group considers the Policy on Developments in Welsh Speaking Strongholds to be a significant improvement on the original draft, and is thus supportive of those changes.

Nevertheless, the Working Group has further suggestions for consideration.

i) Although we welcome the principle of conducting Welsh language impact assessments for developments of more than 10 houses, it could be argued that the impact of the development of 5 units in a rural area can be significant and therefore would require a Welsh language impact assessment, especially if there are several developments of 5

25/04/2016 Page 134 of 317

0

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses			Powys County Council Local Development P			
by: Rep	resentation No					
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary				
6418.F1//DM14	11/03/2016	Summary: Development	Management Policies - FC15			
Source: Email	Type: Comme	ent Mod	le Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		
	houses, where the cumulative effect the list.	would be the same or indeed more	far reaching than that of 10 ho	ouses or more. This should be reflected by including smaller settlements in		
	ii) There is also a need to ensure that someone with the appropriate expertise conducts the impact assessments on the Welsh language i.e. consultants or that officers in the Planning Department receive appropriate training to be able undertake the assessments. The Working Group does not believe that the developers should undertake the assessments, unless they have proven expertise in the field.					
	ognised, and that this has been reflected in the policy. However, it must also numbers of Welsh speakers in some communities outside the areas of leration for planning issues in those areas as well.					
	iv) Linked to the above therefore, the outside the areas of language sensitive		tion regarding including the W	/elsh language on all new signs / commercial developments in the areas		
	v) Welsh medium education development should be considered in parallel with larger developments in areas with a high number of Welsh speakers, e.g. Newtown / Severn Valley					
	vi) To protect and promote the linguis	stic and cultural heritage of the Cou	unty, new developments acros	ss the county should use Welsh names only, not bilingual names.		
Council Response:				0		
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Cha	ange				
Representation Texts:		h mewn ardaloedd y tu allan i'r rhai	i sy'n cael eu hystyried yn arda	ael eu cynnal gan rai sydd â'r arbenigedd angenrheidiol. aloedd hynny o sensitifrwydd ieithyddol		
	i) Extend the requirement for conduct	ing Welsh language impact assess	ments, and ensure that the as	ssessments are conducted by those with the necessary expertise.		
	ii) Ensure that the Welsh language is	a consideration in areas outside th	ose that are considered of ling	guistic sensitivity		
	iii) Opportunities to protect and promo	ote the County's linguistic and cultu	ral heritage through the planni	ning process		

Question: 4

Council Response:

Summary of Representation

Representation Texts:

Mae'r cynrycholiaeth hwn yn gefnogol o'r polisi ar Cadarnleodd yr Iaith Gymraeg ond yn gwneud awgrymiadau ynghlych 1) effaith datblygiadau llai ar ardaloedd gwledig, effaith gronnus, ac ar gyfer cynnwys datblygiadau llai ar y rhestr; 2) yr angen ar gyfer arbennigwyr i wneud asesiadau effaith ieithyddol ac ar gyfer hyfforddiant I swyddogion i swyddogion; 3) cydnabyddiaeth fod y Gymraeg yn perthyn I bo rhan o'r Sir a dylid ei ysytyried fel ffactor cynllunio yn yr ardaloedd hyn; 4) dylid rho amod ar bob datblygiad masnachol/arwydd tu allan I'r ardaloedd o sensitifrwydd ieithyddol hefyd; 5) dylid ystyried addysg Cymraeg yn gyfochrog a datblygiadau mawr mewn ardaloedd gyda niferoedd uchel o siaradwyr Cymraeg; 6) enwau Cymraeg ac nid dwyieithog ar gyfer datblygiadau newydd ar draws y Sir.

25/04/2016 Page 135 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6418.F1//DM14 Summary: Development Management Policies - FC15 Source: Email Type: Comment Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained This representations supports the changes to the policy on Welsh Language Strongholds but makes further suggestions in relation to 1) the impact of smaller developments on rural areas, cumulative impacts, and for smaller settlements to be included in the list; 2) the need for specialists to carry out language impact assessments and training for Officers: 3) recognition that Welsh belongs to every part of the County and that it should also be considered as a planning factor in these areas; 4) there should be a condition on all commercial developments/signs outside of the areas of language sensitivity also; 5) Welsh education should be considered alongside large developments in areas of high numbers of Welsh speakers; 6) Welsh and not bilingual names for new developments across the County.

0

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 136 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.29 J	ustification: Development Management	t Policies - FC15	
78 Hor	ne Builders Federation Ltd		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary	
78.F9	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15 (Policy DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and	Land Drainage)
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016		
		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts	The Council recommends that paragraph 4	4.2.34 is amended as a Matters Arising Change as follows, to reflect that this part of the Act has yet to be implemented	in Wales.
	the Act has yet to be implemented in Wale	ent Act (2010) requires SuDS to be incorporated into all construction works that have drainage implications. However, the SuDS policy above is intended to bridge the gap until the Act does come into force. Appendix 4 of TAN15 provide tion of SUDS as part of a proposed development. SPG will also be produced to provide further guidance of the use and	es information
Council Response	:		0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts	(Schedule 3) which requires new developm	ment Act (2010) - The Welsh Government release dated 30 December 2015 confirmed that the Water Management Act nents to include SuDS features that comply with national standards has not yet been commenced in Wales. Accordingly to supports the point above about this guidance not being used as policy.	
Council Response	:		0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: I wish to be able to speak about the objection I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue to be discussed between all parties.

Remove paragraph Para 4.2.34 or reword to take account of the current position in Wales with regard to SuDS legislation in Wales.

Council Response:

Question: 2

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

25/04/2016 Page 137 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Sta	atus Modified Summary			
78.F9	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Deve	elopment Management Policies - FC15 (Polici	cy DM5 - Flood Prevention Measures and Land Drainage))
Source: Email	Type: C	Objection Mode	Oral (Examination) Status	Maintained	
Representation To	exts: Remove paragraph Para 4.2.3	4 of policy DM5 or reword to take account of	f the current position in Wales with regard to S	SuDS legislation in Wales.	
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 138 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4786	Powys County	Council, Highways	Transport and Re
------	--------------	-------------------	------------------

		_			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
4786.F1//DM12	Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15 - corrected citation				
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Writ	iten Star	tus Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.33				
Policy: DM12			Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.	Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	The comment is noted. It is recommende editing amendment.	d for accuracy that the acronymn "CS	S" is added before "The Wales Parkin	ng Standards" as a Matters Arising Change, albeit a minor	r
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	Wales parking standards should be referr	red to as CSS Wales Parking Standard	ds 2008		
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)			
Representation Texts:	None				
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Seeks correction to the citation for Wales	Parking Standards			
Council Response:	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 139 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5704 Glandwr Cymru - Canal & River Trust in Wales

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5704.F5/4.2.53/DM 11/03/2016 Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15- reword para. 4.2.53

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.34,

para.4.2.53

Policy: DM12 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-06.Transport and Community Facilities

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Council Response:

Representation Texts: The Council disagrees that this change is needed to make the Plan sound.

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Focussed Change: FC15 Policy DM12 — Transport Requirements for New Development

It is considered that para 4.2.53 should be amended to include reference to providing arrect or improvements to public and sustainable transport (as set out below) to provide greater flexibility in the consideration of proposals. It may be that existing sustainable forms of transport already exist and are capable of being improved or greater access to them secured as a consequence of

new evelopment.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: 4.2.53 Proposals that generate significant travel demands will only be permtted where

access to or adequate public and other sustainable forms of transport are improved or incorporated as part of the proposal and are consistent with the role and function of the

transport network.

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above recommended changes and their importance to the future of the

Montgomery Canal in Powys.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Amend para. 4.2.53 to include reference to providing access or

25/04/2016 Page 140 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 5704.F5/4.2.53/DM 11/03/2016 Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15- reword para. 4.2.53 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained improvements to public and sustainable transport.

0

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 141 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315	Natural Res	ources Wales
------	-------------	--------------

Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary	
6315.F5	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15 (Justification to Policy DM4 - Development and Flood Risk)	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016		
		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Thanks for your comment, your support	for the Focussed Change is noted, the Council agree that the date needs to be changed from 2015 to 2014.	
		te is amended as a Matters Arising Change, albeit a minor editing correction. The last sentence of Paragrah 4.2.32 would read: Furthe opment and Flood Risk" and "The Chief Planning Policy Officers Letter (9th January 2014) - Planning Policy on Flood Risk and Insurance	
Council Response:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:		as per our suggestion to the letter issued by the Planning Officer 'Planning Policy on Flood Risk and Insurance Industry Changes' but the 9th January 2014 and not 2015 as identified in paragraph 4.2.32.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	je	
Representation Texts:	Change the date that the letter was issue	ed from the 9th January 2015 to 9th January 2014 in paragraph 4.2.32.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Welcome the insertion of the reference to	to the Chief Planning Officer's letter but need to amend the date.	
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 142 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6422 V	/elsh Government	Transport
--------	------------------	-----------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6422.F1//DM12 11/03/2016 Summary: Justification: Development Management Policies - FC15 - DM12

Source: Type: Comment Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.33

Policy: DM12 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-06.Transport and Community Facilities

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Your comment is noted. The Council is content that this additional text be added as a Matters Arising Change i.e. the following sentence is added to the end of Paragraph 4.2.54

"The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) is the relevant standard where developments may impact trunk roads".

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: With regard to the paragraph 4.2.54 below, although TAN 18 and Manual for Streets refer to the Design

Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) as the relevant standard for trunk roads, it would be helpful for

all developers if the DMRB was referred to directly in the text.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Existing

4.2.54 Further guidance is provided by PPW, TAN18: Transport, the Wales Parking Standards 2008, the Council's Design Guide for Industrial and Residential Infrastructure, Manual for Streets and Manual for Streets II.

Proposed amendment

i.e. 4.2.54 Further guidance is provided by PPW, TAN18: Transport, the Wales Parking Standards 2008, the Council's Design Guide for Industrial and Residential Infrastructure, Manual for Streets and Manual for Streets II. The Design Manual for Roads and Bridges (DMRB) is the relevant

standard where developments may impact trunk roads.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Minor changes requested to text of para. 4.2.54.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 143 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	olicy DM1 – Planning Obligations - FC	15		
	ne Builders Federation Ltd	d Cumman.		
	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	•		
78.F7//DM3	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy DM1 – Planning Obligations - FC15		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.20			
Policy: DM3		Issue: 2015: Depo	osit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:			n cases where obligations would impact upon viability/deliverability. The further to changes to the Plan in response to this Focussed Changes)
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:			e by the Inspector it should be referred to in the wording of the Policy so as this can restrict the ability to negotiate on sites where viability is an	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Explain why an order of priority needs to b	e established for S106 requirements and explain how this will	be taken into account when looking at the viability of individual scheme.	
	If an order of priority for S106 is considere	d appropriate wording should be included in the policy to iden	tify this.	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the object	ion I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue t	to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 144 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6348 **Dwr Cymru Welsh Water** Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6348.F2//DM 1 Summary: Policy DM1 – Planning Obligations - FC15 (DM1 - Planning Obligations) Type: Comment Source: Email Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.20 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-09. Development Management and Environment Policy: DM 1 Representation Texts Question Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Thanks for you comment, your support for the Focussed Change is noted. 0 Council Response: **Representation Details** Question: 1 Representation Texts: DM1 Planning Obligations: We support the requirements of the policy. Water and sewerage infrastructure is a vital commodity for nearly all types of development and any improvements required to our assets to meet future development proposals would need to align with our 5 year rolling programme of Capital Improvements (Asset Management Plans - AMP's). Whilst the Local Development Plan will have a longer timeframe, water companies are governed by a Regulatory 5 year AMP therefore where development proposals would create the need for additional infrastructure in advance of regulatory improvement we would expect developers to meet this cost. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Support for requirements of Policy DM1 - Planning Obligations. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 145 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6417 Cymdeithas Yr Iaith Gyrmaeg

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6417.F7//DM 1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy DM1 – Planning Obligations - FC15		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of Foo	cussed Changes Jan 2016, p.20			
Policy: DM 1		Issue: 2015: Dep	posit Draft-09. Development Management and	Environment

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Nodwyd y sylwadau hyn o ran yr angen i ystyried datblygiad addysg cyfrwng Cymraeg ochr yn ochr â datblygiadau mwy mewn ardaloedd gyda niferoedd uchel o siaradwyr Cymraeg. Fel yr esboniwyd uchod, lle mae datblygiadau mwy ar hap yn cael eu cynnig o fewn ardaloedd y tu allan i Gadarnleoedd y Gymraeg, efallai y bydd gofyn cael asesiadau effaith iaith, a lle bydd gofyn cael mesurau lliniaru, efallai y gallai hyn gynnwys cyfraniadau tuag at ddarpariaeth addysgol, gan gynnwys darpariaeth addysg Gymraeg.

Nodwyd y cais a wnaed gan y Cynrychiolydd am wneud cyfeiriad at fesurau lliniaru sy'n cefnogi diogelu a gwella'r iaith a'r diwylliant Cymraeg led led y Sir ym mholisi DM1. Mae'r rhestr a gyflwynwyd o fewn y cyfiawnhad ategol ar gyfer polisi DM1 yn cynnig enghreifftiau o le y dylid gofyn am rwymedigaethau cynllunio. Fodd bynnag, nid yw hon yn rhestr ddiffiniol o'r mathau o rwymedigaethau cynllunio y gellir ymgeisio amdanynt. Nid yw'n cael ei ystyried yn briodol nac yn angenrheidiol i gyfeirio at y defnydd o fesurau lliniaru'r iaith Gymraeg ar draws y Sir o fewn cyd-destun polisi DM1. Bydd yr angen am asesiad effaith ar yr iaith Gymraeg a mesurau lliniaru mewn perthynas â chynigion o fewn ardaloedd y tu allan i gadarnleoedd diffiniedig y Gymraeg yn cael ei benderfynu yn ystod y cam cyflwyno cais cynllunio, fel sydd i'w egluro o fewn y testun ychwanegol i'r cyfiawnhad ysgrifenedig ym mholisi DM14. Bydd esboniad pellach yn cael ei gyflwyno hefyd o fewn y Canllaw Cynllunio Atodol ar Rwymedigaethau Cynllunio.

These comments regarding the need for Welsh medium education development to be considered in parallel with larger developments in areas with a high number of Welsh speakers are noted. As explained above, where larger windfall developments are proposed within areas outside the Welsh Language Strongholds, language impact assessments may be required, and where mitigation is required, this may involve contributions towards educational provision, including Welsh education provision.

The request made by the Representor for reference to be made to mitigation measures that support protection and improvement of the Welsh language and culture across the County in policy DM1 is noted. The list provided within the supporting justification for policy DM1 provides examples of where planning obligations could be sought, however this is not a definitive list of the types of planning obligations that can be sought. It is not considered to be appropriate or necessary to refer to use of Welsh language impact mitigation measures across the County within the context of policy DM1. The need for a Welsh language impact assessment and mitigation measures in connection with proposals within areas outside the defined Welsh speaking strongholds will be determined at the planning application stage, as is to be clarified within the additional text to the supporting justification in policy DM14. Further explanation will also be provided within the proposed Supplementary Planning Guidance on Planning Obligations.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Dylai datblygiadau mwy mewn ardaloedd fel y Drenewydd a Dyffryn Hafren sicrhau datblygiad addysg Gymraeg gyfochrog a'r datblygiadau. Felly, e.e. yn polisi DM1, dylid dileu "yng Nghadarnleoedd y Gymraeg." er mwyn annog "Mesurau lliniaru sy'n cefnogi gwarchod a gwella'r iaith Gymraeg a'i diwylliant" ymhob rhan o'r sir.

Larger developments in areas such as Newtown and the Severn Valley should ensure the development of Welsh medium education alongside the developments. Therefore for example in the DM1 policy, "in the strongholds of the Welsh language" should be deleted in order to encourage "mitigation measures that supports the protection and improvement of the Welsh language and culture" in every part of the county.

25/04/2016 Page 146 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•		ns & Council Nesponses	1 owys county council E	ocai bevelopilient i iai
, ,	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
6417.F7//DM 1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy DM1 – Planning Obligations - F	C15	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	•		
Representation Texts:	Dileu cyfeiriad at Gadarnleoedd y Gymrae	eg ym mholisi DM1 er mwyn annog "Mesurau lliniaru sy	n cefnogi gwarchod a gwella'r iaith Gymraeg a'i diwylliant"	ymhob rhan o'r sir.
	Dilete reference to the strongholds of Wel culture" in every part of the county.	lsh in policy DM1 in order to encourage "mitigation mea	sures that supports the protection and improvement of the	Welsh language and
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	dylid dileu "yng	1 er mwyn annog "Mesurau lliniaru sy'n cefnogi gwarch	n Hafren sicrhau datblygiad addysg Gymraeg gyfochrog a od a gwella'r	'r datblygiadau, ac felly
	, ,	language" should be deleted from policy DM1 in order	e the development of Welsh medium education alongside to encourage "mitigation measures that supports the prote	
Council Response:				0

Page 147 of 317 25/04/2016

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	ustification: Policy DM1 – Planning (ne Builders Federation Ltd	Obligations FC15			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	ified Summary			
78.F6	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Policy DM1 – P	lanning Obligations FC1	15	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Exam	nination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21				
		I	ssue: 2015: Deposit Dra	aft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Council Response:	in the light of material considerations. The LDP list at policy DM1 4.2.1 provid confusing. The Council therefore sugg 4.2.1 The Council will only look to use which will be sought where necessary:	es examples of cases where planning obligation ests to the Inspector that in response to this Fo	ns might be appropriate. cussed Change represei	on a case by case basis against the statutory development plan at the Council agrees that the current wording at 4.2.1 could be entation, the Plan could be amended to read: priate. Listed below are typical examples of planning obligations	
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	•		d with 'might' as the req	uirement for a S106 to contribute to any of the suggested areas i	S
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	ge			
Representation Texts:	At para. 4.2.1 replace the word 'will' on	the third line with the word 'might'.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the obj	ection I have raised above in the inquiry as it er	nables the issue to be di	iscussed between all parties.	
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 148 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

2225	ODDIV D	4 (000440	A B . I	
6235	CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgo	mery Agent:	CPRW Brecon 8	& Radnor	
Rep'n/Para/Policy	y AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary			
6235.F11	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	icy DM1 – Planning Obli	ligations FC15	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.21				
			Issue: 2015:	: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation T	necessary to make specific reference to	habitat loss within the Planning Ols" against which mitigation measu	bligations policy. The poures must be taken. This	on and enhancement of the natural environment. The Council disagrees that it is solicy and supporting text at DM1 is worded to be applicable to a range of its will include consideration of harm to ecology and biodiversity in cases where it is seed Changes representation.	
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation T	measures will need to be agreed where a	adverse effects are unavoidable".	There is little confidence	igation and compensation, as in DM2 c) "Mitigation and/or compensation e that, in the absence of planning obligations, mitigation and compensation nt which conflicts with DM2 1.v. & 1.vi and DM2 c)	
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	е			
Representation T	exts: Include planning obligations to provide fu	ıll mitigation and/or compensation	for important habitat los	ss.	
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation T	exts: Need for robust measures to prevent dev	velopment progressively reducing	important habitats esser	ential to maintain biodiversity.	
Council Respo	onse:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy	y AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif.	ied Summary			
6235.F12	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	icy DM1 – Planning Obli	ligations FC15	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status	Nodified Summary		
6235.F12	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Policy DM1 – Planning C	Obligations FC15	
Source: Email	Type: Objec	ion Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sc	hedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.			
		Issue: 20	115: Deposit Draft-09.Development Management and Environment	

Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response**

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments.

> Planning obligations may only be used where they meet the tests of being: necessary to make the development acceptable in planning terms; directly related to the proposed development and fairly and reasonably related in scale and kind to the development.

It is not appropriate to use Planning Obligations in cases where development is "unacceptable". Obligations can rightfully be used where they provide the opportunity to "offset the negative consequences of development" so as to make the development acceptable in land use planning terms. The Council do not agree that "significant adverse socioeconomic and environmental impacts" could be addressed by "mere words".

The Council considers that the current policy wording and supporting text in DM1 is sufficiently detailed and considers that the suggested new wording is neither relevant or necessary. When the Plan is read as a whole there are a range of policies which set out to protect and enhance the natural environment and ensure appropriate mitigation and/or improvement measures for biodiversity/ecology etc. Policy DM1 therefore interrelates with other specific policies found elsewhere in the Plan.

The Council does not agree that any changes to the Plan are required in response to this Focussed Changes representation.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

DM1 2. CPRW considers that rather than being 'addressed', which could be fulfilled by mere words, significant adverse socio-economic and environmental impacts must be avoided or if avoidance is impossible, full compensation/mitigation of appropriate type and scale should be required.

DM1 4.2.1. For the avoidance of all doubt it should be made clear in the LDP that there are developments where the scale of impact means they must be refused and no mitigation or compensation provides recompense for the adverse impacts.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

DM1 2 change text:

'significant adverse socio-economic and environmental impacts must be avoided wherever possible. When this is impossible, and refusal is not recommended, full

compensation/mitigation of appropriate type and scale should be required'. DM1 4.2.1 Add text after 'inappropriate'.

'There are developments where the scale of impact means they must be refused and no mitigation or compensation provides recompense for the adverse impacts'

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: Clear assessment of whether mitigation/compensation is an appropriate way of making a development acceptable and, if so, is of correct type and scale. Avoiding the

25/04/2016 Page 150 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6235.F12 11/03/2016 Summary: Justification: Policy DM1 – Planning Obligations FC15 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

0

'negotiating away' of major unacceptable impacts by offer of incommensurate planning obligations.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 151 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.35 Economic Development - FC16 6315 **Natural Resources Wales** Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6315.F7 Summary: Economic Development - FC16 Para 4.4.1 Source: Email Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.40 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07. Employment, Retail and Tourism Question Representation Texts **Council Response** Question: Representation Texts: The Support is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: Focussed Change FC16 We welcome the insertion of the text noted in paragraph 4.4.1 that ensures that employment development shall reflect the character of the towns, villages and countryside settings in which they are located. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 152 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.36 P	olicy E1 - Employment Proposals o	Allocated Employment Sites - FC17		
	vtown & Llanllwchaiarn Town C			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	fied Summary		
439.F3//E1	08/03/2016	Summary: Policy E1 - Employment Prop	oosals on Allocated Employment Sites - FC17	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.40			
Policy: E1		ls	ssue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Support for FC15 is noted.			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Co	ncil welcomes the inclusion of Protection of Exi	sting Employment Sites in the LDP.	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Supports Policy DM17 - Protection of	xisting employment sites.		
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 153 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.42 Ta	able E1 - Employment Site Allocations	- FC19	
542 Abe	ermule (with) Llandyssil Community	y Council	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary	
542.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Table E1 - Employment Site Allocations - FC19	
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.41		
		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	Your supportive comments are noted.		
Council Response:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	made by the Community Council. We wel	e Abermule Business Park to include a wider range of uses and Local as well as High Quality categories in response to comments come this as being more likely to achieve occupation of the site and local employment or expansion opportunities after a protracted itment to sensitive landscaping of the site as it develops.	
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 154 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1084 Welsh Government

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

1084.F5 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Table E1 - Employment Site Allocations - FC19

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.41

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07. Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Your representation is noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government, the Council is undertaking a review and preparing a position statement to provide clarity with regards the relationships between published evidence, the selection of employment land allocations and the LDP strategy.

No additional changes to the LDP are anticipated, but should any further changes result from this additional work, these will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Key Area of Concern 5:

Employment – We raised concerns regarding the relationship between the evidence and the allocations in the LDP. Specifically we were concerned that the relationship between issues identified in the evidence, the allocations and the LDP strategy is not clear; the significant inflation of the employment land requirement to meet future demand is contrary to the viability evidence which says no employment related development would be viable; and it is not clear whether a sequential approach to site selection has been followed. The updated evidence from Jan 2016 provides a useful commentary on recent developments, permissions and enquiries but does not address the aforementioned concerns.

MOVING FORWARD:

Notwithstanding the significant issues raised in this letter, much of the work undertaken by the Council will be of value moving forward. The Council has a broad range of evidence and, in general terms, our concerns relate to how evidence has been taken forward rather than there being an absence of evidence. The supporting evidence often provides a good overview of the issues the LDP must address and it is, again in general terms, the disconnect between the evidence and LDP polices that requires further work.

For employment, further work is required to explain how the evidence has shaped the LDP's strategy and the allocations. In general terms, the evidence provides a good overview of the issues but does not explain how the sites chosen deliver wider objectives other than representing a range and choice of sites. The approach to employment represents an opportunity to deliver wider strategic objectives, including the spatial strategy, housing and social objectives and further work is required to examine this.

Council Response: 0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary		
1084.F5	11/03/2016	Summary: Table E1 - Employment Site Allocations - FC19		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	

25/04/2016 Page 156 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

528 Tal	garth Town Council			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary		
528.F1//E4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy E4 – Bronllys Health Park - FC	220	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.43			
Policy: E4		Issue: 20	15: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The support is noted.			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	Talgarth Town Council fully supports Policy	y E4 including paragraphs 4.4.10 / 11 /12		
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Support for E4			
Council Response	:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary		
528.F2//E4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy E4 – Bronllys Health Park - FC	20 - para. 4.4.11	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.43			
Policy: E4		Issue: 20	15: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	

25/04/2016 Page 157 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oonsultation itep	ont Appendix 4. 1 o Representa	mons & oddinin responses	1 Owys County Council Local De	sveiopinent i ian
by: Rep	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary		
528.F2//E4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy E4 – Bronllys Health Park - FC20	0 - para. 4.4.11	
Source:	Type: Comme	nt Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The support is noted.			
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:		commitment in Paragraph 4.4.11 of Powys County joint development brief prior to its adoption as		
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Support for para. 4.4.11			
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 158 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6230 Powys Health and Well Being Action Group

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modi	fied Summary		
6230.F1//E4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy E4 – Bronllys Health Parl	< - FC20	
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.43			
Policy: E4		Issu	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The Support is noted.			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	The Bronllys Well Being Steering Group Park.	and Powys Health and Well Being Action Group	both fully support the redesignation from Bronllys Health Park to	Health and Well Being
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	je		
Representation Texts:	9 /	Park to Health and Well Being Park at Bronllys		
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 159 of 317

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint:	39.44 Policy T1 -	Transport	Infrastructure-	FC21
-----------	-------------------	------------------	-----------------	------

6192 Gardiner, Mr Ben

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6192.F7//T1 10/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Policy T1 – Transport Infrastructure- FC21

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.44

Policy: T1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-06.Transport and Community Facilities

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Policy DM12 - Transport Requirements for New Development – this policy is clear that development must incorporate the access needs of "all transport users, especially

pedestrians and cyclists and those with disabilities or mobility impairment".

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Transport

Llanfyllin has an elderly population, with those of working age often being on low incomes. Therefore affordable public transport is crucial to enable the inhabitants to have a reasonable standard of living and access to services in larger towns such as Welshpool and Oswestry. At present the bus services are infrequent and finish too early in the afternoon to support the employed.

There has been no consideration of cycling and its potential for workers and school pupils to find an alternative form of transport. There are no safe routes to work and school at present and the proposed LDP for Llanfyllin fails to visit this requirement. The provision of safe cycle routes would also

enhance tourism and encourage visitors too.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: LDP fails to promote safe walking and cycling routes.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 160 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6416	on behalf of	Residents	Group
------	--------------	-----------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodad Late? Status Modified Summary 10/03/2016 6416.F13//T1 Summary: Policy T1 - Transport Infrastructure- FC21 Source: Type: Objection Mode Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.44 Policy: T1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-06. Transport and Community Facilities Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response Representation Texts: The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections raised with regards access to any development were considered in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and were included as Issues in the proposed Focussed Changes to Appendix 1 - Focussed Change FC 45. These issues would require to be addressed when any development application is made. The Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions. The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: This change emphasises the need to ensure that residents of any new development are able to walk or cycle safely. With the potential new development (P06 HA1 - 1008) at Llyswen this will prove to be very difficult if not impossible with respect to pedestrian and cycle access due to the limited and poor quality pavements alongside the very busy A479 which is adjacent to the proposed development (see appendices 2. and 3. provided for FC15). There is no cycle path through the village. Residents with disabilities or mobility impairment will find it difficult if not impossible to cross the A479 and access the village amenities. (appendices 2 & 3 - two photographs). Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: The pathways, disability access to the village, cycle paths are either non-existent or in very poor condition. There is no scope to add further pavements etc due to the main road and current dwellings either side of the road. This point cannot be met to ensure that the LDP is sound. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Request for removal of site allocation P06 HA1. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 161 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.46 Policy H1 - Housing Provision - FC22

5695 Bardsley, Mrs Margaret Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Chester)

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5695.F1//H1 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy H1 - Housing Provision - FC22

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.45

Policy: H1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-02. Housing - Distribution and Numbers

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Question. Council Respons

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016, the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent revised figures as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Matters Ansing Changes

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Our objection to the Plan remains as per the previously submitted consultation representations.

Powys County Council submitted their Local Development Plan Draft in July 2014, and in September 2014 the Welsh Government outlined their concerns regarding the soundness of the Plan. It was stated that the Authority had not justified the use of the 2011 Government lower variance housing projections. The revised draft now increases the housing target from 5,000 to 6,129 dwellings. The 2016 Deposit Plan still uses 2011 Government projections, despite the starting point needing to be 2011 projections plus a ten year migration rate. In order for the economic and social aspirations of the Plan to be taken account of, the proposed level of housing for the plan period should be between 6,449 and 10,775 new dwellings. It is important to note that if the Authority do not further increase their level of housing growth, there is concerns around the Plan again being found unsound as it will not be based on robust and credible evidence (test of soundness CE2).

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: The proposed level of housing growth should be increased.

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: Objection on the Local Plan and proposed allocation for housing development on the land at Tan-y-Gaer.

25/04/2016 Page 162 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

			•	,	•
by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
5695.F1//H1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy	/ H1 - Housing Provision - FC22		
Source:	Туре:	Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Council Respon	nse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	exts: Objection to housing figures	- representor contends the Authorit	ty should be planning for a higher level	of growth.	
Council Respon	nse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 163 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

DefDeint: 20.49 D	Jelieu H2 Heusing Delivery EC22			
	Policy H2 - Housing Delivery - FC23 The Builders Federation Ltd			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
78.F11//H2	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy H2 - Housing Delivery - FC23 - De	evelopment Briefs	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.46			
Policy: H2		Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-03. Housing - Delivery and Infrastructure	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	These comments regarding the requirement	ents and process associated with development briefs are	noted.	
	planning process by providing clarity on the involvement of the Council in this process consultation, they will carry appropriate with the Council considers that the development of the council considers the council c	ne interpretation of policy and is a way to address site spin will be key in order to enable it to be approved as SPG. eight in the planning process. The process is distinct from the plan-making process is of development briefs is intended to assist in addressing the plan-making process is of development briefs is intended to assist in addressing the plan-making process is of development briefs is intended to assist in addressing the plan-making process is development briefs is intended to assist in addressing the plan-making process is development briefs in the plan-making process is development briefs in the plan-making process is development briefs in the plan-making process in the plan-making process is development briefs in the plan-making process in the plan-m	development briefs is likely to improve the efficiency and consistency of the ecific constraints. Although it is intended for briefs to be developer-led, the Where development briefs are adopted as SPG, and are subject to public so the Council has identified the key issues relating to each of its proposed and particular site constraints and opportunities and also to provide specific	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	Briefs for large scale developments are no		with the suggested 'development briefs'. From HBF's experience Development cloper preparing it and then the Council agreeing to it raises a number of elopment briefs our concerns include.	
		able to the Council having assessed the development po	rd due to delays in agreeing the brief. Much of the information required for a otential for the site as part of the process of allocating it, so key issues could be	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	1		
Representation Texts:	The clarification required is what status w	ill such development briefs have and what would be the p	process of agreeing them?	
Council Response:	:			0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary			
78.F11//H2	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy H2 - Housing Delivery - FC23 - I	Development Briefs		
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Te	xts: I wish to be able to speak about the comm	ent I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the	e issue to be discussed	between all parties.	
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	xts: Representor requests clarification on requ	irements and process for Development Briefs.			
Council Respo	nse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 165 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

542	Abermule ((with) Lland	yssil Community	Council
-----	------------	--------------	-----------------	---------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

542.F2//H2 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy H2 - Housing Delivery - FC23

Source: Type: Not duly made Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.46

Policy: H2 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-03. Housing - Delivery and Infrastructure

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council does not consider this to be a duly made representation as the focussed change it refers to (FC23) is an additional criterion to policy H2 in relation to development briefts

Whilst the supporting justification to policy H2 (para.4.6.4) refers to meeting local needs by providing an appropriate range and mix of housing, including particular supported accommodation and sheltered housing, the content of this paragraph is not subject to a focussed change, except for a minor change to reference to policy DM15 at the end of the paragraph (Minor Change 6). The site referred to, land adjacent Abermule Hotel, is not referred to in Appendix 1 to the LDP as the Council has not included this as an allocation. This alternative site, known as land adjacent Court Close (reference ASN57) was put forward by the Representor at the deposit stage. The Council response to that representation explained that it considered that there were sufficient sites elsewhere, that the site was relatively small and also that part of the site lies within a C2 floodzone, and therefore the Council has concluded that the site should not be allocated.

This matter will be considered by the Independent Planning Inspector conducting the examination into the soundness of the Powys LDP.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: FC23 - Policy H2 - Omission (potentially links to FC45 - site allocations)

We note that our request for the County Council owned land adjacent to the Abermule Hotel to be designated for sheltered housing/accommodation suitable for older/disabled people is not included. There is a very genuine need to enable people to stay in the village or for those in surrounding settlements to move into the village and maintain their independence with the proximity of a shop and community centre and bus routes to Newtown/Welshpool.

This is of particular importance given the proposed re-scheduling of Older People's Services (see Joint Commissioning Strategy and Plan for Older People in Powys - 2016) and the imperative to keep older people safe and independent in their own homes in the community. The Community Council requests that this matter be reconsidered.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Sites identified as suitable for sheltered/adapted accommodation are included in the LDP and given protection for this purpose.

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

25/04/2016 Page 166 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No		·	, ,	•
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Summary			
542.F2//H2	11/03/2016	Summary	: Policy H2 - Housing Delivery - FC23		
Source:	Туре:	Not duly made	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Representation Texts:	That potential provision for s	heltered accommodation in	suitable locations in communities be inclu	uded in the LDP.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Requests the inclusion of all	ocated sites for specialist ho	ousing needs - eg sheltered housing/adap	oted accommodation	
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 167 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.52 Policy H4 - Affordable Housing Contributions - FC24

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F12/H4 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy H4 - Affordable Housing Contributions - FC24

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.47

Policy: H4 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-04. Housing - Affordable Housing

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and the points raised by the Inspector in her letter of 5 April 2016 the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement and housing provision figures and is also undertaking a review of the Viability Study. These topics are clearly linked to the Plan's strategy for the delivery of affordable housing which the Council will also need to clarify in updated papers in due course.

Please note that any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The HBF object to the increase in the affordable housing percentage from 20% to 30 % in Central Powys, as the reason given is not sound and justified. It is quite clear from the wording elsewhere in the plan that no decision has yet been made as to whether or not the Council will adopt a CIL charge. Therefor a policy requirement which links directly to CIL cannot be changed until such time that a decision is made. CIL guidance also suggests that the impact of CIL should be neutral when considered against the existing situation of S106's, so it does not follow that not having CIL will mean there is more viability in the scheme as the need to mitigate against the impact of the development will still be taken account of any S106 agreement.

The Councils viability assessment states at para 12.5 that:

'Through the iterative plan making process the Council have decided to take a cautious approach and set the requirement for affordable housing at a lower rate of 20%. This will ensure development is forthcoming and enable development to make a contribution to infrastructure costs.'

The HBF see no evidence presented as part of the focused changes to change this decision and would note that infrastructure costs associated with new development may still be required through S106 agreements even if CIL does not come forward. We further note that the Council have identified concerns elsewhere in the focused changes about the level of development coming forward using this concern as a reason to lower the housing requirement, so it then makes no sense to increase the affordable % requirement which in turn increases the risk of development not coming forward.

The HBF objected to the removal of the wording 'subject to detailed viability assessments' in point 2. All affordable Housing policies should include wording which allows for the viability of schemes to be considered when agreeing the level of contribution of affordable housing.

, ,	presentation No		
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modi	fied Summary	
78.F12//H4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy H4 - Affordable Housing Contributions - FC24	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
	This need for flexibility is highlighted in t	he Council own viability study at para. 12.10 where it states:	
	'It will be necessary for the Council to co requirements.'	ontinue to be flexible over the implementation of policies as there is no doubt that not all sites will be able to bear the full policies	;
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	ge	
Representation Texts:	The percentage of affordable in should locations through the plan.	be changed back to 20% as previously proposed, this would also require the affordable housing target figure to be amended at v	various
	Wording which allows for this flexibility a Housing Update Jan 2016.	around viability assessment needs to be reinstated in the policy. This very point is accepted in para. 3.14 of the Councils Afforda	ıble
Council Response:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing		
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the obje	ection I have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue to be discussed between all parties.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation		
Representation Texts:	Objection to the increase in the affordate	le housing contribution in Central Powys and objection to wording change.	
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 169 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

RefPoint: 39.71 Justification: Policy H13 - Gypsy and Traveller Sites and Caravans - FC31

1084 Welsh Government

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

11/03/2016 1084.F4//H13 Summary: Policy H13 - Gypsy and Traveller Sites and Caravans

Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Source: Status Maintained

Document: FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.64

Policv: H13 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-05.Other Specialist Housing and Gypsy & Travellers

Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response**

Representation Texts:

In accordance with the Housing (Wales) Act 2014, the Council prepared and submitted a GTAA to Welsh Government in Feb 2016 and this is awaiting approval by Welsh Government Ministers. The findings of the GTAA, once approved, will inform the LDP and it is recommended that any changes necessary to the LDP are adddressed via Matters Arising Changes. A position statement on the accommodation needs of Gypsies and Travellers will be prepared by the Council to clarify the findings of previous studies and the GTAA 2016 requirements.

The County Council is currently taking steps to meet the need identified in Machynlleth as soon as reasonably possible, including the consideration of site options, with a view to applying for planning permission shortly. Once a planning application has been prepared, and planning permission secured, it will be possible to accurately delineate the site's boundary in the LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The Welsh Government remains concerned that key elements of your LDP are not supported by evidence. Whilst it will be for the Planning Inspectorate to determine how the examination proceeds and establish the soundness of your Plan, we are of the view that there is a significant risk the Plan may be found unsound.

NOTE: We are not commenting at this time on each focussed change or new piece of evidence. We reserve this right for a later date.

Kev Area of Concern 4:

Gypsies and Travellers – We raised concerns that the evidence didn't quantify the need for either permanent or transit sites or when within the plan period they would be required. FC31 does not address our concerns. There is still an unmet need for 3 immediate pitches in Machynlleth. The 'area of search' identified on the proposals map does not identify the precise location of a site and creates uncertainty for future proposals. We also remain concerned regarding the physical and financial implications of delivering the site by 2016. We note that in accordance with the Housing (Wales) Act 2014, a new Gypsy Traveller Accommodation Assessment (GTANA) will be submitted to the Welsh Government (Inclusion Unit) in February/March 2016. Any implications for the policies/proposals in the LDP arising from this assessment will need to be considered as part of the examination process.

MOVING FORWARD:

The Council must, through evidence, identify the need for gypsy and traveller sites. The GTANA should provide this evidence. The LDP must identify sites to meet this need in

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
1084.F4//H13	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy H13 - Gyps	sy and Traveller Sites and Caravans		
Source:	Туре:	Objection Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	accordance with the require	ments of national planning policy. If the LDP fai	ls to do this, it is likely to be found unso	ound on the issue that demand is not matched by provision.	
Council Respon	se:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Tex	kts: Concern re: unmet need for	G & T pitches plus delivery of site by 2016. Im	plications of new GTANA (2016) for the	e LDP will need to be considered as part of the examination.	
Council Respon	se:				0

25/04/2016 Page 171 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.84 Justification: Policy TD1 - Tourism Development - FC36, FC37

6192 Gardiner, Mr Ben

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6192.F6//TD1 10/03/2016 Summary: Justification: Policy TD1 – Tourism Development - FC36, FC37

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.54

Policy: TD1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: T

These comments are noted. However, the Council does not agree with the representation as the LDP does not set out to identify and promote individual locations or areas for tourism, instead it contains policies to enable tourism developments across the county (subject to restrictions that ensure sustainability) and protect existing tourism development

from adverse harm.

The Council therefore does not consider that any further changes are required to the Plan.

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Tourism

No substantive reference is made to tourism in the Llanfyllin area and North Powys with the exception of the existing infrastructure. The initial public consultation was very limited in scope and poorly advertised, whilst looking

only at housing so it little wonder that this latest document is totally lacking in any local vision as this was never sought. If Llanfyllin is to have a future it needs support to develop tourism relating to its natural resources and location.

The Llanfyllin area has a rich tapestry of footpaths and bridleways set in unspoilt, varied countryside but these are neglected and poorly signed. There is great potential for the area to become a walking area for those who want to get away from the crowds of the Lake District and Peak District. However, there needs to be a serious investment in the infrastructure to enable the economy of the area to benefit from this. This investment has to be done at County level, it cannot be expected that private investment will supply parking spaces, circular routes, interpretative signs and the like.

Norway has shown that when there is investment in tourism infrastructure visitors will come in large numbers and the pay back is much greater than the initial investment. The LDP for the Llanfyllin area fails to visit these issues at

all as Powys County Council seems only able to focus on the Brecon Beacons in relation to tourism.

North Powys is an area of outstanding natural beauty without the status. It has many small farms and there seems to be resistance by the landowners in regard to maintaining and facilitating walking in the countryside. The single

farm payment is a mechanism by which Wales/Powys could ensure that landowners meet their obligations to maintain the rights of way on their land to a safe standard. Please refer to attachment Ideas for increasing the

number of visitors to the countryside in Wales.

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No					
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd La	ate? St	tatus Modified	Summary		
6192.F6//TD1	10/03/2016			Summary: Justification: Policy TD1 – Tourism Development - F	C36, FC3	7
Source: Email		Type:	Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained

Supporting Evidence submitted:

Ideas for increasing the number of visitors to the countryside in Wales

- Review the use of barbed wire and licence its use. At present there is an enormous amount of barbed wire in the countryside. Often left on the ground from previous fencing work and used to top fencing right next to rights of way, even though it is classified by the Dept of Transport as 'an injurious topping', which should not be used next to rights of way. It is a danger to walkers, horse riders, livestock and wildlife and also has very negative associations in the public's thoughts I.e. World War 1.
- Review of rights of way to select those that can be used to create circular walks that would be popular with visitors. These need to be maintained, signed, mapped and supported with some parking at the beginning of the routes. The National Parks have great networks of rights of way that are generally well maintained but elsewhere in Wales it is really difficult to have an incident free country walk.
- Open access land needs to be more clearly defined so that visitors understand about their rights and responsibilities. It is very difficult to find out which areas of land have open access and which do not.
- Limits need to be set on the size of farm vehicles. These have increased in size and speed to the point at which they pose a serious threat to other road users. They are not designed for the narrow, single track lanes of Wales. They damage the verges that often contain important flora and fauna and damage soil structure. If Wales is to attract more visitors there needs to be an appreciation that the countryside is shared space, including the minor public roads.
- Single farm payments. From our observations this seems to be used as a supplementary income for the farmers and is not reinvested in the farm's infrastructure and buildings. Apparently Pillar 2 of the farm payment links to

environmental management but we see little evidence of this. Public financial support for farming needs to be reviewed to ensure that there is a benefit to the public and that it is cost effective.

- The Glastir payments organised by WAG need to be far more transparent. They are often linked to public access and public usage of the land in the scheme but it is virtually impossible to find out about these areas and any local schemes.
- Motocross motorbikes are causing huge amounts of damage to rights of way and the countryside in general. They cause distress to walkers and horse riders. If Wales is to benefit economically from having more visitors this issue needs to be addressed and the motorbike riders need to be given safe and appropriate alternatives to literally riding roughshod over footpaths and bridleways.
- Natural Resources Wales need to look at its land management and replanting schemes. We walk a great deal near Lake Vyrnwy which has great potential as an area that could attract and support a large number of visitors to North Powys. However, there are very few organised footpaths that are coherent, the policy of clear felling and planting with one species of conifer and the failure to take the opportunity to link forestry routes to make them in to circular walk/cycle ways means that the possibility of exploring the area is very limited and frustrating. The

planning process could be used to ensure that felling licences are tied to beneficial land management and access. Most visitors limit themselves to walking or cycling on the road that was constructed by Liverpool City Council. WAG and Powys County Council really need to invest in this area to benefit from its potential. The RSBP has over one million members and has a present at the lake so with reasonable links to the West Midlands the Lake Vyrnwy area has great potential for sustanable tourism.

• Llanfyllin has potential as a hub for visitors to both the Berwyns and the Lake Vyrnwy area. If investments were made to the infrastructure in Llanfyllin the increased footfall would support local businesses and increase employment. At present visitors tend to drive or cycle through without stopping but if the town had an information centre, cycle hire, organised cycle routes and walking routes from the town etc. they would be attracted to stop and support the local economy. Creative ways of adding value to visitor experiences needs to be brought to Mid

Wales.

Carl Sargeant, Minister for Natural Resources, WAG wants Wales to be the number one destination for walkers in the world. Certainly our daughter has done a comparative study of Wales and Norway as part of her degree and feels that large areas of Wales have potential to benefit from sustainable tourism. However, Norway has had a coherent policy of investing in its infrastructure to support tourism throughout whereas the vision in Wales

is piecemeal with the National Parks and Areas of Outstanding Natural Beauty receiving all the support and investment whilst areas with lots of potential but no special status such as North Powys get completely overlooked.

Young people growing up in North Powys perceive there is no future for them here. Our daughter is studying architecture in Oxford and her Welshpool boyfriend is studying earth

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No	·	, ,	•
Filtered to show: (al	l of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	l Summary		
6192.F6//TD1	10/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Policy TD1 – Touris	m Development - FC36, FC37	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	for its inhabitants it has a great deal of wor and contributes to the local economy.	to do to create a positive experience for visitor	many of their counterparts. If North Powys is to mair is and residents alike, that enhances well being and lovely area but the footpaths are in a terrible state, i	I health, encourages repeat visits
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Lack of reference to Llanfyllin in FC36 / FC	37		
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 174 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235	CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomer	y Agent:	CPRW Brecon &	Radnor	
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary			
6235.F22//TD1	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	licy TD1 – Tourism Develo	pment - FC36 - Support for.	
Source:	Type: Support	Mode	Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - So	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.54				
Policy: TD1			Issue: 2015: D	Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Te	exts: The Support is noted.				
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Te	exts: CPRW welcomes the clearer acknowledgeme for appropriate tourism related development.	ent of the importance of the to	ourism industry both to ou	r economy and rural infrastructure as well as the positive	planning measures
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Te	exts: N/A				
Council Respo	nse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	exts: Support for changes to TD1 - Tourism Develo	ppment			
Council Respo	nse:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary			
6235.F23//TD1	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	licy TD1 – Tourism Develo	pment - FC37 - Objection to.	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - So	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.54				
Policy: TD1			Issue: 2015: D	eposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	

25/04/2016 Page 175 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6235.F23//TD1 Summary: Justification: Policy TD1 - Tourism Development - FC37 - Objection to. Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: The Council welcomes your support for greater clarity in TD1. However the Council does not agree with the need to change TD1 any further. FC36 provides clarity by strengthening the protection of 'existing amenities, assets or designations' from 'unacceptably adverse impacts', and 'other developments' are subject to the suite of DM policies. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: The greater clarity of purpose is welcomed as it supports the growth potential of high value, sustainable tourism as envisaged by the Powys Tourism Strategy (2014). The policy could be made far more robust by including the unacceptability of other development that would significantly detract from visitor destinations and the reasons visitors come and return to Powys (e.g. tranquillity, unspoilt panoramic views, quiet roads, walking opportunities). Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Obtrusive development of any nature that would significantly detract from the amenity of visitors and their reasons for visiting our rural county will be unacceptable. Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: The urgent need to protect our intrinsic rural tourism assets through the LDP and planning balance. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Objecting to FC37 to Policy TD1 Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 176 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.87 Policy TD3 - Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

439 Newtown & Llanllwchaiarn Town Council

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

439.F4//TD3 08/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Para. 4.8.12: a) The Council does not agree with this Representation. No change is considered necessary as the sentence was amended in response to earlier representation to reflect the entire length of the Montgomery Canal by reference to "Frankton Locks and the Llangollen Canal".

b) The Council does not agree with this comment. This part of the sentence is not the subject of a Focussed Change.

Para. 4.8.14: In response to additional evidence received the Council have recognised the need to distinguish restoration of the canal from any proposed canalside development. The Council proposes the following revision to para. 4.8.14:

"4.8.14 Appropriate canal-related developments are uses that can demonstrate that they are associated with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal, and would be supported provided discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Council/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earliest opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact on the designated site and meet appropriate Development Management policies. Examples include:

Moorings Boat Services

Canal side visitor services and accommodation

Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with policies SP2 and TD1 provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory designations, local settings or canal heritage."

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Tourism Development Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development

Paragraph 4.8.12

a) Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Council is of the view that the paragraph should be amended to state the names of both ends of the canal, and include the words 'from Newtown'. The new sentence should read: '...over its entire length from Newtown to Frankton Locks...'

25/04/2016 Page 177 of 317

				,	
by: Rep	presentation No				
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	od Summary			
439.F4//TD3	08/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Ass	ociated Development	t - FC38	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
	b) Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town Coulinclude the word 'However' as this implies should read: 'Major restoration work is req				
	Paragraph 4.8.14				
	Liverpool University should be removed as supported by other restoration experience	where benefits are shown to be wider (for of the Restoration of the Kennet and Avon			
	 b) Newtown and Llanllwchaiarn Town cour properly referenced using Harvard Referer 	ncil is of the view that all citations should be ncing.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:		state the names of both ends of the canal, and w sentenmce should read: 'over its entire			
	b) The paragraph should not include the w restoration. The new sentatnec should rea return'.	ord 'However' as this implies a barrier to ad: 'Major restoration work is required in order to			
	is this claim supported by other restoration	rsity should be removed as it is not referenced, nor nexperience where benefits are shown to be nic Impact of the Restoration of the Kennet and ways, ECOTEC Research & Consulting)			
	b) All citations should be properly reference	eed using Harvard Referencing.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Request amendments to Policy TD3 to inc	clu de reference to both ends of the canal and ensure all c	citations are reference	ed using the Harvard referencing.	
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 178 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late	? Status Modified	Summary		
439.F4//TD3	08/03/2016]	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated I	Development	- FC38
Source: Email	Ту	pe: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained

25/04/2016 Page 179 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5704 Glandwr Cymru - Canal & River Trust in Wales

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5704.F6//TD3 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

This representation is noted. The Council is committed to the sensitive restoration of the canal but does not agree with the proposed rewording of the second paragraph of Policy TD3 or that further changes are required to Policy TD3 to make the Plan sound.

The Focussed Change recognises the international statutory designation of the canal and as a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species regulations 2010 (as amended). The Focussed Changes have had an overall positive impact upon the soundness of the Plan including placing greater emphasis on the need to satisfy the requirements of the Habitats Directive, whilst still enabling both the sensitive restoration of the canal and appropriate development in close proximity to it.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The proposed change introduces reference to the canals scientific and conservation designations, stating that proposals for development that would adversely affect these designations will be opposed. The proposed change however deletes reference to the wider role of the canal.

Glandwr Cymru has no objection to the proposed introduction of reference to these designations but fails to understand why reference to the wider role of the canal has been deleted. Glandwr Cymru does not consider that the proposed deletion of the role of the canal is appropriate or strictly necessary and is not consistent with the Welsh Governments LDP Manual 2015 which advises that changes after deposit should be avoided wherever possible unless necessary to ensure the plan is sound or in the context of any sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy.

Glandwr Cymru objects to this proposed change to Policy TD3. It considers that the proposed change would make the policy protection too focussed on the canals environmental value and would fail to protect the canal from other developments which may impact on its wider role, such as any exiting or future operational use, structural integrity or tourism and recreational use. Such an approach is also inconsistent with the content of the supporting text to the policy which makes wider reference to the canal, recognising its multifunctional role and potential to deliver economic benefits.

Council Response:

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oriounation report appointing at a representations a country responses			i on yo ocanty ocanon zocal povolopinon	
by: Repr	esentation No			
Filtered to show: (all o	f) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	ssnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
5704.F6//TD3	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Devel	lopment - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru considers that the second p "Proposals for development that would adve environmental or heritage designations, or p opposed."			
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above Montgomery Canal in Powys.	recommended changes and their importance to the future of the		
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 181 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F24//TD3 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Question: Council Respons

The support for the Focussed Change to Policy TD3 (FC38) is noted. However, other tourism assests and their protection including National trails, Bridlepaths, rights of way and the cycle network referred to in the representation are listed in Strategic Policy SP2 and FC37 safeguards the enjoyment and setting of the asset, therefore specific reference to, for example, individual trails is not considered to be necessary. Within the wider contect of the Plan as a whole, Policy TD3 is a policy enabling sensitive restoration of the Canal and appropriate canal-related development taking due regard to the international statutory designation as a Special Area of Conservation. Therefore no further changes are considered necessary to ensure that the Plan is sound.

considered necessary to ensure that the Plan is sound.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Support:

Representation Texts:

We welcome acknowledgement of the environmental sensitivity of the Canal and importance of protection.

Objection:

FC38 was designed to 'balance policy and emphasis on Montgomery Canal with other tourism assets across the country'. FC38 still fails to introduce the outstanding resource of the extensive Public Rights of Way network in Powys which is the largest in the UK. While the Montgomery Canal is a prime tourism asset, whose main attraction is to walkers and cyclists (local and visiting), National and Regional Trails and National Rides are of equal (if not more) importance to Powys as a whole.

This is a serious omission. The contribution of walking and riding to the Powys economy is considerable and increasing. National and Regional Trails and National Rides are all particularly attractive to UK and overseas visitors given the outstanding nature of the countryside, standard of signposting, facilities and mapping.

¹The Economic Impact of Walking and Hill Walking in Wales (2011) Bryan, Jones, Munday, Roche at the Welsh Economic Research Unit, University of Cardiff

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Section on Tourist Assets to include specific reference to the two National Trails (Offa's Dyke and Glydwr's Way), Promoted Regional Trails, National Rides and National Cycle

Network Routes 8, 81 & 825. The LDP should provide for enhancing these assets and protecting them from obtrusive and unacceptable proximate development which detracts over a significant distance from the quality, enjoyment and / or safety of the walking, cycling or horse-riding experience.

This should link to the Powvs RoW Improvement Plan.

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

25/04/2016 Page 182 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No	·			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Summary			
6235.F24//TD3	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Mo	ontgomery Canal and Associated Developme	ent - FC38	
Source:	Туре:	Objection Mode	Oral (Examination) Status	s Maintained	
Representation Texts:	The urgent need to protect o	our intrinsic rural tourism assets through the LD	OP and planning balance.		
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Objecting to FC38 for Policy	TD3 (Montgomery Canal) because it fails to a	dequately promote the Public Rights of Way	y network as a tourism asset.	
Council Response	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 183 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6256	Montgomery	Waterway	Restoration	Trust
------	------------	----------	-------------	--------------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6256.F3//TD3 10/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Para 4.8.12: The Council disagrees with the representation. The Council is committed to the sensitive restoration of the canal and associated developments as indicated by the inclusion of Policy TD3. Policy TD3 is an enabling policy which seeks to support the sensitive restoration of the canal and appropriate associated development. As a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) ensuring that the development is appropriate and considers the conservation objectives of the SAC designation.

Para 4.8.14: This representation is noted. In response to additional evidence received the Council has identified the need to distinguish restoration of the canal from any proposed canalside development. The Council therefore proposes the following text revision to para. 4.8.14 for consideration by the Planning Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:

"4.8.14 Appropriate canal-related developments are uses that can demonstrate that they are associated with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal. Such developments would be supported provided discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Council/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earliest opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact on the designated site. Examples include:

Moorings

Boat Services and facilities

Canal side visitor services and accommodation

Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with Policies SP2 and TD1 and the appropriate DM policies provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory designations, local settings or canal heritage."

Para 4.8.15: The Council disagree with this representation. Para. 4.8.15 was deleted in response to representation made earlier in the process to redress the balance of policy and reflects wider objectives and policies elsewhere in the Plan which already consider economic and social benefits. Development proposals must accord with the appropriate national and Plan policies and therefore the Council does not consider that further changes are necessary.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Para 4.8.

FC38: Policy TD3 - Montgomery Canal

4.8.12: Objection: We strongly object to the removal of the sentence "The Council therefore wishes to see the restoration of the canal to form a navigable waterway throughout its length and would oppose any proposals that would obstruct its sensitive restoration". As a member of the Montgomery Canal Partnership the Council was party to the drawing up of the Conservation Management Strategy (noted, but not supported, in 4.8.13). The partnership

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M	
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary	
6256.F3//TD3 10/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38	
Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	

has worked worked for over ten years to implement the Strategy: the deleted sentence should be reinstated to carry the Council's commitment forward.

Successive reports by the Inland Waterways Advisory Council (now no more) reviewed canal projects across England and Wales and always rated the Montgomery Canal as a canal restoration of national importance. Welshpool Town Council has a policy actively to support the re-opening of the Canal and to support canal uses including boat trips and canal side uses: Powys County Council should do no less.

4.8.14: Object to both changes in opening paragraph: Restoration will lead to significant local economic benefits. The report Water Adds Value based on research by the University of Northampton concluded that the impact of waterway restorations is almost always in excess of that which was anticipated and planned for. However the best way to ensure those benefits is to bring the canal alive with boats, attracting boaters (who spend more than day visitors because they don't go home at the end of the day), and creating a lively scene attractive to residents and visitors.

(2 photos enclosed in original ref form)

And object to removal of explanatory text in the sub-paragraphs: moorings, boat services and facilities are not only necessary, but essential. Canalside pubs, restaurants, tearooms and visitor services are also essential features of an attractive canal which is an asset for visitors and the local community.

4.8.15: Object to deletion: for the reasons given above. Canal-related developments can indeed bring benefits (LDP Deposit Draft 2.2.9: 'Tourism is a key sector in Powys and further tourism development has the potential to support local communities and rural holdings both in economic and social terms.'). They should of course accord with the objectives of the Conservation Management Strategy.

Supporting information: Rep accompanied by a letter on headed paper, signed by Chairman of the Trust - letter included the rep points noted on the rep form plus further comment: "The Montgomery Waterway Restoration Trust is a member of the Montgomery Canal Partnership, as is Powys County Council. For the best part of five years both were involved with other public authorities, statutory agencies and voluntary groups, on both sides of the border, in the preparation of the Conservation Management Strategy. The Strategy strikes a careful balance of restoration and conservation, respecting the built and natural heritage of the canal.

We understand that changes to the deposited LDP should only be proposed where necessary to ensure the soundness of the finished LDP or to cope with a sudden or major change in local circumstances or new national policy. The Schedule, however, seems to go beyond this, with significant changes affecting the

Montgomery Canal and its restoration as a navigable waterway. The amended LDP seems to deny Powys the full benefit of the restored Montgomery Canal as a multifunctional resource drawing visitors and residents to a lively canal scene (particularly enhancing the centre of Welshpool), to the use of the towpath and to an appreciation of the canal's special natural and built heritage".

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Restore deleted text.

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: It is important to emphasise the long-standing commitment of volunteers from across the country who have

worked to restore navigation to the Montgomery Canal in Powys and Shropshire, the significant contribution

25/04/2016 Page 185 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No	•				•
•	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary				
6256.F3//TD3	10/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Mor	ntgomery Canal and Assoc	ciated Development	t - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
	made in the past by local authorities on both the publicity that the canal and (largely voimportance of the County Council continuing Partnership to achieve the widest benefits	lunteer-led) events on the canal bing to play its full part in supportin	oring to the district, and the ng the Montgomery Canal	,		
Council Respon	nse:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Te	exts: Change/Restore Policy Wording.					
Council Respon	nse:					0

25/04/2016 Page 186 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6315	Natural	Resources	Wale
บวาว	maturar	1762001662	vvai

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summa	ary		
6315.F8//TD3	11/03/2016 Sum	mary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Asso	ciated Development - FC38	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55				
Policy: TD3		Issue: 2015: D	eposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	

Question Representation Texts Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Your comments are noted. The Council propose a modification in light of additional evidence to distinguish between restoration of the canal and canal-side developments and proposes the addition of the following for consideration by the Planning Inspector as a matters Arising Change:

"4.8.14 Appropriate canal-related developments are uses that can demonstrate that they are associated with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal, and would be supported provided discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Council/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earliest opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact on the designated site and meet appropriate Development Management policies. Examples include:

Moorings **Boat Services**

Canal side visitor services and accommodation

Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with policies SP2 and TD1 provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory designations, local settings or canal heritage.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Focussed Change FC38 - Policy TD3 - Montgomery Canal and Associated Development As per our comments on the Deposit Plan we would welcome discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Local Authority at the earliest opportunity when development proposals for the canal and any associated development are being designed/taken forward.

The canal supports a nationally important aguatic ecology and, as a result, the whole of the Montgomery Canal in Wales is a SSSI. In respect of the internationally important populations of floating water plantain (a water plant), the Montgomery Canal in Wales is also a Special Area of Conservation (SAC). It also benefits from many important and uniquely preserved structures and buildings, a number of which have listed building status. To comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitat and Species Regulations 2010 as amended, a Habitat Regulations Assessment of development proposals will be required to be undertaken to ensure there is no adverse effect on the integrity of the SAC.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

0

25/04/2016 Page 187 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6402	Montgomery (Canal	Partnershi	ip
J-TUL	inionity of	J ui iui	I di di ci oi oi i	•

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late	? Status Modified S	Summary				
6402.F3//TD3	11/03/2016]	Summary: Policy TD3 – Mo	ntgomery Canal and As:	sociated Development	- FC38	
Source:	Ту	pe: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedule of Foo	cussed Changes Ja	ın 2016, p.56					
Policy: TD3	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism				1		

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council is committed to the sensitive restoration of the canal but does not consider further changes are required to policy TD3 to make the Plan sound. The Focussed Change recognises the international statutory designation of the canal and as a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended). The Focussed Changes have had an overall positive impact upon the soundness of the Plan including placing greater emphasis on the need to satisfy the requirements of the Habitats Directive.

Para. 4.8.10 The Council disagree with this comment. This paragraph was deleted in order to balance policy and emphasis with other tourism assets in response to earlier representations. Reference to the full length of the Canal is made in Para 4.8.12 in response to earlier representations. No further changes are considered necessary.

Para. 4.8.12 The Council disagree with this comment. This paragraph was altered in response to representation made earlier in the process. Further changes are not considered necessary.

Para 4.8.14: This representation is noted. In response to additional evidence received the Council has identified the need to distinguish restoration of the canal from any proposed canalside development. The Council therefore proposes the following text revision to para. 4.8.14 for consideration by the Planning Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:

"4.8.14 Appropriate canal-related developments are uses that can demonstrate that they are associated with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal. Such developments would be supported provided discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Council/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earliest opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact on the designated site. Examples may include:

Boat Services and facilities

Moorings

Canal side visitor services and accommodation

Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with Policies SP2 and TD1 and the appropriate DM policies provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory designations, local settings or canal heritage."

Para 4.8.15: The Council disagree with this representation. Para. 4.8.15 was deleted in response to representation made earlier in the process to redress the balance of policy and reflects wider objectives and policies elsewhere in the Plan which already consider economic and social benefits. Development proposals must accord with the appropriate national and Plan policies and therefore the Council does not consider that further changes are necessary.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
6402.F3//TD3	11/03/2016	Summary: P	Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated	d Development - FC38	
Source:	Type:	: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	

Representation Texts: 4,FC38

The proposed deletions in TD3 are unacceptable and the original words should be retained. The changes would enable objections to be raised to anything which adversely affected the Canal's scientific and conservation designations. This would undermine the conclusions reached in the 2005 CMS (see note at the end) and risk unwinding the compromises to which the parties agreed. The proposed words ignore the multi-purposes uses of the Canal.

The deletion of paragraph 4.8.10 is undesirable as it would mean omitting part of the background to the underlying policy.

The suggested changes to paragraph 4.8.11 are acceptable, the second one on the basis that it re-appears in paragraph 4.8.12.

The deletion in paragraph 4.8.12 of "as a multi-user route" is unacceptable and goes to the heart of what is wrong with all these changes. The other changes in this paragraph are acceptable with the important exception of "The Council therefore wishes to see the restoration of the canal to form a navigable waterway throughout its length and would oppose any proposals that would obstruct its sensitive restoration". These words need re-instating as the implication of the removal is that the Council would not be in favour of restoration of a navigable waterway. The Council has been committed to restoration as a navigable waterway (subject to observing SAC requirements) through its agreement of the CMS and there have been no suggestions of a policy change on this topic.

The deleted words at the start of paragraph 4.8.14 should not be deleted as canal related developments (sensitively designed) are needed to obtain local economic benefits. The deletions relating to the given examples are unwelcome as the original words added flesh to the examples.

The reference to an analysis by Liverpool University and the comments derived from it about day visitors should be removed. We are aware of two studies by Liverpool University about the Canal. One was carried out before 2005 and studied the effect of boat movements on water plants; it did not consider economic effects. The other was a brief project carried out in 2015 by a group of planning students (i.e. with no special economic knowledge) whose knowledge of the Canal was slight; the main thrust of their project was to look at how to connect up various communities within Welshpool though the use of pathways, including the Canal towpath. This project was not a proper analysis by an economics department of the University. Moreover, given the significant difference in spending levels between groups on a boat (visiting restaurants and shops and pubs etc) and people on a day visit to the canal alone (spending perhaps nothing and by definition not staying into the evening and spending money in restaurants), it is hard to accept what is reported as being their contention. Unfortunately, as the proposed changes give no information about the alleged analysis (an omission we find surprising), we are unable to assist the Council further on this aspect.

It is difficult to understand why the deletion of paragraph 4.8.15 has been suggested. No reason seems to have been given. The first sentence is true, as is the later reference to the need for development to be sensitively carried out

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Requested changes.

TD3 should remain as previously drafted. Paragraph 4.8.10 should be re-instated.

The reference to "as a multi-user route" should be re-instated in paragraph 4.8.12 (first line).

The words "The Council therefore wishes to see the restoration of the canal to form a navigable waterway throughout its length and would oppose any proposals that would obstruct its sensitive restoration" should be re-instated towards the end of paragraph 4.8.12.

The deleted first two lines of paragraph 4.8.14 should be re-instated.

The new 3rd and 4th lines of paragraph 4.8.14 about the alleged Liverpool University analysis should be removed.

The details about the examples in paragraph 4.8.14 should be re-instated

The deleted paragraph 4.8.15 should be re-instated.

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

25/04/2016 Page 189 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: R	epresentation No			-
Filtered to show: (a	ll of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6402.F3//TD3	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Ass	sociated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Representation Texts	 the adverse effect of the proposed change conservation matters. 	s about the Montgomery Canal, apparently downgrading to the 2005 Conservation Management Strategy agreed roposed at this stage of the process		
Council Response) :			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	Disagrees with changes made to the Draft D	eposit and wants the original text re-instated.		
Council Response	e:			0

25/04/2016 Page 190 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6414	Heulwen	Trust

Rep'n/Para/Polic	cy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6414.F2//TD3	08/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Asso	ciated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - S	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55			
Policy: TD3		Issue: 2015: D	eposit Draft-07 Employment, Retail and Tourism	

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Para. 4.8.10 The Council disagree with this comment. This paragraph was deleted in order to balance policy and emphasis with other tourism assets in response to earlier representations. Reference to the full length of the Canal is made in Para 4.8.12 in response to earlier representations. No further changes are considered necessary.

Para 4.8.12 The Council disagrees with the representation. The Council is committed to the sensitive restoration of the canal and associated developments as indicated by the inclusion of Policy TD3. Policy TD3 is an enabling policy which seeks to support the restoration of the canal and appropriate associated development. As a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) ensuring that development is appropriate and considers the conservation objectives of the SAC designation.

Para 4.8.14: This representation is noted. In response to additional evidence received the Council has identified the need to distinguish restoration of the canal from any proposed canalside development. The Council therefore proposes the following text revision to para. 4.8.14 for consideration by the Planning Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:

"4.8.14 Appropriate canal-related developments are uses that can demonstrate that they are associated with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal. Such developments would be supported provided discussions with potential applicants/developers and the Council/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earliest opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact on the designated site. Examples may include:

Moorings

Boat Services and facilities

Canal side visitor services and accommodation

Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with Policies SP2 and TD1 and appropriate DM policies provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory designations, local settings or canal heritage."

Para 4.8.15: The Council disagree with this representation. Para. 4.8.15 was deleted in response to representation made earlier in the process to redress the balance of policy and reflects wider objectives and policies elsewhere in the Plan which already consider economic and social benefits. Development proposals must accord with the appropriate national and Plan policies and therefore the Council does not consider that further changes are necessary.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: FC38 —Tourist Destination Policy.

Comment

25/04/2016 Page 191 of 317

•	ort Appendix 4: FC Representation resentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	ons & Council Responses	Powys County (Council Local Development Plan
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acce	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary	_	
6414.F2//TD3	08/03/2016	Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal	and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
			ate to the unique requirements for sensitive restoral se sufficient grounds for withdrawing the protection a	
	It opposes deletion of 4.8.10 as restoration	on of the full length of the canal to Newtown has be	en established as Powys County Council policy.	
	The importance of sensitively developed the economic benefits for the area which		al for the viability of canal navigation and thereby fo	or the development of tourism and
	economic value of day visitors has a high	ner value than that which will be derived from all as (Montgomery Canal Partnership Conservation Mansitive restoration	course study by Chinese students at Liverpool Univ- sociated sources when full navigation is resumed. nagement Strategy (3.4.9). Viable Economic benefit	It has been shown that the viability
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	e		
Representation Texts:	Retain former TD3 particularly reinstating	g:		
		Council wishes to see the restoration of the canal s length and would oppose any proposals that	0	
	(2) the opening sentence of 4.8.14			
	(3) the examples of canal-related develop	pments listed in 4.8.14		
	(4) 4.8.15			
	Delete reference to the economic value of	of day visitors from 4.8.14.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	The original wording of TD3 in the Draft D	Deposit LDP should be re-instated.		
Council Response:				0

Page 192 of 317 25/04/2016

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6415	Swansea	Canal	Society

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6415.F1//TD3 10/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Type: Not duly made Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.55

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: This representation

This representation is not duly made as it does not refer to a specific Focussed Change. However, the Council notes the comments and remains committed to the sensitive restoration and sustainable development and management of the canal. Policy TD3 is an enabling policy which seeks to support the sensitive restoration of the canal and appropriate development but as a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010 (as amended) ensuring that the integrity of the SAC is not compromised.

The Focussed Changes have had an overall positive impact upon the soundness of the Plan including placing greater emphasis on the need to satisfy the requirements of the Habitats Directive.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Swansea Canal Society fully supports the Representation from the Montgomery Waterway Restoration Trust that full navigability and boats on the canal should be explicitly included in the wording of the LDP.

Although you quite rightly state that the measurable economic benefit will come mainly from day visitors it should be borne in mind that a canal is infinitely more attractive to such visitors if there are boats to be seen, preferably moving.

Swansea Canal Society exists to support the history, maintenance and restoration of the swansea canal and as such co-operates with all other canal organisations.

The society currently has over 100 members and this representation has been authorised by the trustees.

I am submitting it on society's behalf as a trustee and treasurer to the society.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Not applicable

Council Response:

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Explicitly include "full navigability and boats on the canal" in the wording of the LDP.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 193 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6415.F1//TD3 10/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Status Maintained

Mode Written

Type: Not duly made

Source:

25/04/2016 Page 194 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6420	Shropshire Union Canal	Society
------	------------------------	---------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6420.F1//TD3 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38

Source: Type: Not duly made Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56

Policy: TD3 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: This representation is not duly made as it does not refer to a specific focussed change. However, the Council notes the comments and remains committed to the sensitive

restoration and sustainable management of the canal. Policy TD3 is an enabling policy which clearly seeks to support the sensitive restoration of the canal and associated development but as a competent authority the local planning authority has a duty to comply with the requirements of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2010

(as amended) ensuring that the integrity of the SAC is not damaged.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: The paragraphs, as mentioned above, appear to represent a unilateral decision to alter the agreement

between Powys County Council and the numerous other organisation's involved, as contained in the 2005 Montgomery Canal Partnership "Conservation Management Strategy" which laid out in agreed

detail how the management of the Montgomery Canal would be progressed.

Consequently they appear to attempt to negate the previously agreed strategy between Powys CC

and the organisation's signed up to the CMS.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: The amendments attempt to negate the previously agreed strategy between Powys CC

and the organisation's signed up to the CMS.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 195 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary	
5704.F7	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56		
		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:		s comment. This paragraph was deleted in order to balance policy and emphasis with other tourism assets in response to earlier h of the Canal is made in Para 4.8.12 in response to earlier representations. No further changes are considered necessary.	
Council Response	:		0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	para 4.8.10		
	necessary and as such is not consistent wi advises that changes after deposit should be	proposed deletion of paragraph 4.8.10 s strictly th the Welsh Governments LDP Manual 2015 which be avoided wherever possible unless necessary to ensure udden or major change in local circumstances or new	
Council Response	:		0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change		
Representation Texts:	Retain paragraph 4.8.10		
Council Response	:		0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing		
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the abov Montgomery Canal in Powys.	ve recommended changes and their importance to the future of the	
Council Response	:		0

25/04/2016 Page 196 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	·			·
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary			
5704.F8	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	icy TD3 – Montgomery Ca	nal and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56				
			Issue: 2015: De	eposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Touri	sm
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Your comment is noted. The Focussed Ch	ange was proposed to separate	SAC designation from oth	ner types of designations. The Council do not	envisage any additional changes.
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details		_		
Representation Texts:	4.8.11				
	Glandwr Cymru makes no comment on the the proposed reference to important and like replacing that deleted in paragraph 4.8.11	sted structures and buildings in	aph 4.8.11 provided that paragraph 4.8.12,		
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	n/a				
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	n/a				
Council Response:					0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary			
5704.F9	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Pol	icy TD3 – Montgomery Ca	nal and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56				
			Issue: 2015: D	eposit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Touri	sm

25/04/2016 Page 197 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M			Powys County Council Local Developmen		ent Plar		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	fied Summary					
5704.F9	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification:	Policy TD3 – Montgomery Ca	nal and Associated	d Development - FC38		
Source:	Type: Objection	Мс	ode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts:	Policy TD3 is an enabling policy which penultimate sentence of para. 4.8.12 no inspector the following wording as a mi	eds to be reinstated to make the					g
	"Llangollen Canal in Shropshi as a safeguarded route as protected by			would be opposed	I. The LDP Proposals Ma	p identifies the line of the Cana	ıl
Council Response:							0
Question: 1	Representation Details						
Representation Texts:	4.8.12						
	Glandwr Cymru objects to the proposed The paragraph expands upon the state restoration of the Montgomery Canal ar sensitive restoraUon of the canal. The sound or in the context of any sudden of policy	I intent of the policy to support d oppose development which v roposed deletion is neither ned	proposal which support the would adversely affect the cessary to ensure the plan is				
	In light of the introduction of new Policy paragraph 4.8.12 could usefully be ame The LDP Proposals Map identifies the I Strategic Policy SP2 - Safeguarding of	nded to cross reference with the ne of the canal as a safeguarde	ne new policy as follows:				
Council Response:							0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chan	ge					
Representation Texts:	Retain penultimate sentence of paragra	ph 4.8.12					
	Amend final sentence of paragraph 4.8 follows:	12 to cross reference with prop	posed new policy SP2 as				
	"The LDP Proposals Map identifies the by Strategic Policy SP2 - Safeguarding		ded route as protected				

25/04/2016 Page 198 of 317

0

Council Response:

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

			,	
, ,	presentation No			
Filtered to snow: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	sessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summa	ry		
5704.F9	11/03/2016 Sumr	mary: Justification: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal	and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above recommontgomery Canal in Powys.	nended changes and their importance to the future of	of the	
Council Response:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	eessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summa	ry		
5704.F10	11/03/2016 Sumr	nary: Justification: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal	and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56			
		Issue: 2015: Depo	sit Draft-07.Employment, Retail and Tourism	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:			entified the need to distinguish restoration of the canal from a nsideration by the Planning Inspector as a Matters Arising Cha	
	developments would be supported provided discuss opportunity to ensure that there is no adverse impact Examples may include: Moorings	ions with potential applicants/developers and the Co	with, and justify locations in close proximity to the canal. Such uncil/National Conservation Body are undertaken at the earlie	
	Boat Services and facilities Canal side visitor services and accommodation			
	Proposals of this kind will be supported in line with F local settings or canal heritage."	Policies SP2 and TD1 and the appropriate DM policies	s provided they do not impact adversely on the statutory design	gnations,
Council Response:				0
	B B			

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: 4.8.14

It is stated that the proposed rewording of paragraph 4.18.14 is intended to "ensure clarity with regards the Canal's environmental status, and the importance of day visitors compared to

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

oonounanon nop	sort Apportaix 4. 1 o Roprocontain	one a ocanon recepcines	•		. on yo ocumy o	Carlon Eccar Bovolop	
by: Rep	presentation No						
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary					
5704.F10	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Po	olicy TD3 – Montgomery Car	nal and Associated I	Development - FC38		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
	navigation for the long term protection of	the Environmental designations'	,				
	The meaning of the proposed wording as statement is also unclear and potentially Powys Council has not engaged with it pare many different aspects of the canal a contribute to the local economy and this	inaccurate and Glandwr Cymru i prior to making such changes. It is and its variety of functions which l	s disappointed that s considered that there have the potential to				
	The proposed change is also not conside Manual 2015 as unnecessary to ensure major change in local circumstances or the constances of the constances or the constance	the plan is sound or in the contex					
Council Response:	:						0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Chang	ie					
Representation Texts:	A revised wrong (wording?) to simplify a	and clarify the point being made c	ould be:				
	"Restoration of the canal, day visitors an potential to make a significant contribution						
Council Response:							0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing						
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the ab Montgomery Canal in Powys.	pove recommended changes and	their importance to the future	re of the			
Council Response:							0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary					
5704.F11	11/03/2016	•	olicy TD3 – Montgomery Car	nal and Associated [Development - FC38		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.56						
	-		Issue: 2015: De	eposit Draft-07.Empl	oyment, Retail and Tou	urism	

25/04/2016 Page 200 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

,	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary	
5704.F11	11/03/2016	Summary: Justification: Policy TD3 – Montgomery Canal and Associated Development - FC38	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts		
Question:	Council Response		
Representation Texts:	and reflects wider objectives and policies els	representation. Para. 4.8.15 was deleted in response to representation made earlier in the process to redress the balance of policy sewhere in the Plan which already consider economic and social benefits. Development proposals must accord with the appropriate Council does not consider that further changes are necessary.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details		
Representation Texts:	Giandwr Cymru does not consider deletion of and can see no reasonable justification prov	of paragraph 4.8.15 to be appropriate or necessary vided for such an amendment.	
Council Response:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change		
Representation Texts:	Reinstate Paragraph 4.8.15		
Council Response:			0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing		
Representation Texts:	Glandwr Cymru wishes to discuss the above Montgomery Canal in Powys.	e recommended changes and their importance to the future of the	
Council Response:			0

25/04/2016 Page 201 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	olicy W1 – Waste - FC39	Agont:	CPRW Brecon & R	ladnor	
	RW Brecon & Radnor and Montgome		CPRW Brecon & R	adiioi	
6235.F25//W1	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified 11/03/2016	-	eta EC30 Objection needs	s more detail re Anaerobic Digestion	
0233.F23//W1	11/03/2010	, ,	•	s more detail te Ariaerobic Digestion	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schede	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Policy: W1	-		Issue: 2015: De	posit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	The council disagrees with this Representati Any specific development would also have to			vill need to use biodegradeable waste, and from an existing agricultural use	е.
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	We note the inclusion of anaerobic digestion the amenity and health of the local population that:	n in 2.3 [3.]and qualification in 7 on'. In the case of anaerobic di	7.9.7a [4.9.7a] that this mus gestion it should be made o	at have 'no adverse impact upon the landscape, the natural environment or clear that this also applies to the import and export of materials to ensure	
	 the project is not encouraging practices w import and export of materials does not cr disposal or spreading of the digestate will 	reate unacceptable traffic, odou	ur or vermin problems.	n of woodland, trees or hedges or growing crops which degrade soils. ully described by applicants.	
	The respective responsibilities of NRW and should be clearly set out so they can be und		and ensuring compliance v	vith policy, planning conditions and environmental law and regulations	
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	spreading of products of the development'.			of materials and export of produce, 3.further use, disposal or agricultural liance with policy, planning conditions and environmental law and	
Council Response:					0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

25/04/2016 Page 202 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Rep	oresentation No	•			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	ified Summary			
6235.F25//W1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy W1 – Was	te - FC39 Objection, needs more detail re Ar	naerobic Digestion	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination) Status	s Maintained	
Representation Texts:	Evidence that such protection is urgentle	y required.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Seeks to add detail regarding provision	for Anaerobic Digestion within Polic	y W1 - Waste, FC39		
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 203 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.91 Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40

4640 Powys Ramblers

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

4640.F1//RE1 03/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: RE1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Your comments are noted. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and recent legislative

changes, the Council is undertaking a review of the current renewable energy evidence which will also consider other low carbon technologies (solar). Any further changes to the

LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Policy RE1 is out of date, incomplete and ambiguous, and it does not deal adequately with the proliferation of single wind turbines across the county.

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts:

Objections

1. Powys Ramblers Area Council generally supports Policy RE1(1) on large scale wind energy developments, subject to paragraph 2 below. Where energy benefits outweigh landscape harm, we favour the principle of concentrating wind farms in Strategic Search Areas rather than allowing proliferation. We also support the important cross-reference to landscape impact under Policy DM3.

2.In other important respects, however, RE1 is unsound. Under the Planning (Wales) Act 2015, developments between 10 and 50 MW will in future be determined by Welsh ministers as Developments of National Significance. Ministers will have regard to national policies in Wales as well as the Local Development Plan. The threshold of 25MW is therefore inappropriate. There also appears to be uncertainty as to whether Welsh ministers will determine proposals of up to 350 MW. Policy RE1 should be brought up-to-date and amended accordingly.

3.As Policy RE1(2) refers to wind turbine proposals of less than 5MW, the policy has nothing to say about developments between 5 and 25MW. This is highly unsatisfactory. It would be logical, in the light of the 2015 Act, for RE(1) to deal with schemes over 10MW and for RE1(2) to cover schemes of less than 10MW. This would have the added benefit of concentrating medium scale wind farms of 10 to 25MW in SSAs, subject again to landscape impact under Policy DM3.

4.We have further concerns about Policy RE1(2). The reference to 'community-based' wind turbine proposals is ambiguous and open to interpretation. It might be taken to limit its scope to proposals by community groups, when in reality most are made by companies or landowners. We are particularly anxious about the proliferation of single wind turbines across Powys in the last few years. They are usually sited on hilltops and ridges and can be up to about 45m high, with landscape harm affecting a wide area and not just the

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Consultation Rep	port Appendix 4. FC Representation	ons & Council Responses			Powys Coun	ty Council Local Developine	III FIAII
by: Re	presentation No						
Filtered to show: (all	l of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary					
4640.F1//RE1	03/03/2016	Summary: Policy RE1 - Renev	vable Energy - FC40)			
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode V	/ritten	Status	Maintained		
	local scale mentioned in RE(2). The wor single turbines. The words 'and that exte	,		\ /	ll wind turbine prop	posals of less than 10MW, including	
	5.As it stands, Policy RE1(2) does not refer to Policy DM3. We accept that repeated cross-referencing is unnecessary, but its exclusion from one part of RE(1) when it is mentioned in another appears anomalous. This could be reinforced in Policy RE1(3)a. by inserting the more specific word 'landscape' before 'visual amenity'.						
	Summary of proposed changes						
	As it stands, Policy RE1 is unsound. Powys Ramblers seek the following changes:						
	oThe policy should be updated to accord with national policy in respect of proposals between 10 and 50MW. oPolicy RE1(1) should consequently be amended to cover proposals over 10MW.						
	oRE1(2) should be amended by deleting extensive views would not be damaged' oln RE1(3)a, 'landscape' should be inse	after 'local scale'; and inserting a cro			gle turbines' after '	(less than 10MW)'; inserting 'and that	
Council Response	:						0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation						
Representation Texts:	Does not support FC40. Policy RE1 is no	ot consistent with national policy					
Council Response	:						0

25/04/2016 Page 205 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6235 CPRW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery Agent: CPRW Brecon & Radnor

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6235.F26//RE1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40 - Objection

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Additional material submitted

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: RE1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Y

Your comments are noted. The Council disagrees with this Representation. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and recent legislative changes, the Council is undertaking a review of the current renewable energy evidence which will also consider other low carbon technologies (solar). Any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

1) Policy RE1 2. "Small scale community-based wind turbine proposals (less than 5MW) will be required to demonstrate that impacts are confined to the local scale."

CPRW considers the ceiling of 5MW for 'small scale community-based wind turbine proposals' is irrational. It would be quite impossible for any wind turbine development of 5MW to have impacts confined to a local scale. A development of this scale might take the form of 2 or more 100 - 120m wind turbines (visually intrusive well over 10 Km away), or a wind farm of many smaller turbines. The policy is also unclear in failing to set out what constitutes a 'community-based' proposal and CPRW would recommend the following requirements to be applied to qualifying schemes:

"Community-led schemes have the majority support of the community members living within 1.5km and are

i.100% owned and operated by a local community organization, or

ii.a fully constituted community cooperative regulated by the Financial Services Authority."

- 2) Policy RE1 3. "All renewable energy or low carbon energy development proposals will be required to demonstrate that:
- a. Measures have been taken to minimise impacts on visual amenity, biodiversity, and the natural and historic environment."

This weak wording will not offer robust protection against damage to visual amenity, biodiversity, and the natural and historic environment in practice, nor is it consistent with the protection rightly offered for residential amenity and highway safety in 3 b.& 3 c. PPW8 and TAN8 are very clear in specifying that proper environmental protections are required in respect of renewable generation. As it stands this policy is incompatible with national guidance, and incompatible with other DM policies within the LDP. CPRW would also like to see specific mention of landscape in this policy.

This policy should be reworded (see below).

3) Paragraph 4.10.4 "Policy RE1 sets out criteria against which all proposals for renewable and low carbon energy development, across these four scales, will be assessed." It would be much clearer to readers to replace the remainder of this paragraph with the relevant figure 12.2 from PPW8.

This paragraph also requires explanation of terms such as 'EfW' and 'CHP', and could usefully set out for the reader what is meant by the term 'sub local authority'.

- 4) Paragraph 4.10.5.a: This paragraph requires updating to reflect the current situation.
- 5) Paragraph 4.10.5.b and 4.10.6: CPRW welcomes this clarification.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: F	Representation No						
Filtered to show: (Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary							
6235.F26//RE1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy RE1 - Re	enewable Energy - FC40 - Objection				
Source:	Туре:	: Objection Mode	e Oral (Examination) Statu	us Maintained			

6) Paragraph 4.10.7: Please see CPRW comments on Focussed Change 8 and the retention of targets which CPRW believes are wholly without either policy or evidence support. There is a further internal contradiction within this paragraph in that the targets are stated to be a requirement to ensure that Powys meets its own electricity needs through its own generation:

"Meeting these targets would help to ensure that by the end of the plan period, and at the very least, irrespective of any further developments within the SSA's, Powys will be generating enough renewable electricity will be produced in the county to offset all that the county uses".

And yet the REA (dated 2012) states:

"The total electrical energy that is currently being generated across Powys from renewable and low carbon energy technologies is circa 524 GWh, which equates to circa 86% of the total electrical consumption across Powys in 2008."

By today's date the figure of electrical generation will have significantly increased, in all likelihood even exceeding consumption, thus entirely removing this rationale for inclusion of targets.

CPRW is also at a loss to understand what possible justification can explain the inclusion of the phrase "irrespective of any further developments within the SSA's". This fails to recognise the significant detractive impact of large scale wind development within the SSAs and the burden which has already been borne by the residents of Powys.

- 7) Paragraph 4.10.7: CPRW would welcome a requirement that renewable installations classified as farm diversification are tailored to the requirements of the enterprise. This is particularly important now that UK wide policy is to discourage the further development of onshore wind for import to the national grid.
- 8) Paragraph 4.10.10: CPRW welcomes the commitment to Supplementary Planning Guidance and would like to be consulted over this.
- 9) Policy RE1: general comments:

Appropriate policies for individual technologies

CPRW believes that the impacts of renewable energy developments are so diverse and so particular to each form of generation that a specific policy is needed for each. Although the LDP has improved greatly since Draft Deposit stage, many potential impacts particular to electricity generation are neglected in the suite of DM policies. Examples are: loss of productive farmland (solar, biomass, anaerobic digesters), shadow flicker (wind), amplitude modulation noise nuisance (wind), loss of peat and dark soils (wind), soil erosion and water run off (solar, wind), soil contamination (wind, solar, anaerobic digesters), water abstraction, ground and surface water implications and habitat impacts (hydro, wind, anaerobic digesters), safe use of highways and rights of way, including consideration of vulnerable users and considerations of topple distance and ice-throw (wind).

For these reasons, CPRW would still recommend a suite of policies addressing the specific issues.

Site decommissioning

All mention of restoration of sites after a 25 year period is meaningless and unenforceable without the deposit of funds on escrow account. Residents who have suffered negative impacts from wind or other developments should not have to foot the bill for restoration of landscapes. Deposit funds for restoration must be set out in the LDP

Adverse environmental impacts of development in SSAs

We note the dependence on Advice Note TAN8. There have been rapid industry changes (e.g. tripling of the height of turbines since 2004) and the promised review is now 6 years overdue (we know that this is outside Powys' control).

We note that TAN8 clearly states that not all the land identified within the SSAs would prove suitable for windfarm development when all material planning considerations had been applied for. Since neither landscape, ecology, access, cultural heritage nor socio-economic factors were considered by Arup in their broad brush designation process, it would add clarity to state that PCC recognises that not all, or indeed any, of the land within SSAs may prove suitable once all material considerations are applied.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by. Representation	NO						
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M							
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo Da	ateLodgd Late? Statu	us Modified Summary					
6235.F26//RE1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy RE1 - Ren	ewable Energy - FC40 - Objection				
Source:	Type: Ob	jection Mode	Oral (Examination) State	us Maintained			

Representation Texts: 1) Policy RE1 2.: CPRW believes the 5MW maximum size of a community led scheme is excessive and irrational. It would be more useful to set socio-economic criteria for small scale schemes than to set arbitrary capacities but, if a maximum local-scale installed capacity is required, 2MW would be an improvement.

The following criteria are suggested for the term 'community-led proposal'

"Community-led schemes have the majority support of the community members living within 1.5km and are

iii.100% owned and operated by a local community organization, or

iv.a fully constituted community cooperative regulated by the Financial Services Authority."

2) Policy RE1 3a

should be reworded to read "There will be no unacceptable impacts on visual amenity, landscape, biodiversity and the natural and historic environment.

3) .Paragraph 4.10.4

"Policy RE1 sets out criteria against which all proposals for renewable and low carbon energy development, across these four scales, will be assessed."....... the remainder of this paragraph should be replaced with the relevant figure 12.2 from PPW8 as below:

[table from PPW8 2016 Figure 12.2 p182]

This paragraph (4.10.4) also requires explanation of terms such as 'EfW' and 'CHP', and could usefully set out for the reader what is meant by the confusing term 'sub local authority'.

- 4) Paragraph 4.10.5.a: This paragraph requires updating to reflect the current situation.
- 5) Paragraph 4.10.5.b and 4.10.6: Not applicable.

6.Paragraph 4.10.7:

Please see comments on Focussed Change 8

All mention of targets both within this section (RE1) of the LDP and Objective 5 should be removed to conform to national and UK wide policy and to remove internal contradictions within the LDP itself.

- 7) Paragraph 4.10.7: Insert a requirement that renewable installations classified as farm diversification are tailored to the specific requirements of the enterprise, taking into account any pre-existing source of renewable generation.
- 8) Paragraph 4.10.10: Not applicable

9) Policy RE1: general comments:

Appropriate policies for individual technologies

We attach proposed policies which follow those used in other local jurisdictions and have been tailored to consider these impacts.

If such policies are not included, we would like to see specific plan policies relevant to the impacts listed under comment 9) above which are: loss of productive farmland (solar, biomass, anaerobic digesters), shadow flicker (wind), amplitude modulation noise nuisance (wind), loss of peat and dark soils (wind), soil erosion and water run off (solar, wind), soil contamination (wind, solar, anaerobic digesters), water abstraction, ground and surface water implications and habitat impacts (hydro, wind, anaerobic digesters), safe use of highways and rights of way, including consideration of vulnerable users and considerations of topple distance and ice-throw (wind).

25/04/2016 Page 208 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Consultation Report Appendix 4. Fo Representations & Council Responses			Powys County Council L	ocai Developilieni Pian
by: Rep	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary		
6235.F26//RE1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40 - Ob	jection	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	Site decommissioning The LDP should specify arrangements for the state of the state	funds to be deposited on escrow account for site restitution f	or appropriate development.	
	Adverse environmental impacts of develop Insert new sentence at end of 4.10.5b stati considerations are applied.	ment in SSAs ing that PCC recognises that not all, or indeed any, of the rer	maining land within SSAs may prove suitable once a	II material
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	All of the above. Evidence of very insufficie environmental protections.	ent consideration of known impacts of renewables developme	ents within existing plan policies and the urgent need	I for insertion of robust
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Objecting to several aspects of Policy RE1 a. size of Community Scale proposals b.inadequate protection of environmental ir c. lack of appropriate policies d. site decommissioning e. impacts of development in SSAs			
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 209 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary			
6315.F9//RE1	F9//RE1 11/03/2016 Summary: Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40 - Comment re SSAs and SPG				
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained		
Document:FC - Sched	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
Policy: RE1		Issue: 2015	: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energ	y	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	Your comments regarding Policy RE1 (referen	nces to SSA's, and SPG) are noted. Thank you.			
Council Response):			0	
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts			een re-written and we welcome the specific reference to S d welcome the opportunity to be involved in the preparatio		
Council Response	e:			0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts	: N/A				
Council Response):			0	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	Comments regarding Policy RE1 (references	to SSA's, and SPG).			
Council Response	o:			0	

25/04/2016 Page 210 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6323 RWE Innogy UK Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6323.F5//RE1 10/03/2016 Summary: Policy RE1 - Renewable Energy - FC40 and paras4.10.4 to 4.10.10

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Policy: RE1 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with the Representation and the suggested change. Para 4.10.9 follows on from para 4.10.7 which explains that the targets mentioned in LDP Objective 5

relate to Micro and Sub Local Authority wide energy schemes, hence it is these that RE1 is focussed on. However, following representations on the Schedule of Focussed Changes including those made by Welsh Government and recent legislative changes, the Council is undertaking a review of the current renewable energy evidence which will also consider other low carbon technologies (solar). Any further changes to the LDP which may result from this additional work will be recommended to the Inspector as

amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Council Response:

Representation Texts: Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy

For the reason set out below, it is considered that LDP Policy DM6 in its current form fails to meet the Consistency (C2) and Coherence and Effectiveness (CE2) tests of

enundnass

• There is a grammatical error in RE1 – 1, and paragraphs 4.10.5b and 4.10.7, referencing SSA's instead of SSAs.

• Policy RE1 is intended to support all scales of renewable and low carbon energy projects, not just sub local authority and micro scale as stated in paragraph 4.10.9.

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Policy RE1 – Renewable Energy:

• RE1 – 1, and paragraphs 4.10.5b and 4.10.7: o Delete "SSA's" and replace with "SSAs"

• RE1 – paragraph 4.10.9:

o Delete "sub local authority and micro scale"

Council Response:

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Objection to RE1 and its use of the scales of proposals

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 211 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.93 P	Policy M1 – Existing Minerals Sites	FC41, FC42				
1481 The	e Coal Authority					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	dified Summary				
1481.F3//M1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy M1 – Existing Mineral:	s Sites - FC41			
Source:	Type: Objection	n Mode Written	Statu	s Maintained		
Document:FC - Sched	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.63					
Policy: M1		I	ssue: 2015: Deposit Draft-08.Mi	inerals, Waste and Renewable Energy		
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts	This Representation is noted. The Council would not be opposed to adding reference in the introductory paragraph to National Policy in respect of onshore oil and gas (paragraphs 14.8.6, 14.8.7 and 14.8.8.) and will propose the following addition to the introductory paragraph on minerals to the Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:					
	"restoration and aftercare.					
	No specific policy is included in respe	ct to onshore oil and gas because PPW provides	adequate policy."			
	In response to the representation mad Matters Arising Change:	e, the Council propose the following new paragr	aph as an addition to the suppor	rting text of Policy M1 for consideration by the Inspector	as a	
	"4.11.12 An extant Petroleum Explora licence area."	ion & Development Licence (PEDL148) impinge	s into the MPA area south of Ys	stradgynlais. The Proposals Maps indicate the extent of	the	
Council Response	:				0	
Question: 1	Representation Details					

Representation Texts:

Representation No.3

Focussed Change FC41 - Schedule of Focussed Changes Document, Introductory paragraph to Minerals Section

Test of Soundness

P1 P2 C1 C2 C3 C4 CE1 CE2 CE3 CE4

Objection – The supporting text to the policy does not signpost users of the plan to the relevant text within MPPW with regards onshore oil, gas and unconventional hydrocarbon proposals. No reference is made to the presence of PEDL licence 148 which extends into the southern tip of the Local Authority area.

The Coal Authority welcomes the opportunity to make these comments. We are, of course, willing to discuss the comments made above in further detail if desired and would be

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary		
1481.F3//M1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy M1 – Existing Minerals Site	s - FC41	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	happy to negotiate alternative suitable wor process to try and reach a negotiated posi	ding to address any of our concerns. The Coal Artition if this were considered helpful.	thority would be happy to enter into discussions ahead of any examination	n hearing
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	 The supporting text should signpost use hydrocarbon proposals. 	rs of the plan to the relevant text contained within nent Plan should include up-to-date PEDL Licenc	paragraphs 64 and 65 of MPPW with regards onshore oil, gas and uncones within the Local Authority area.	ventional
	Reason – To comply with the requirements	s of MPPW.		
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Include additional information to inform de	velopers in introductory paragraph.		
Council Response	:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary		
1481.F4//M1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy M1 – Existing Minerals Site	s - FC42	
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	lule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.63			
Policy: M1		Issue	2015: Deposit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable Energy	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The support is noted.			
Council Response	:			0
Ougstion: 4	Paragontation Pataila			

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: Representation No.4

•

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		-		-
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
1481.F4//M1	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy M1 – Existing Minerals Sites - FC42		
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	Focussed Change FC42 - Schedule of Fo	ocussed Changes Document, Policy M1: Existing Minerals Sites		
	Test of Soundness P1P2C1C2C3C4CE1CE2CE3CE4 □□□			
	Support – The Coal Authority supports the	e rewording of Policy M1 which clarifies the instances where extended	ensions to existing minerals/coal sites will be permitted.	
Council Respon	se:			0

25/04/2016 Page 214 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.95 P	olicy M2 – New Minerals Sites - FC44			
1481 The	Coal Authority			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary		
1481.F5//M2	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy M2 – New Minerals Sites - FC44		
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.65			
Policy: M2		Issue: 2015: De	posit Draft-08.Minerals, Waste and Renewable E	Energy
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	The support is noted.			
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:		ocussed Changes Document, Policy M2: New Minerals Sites		
	Test of Soundness P1P2C1C2C3C4CE1CE2CE3CE4 □□□			
	Support – The Coal Authority supports th	ne rewording of Policy M2 which omits the previous presumpti	ion against new coal workings.	
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 215 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.104 Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 1413 The National Assembly for Wales (K. Williams AM) Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 1413.F1 Summary: Appendix 1 - Settlement Allocations - FC45, Boughrood & Llyswen - Site allocation P06 HA1 Source: Type: Not duly made Mode Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: This representation is not considered to be duly made as it does not relate to a specific Focussed Change. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1, so this matter will be considered by the Independent Planning Inspector conducting the exmaination into the soundness of the Powys LDP. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: I write in respect of Powys Local Development Plan 2011 – 2026 Focussed Changes Representation January 2016. You will be very aware of my previous concerns of the inclusion of the allocation of sites P06 HA1 in reference to 35.25. I would like to take this opportunity to raise with you the very strong feelings expressed by the community of proposed inclusion within the LDP and which they feel is contradictory to planning As you will be aware from their submission there are concerns over policy FC6, FC9, FC11, FC13, FC15, FC21 and FC Appendix Page 107/108. I trust full consideration will be taken into account of by the representations of Mr and Mrs Smart and the 22 representatives of Llyswen. I hope that these comments will be taken into consideration. 0 Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 216 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4397	E-Comservices/Alert Activities Ltd
------	------------------------------------

Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ed Summary		
4397.F1	09/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 - S	Support for P58 HA9	
Source: Post or in pe	erson Type: Support	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais	sit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts	18346. 2013. Dopo	Sit Diant 11. Allocated Oiles	
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	•	k you.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:		d numerous advantages in terms of access and location. As es ces of the site being successfully developed and occupied.	ssential services are adjacent to the site the cost of development wi	ill be
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	The deliverability of the site.			
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Support for site P58 HA9			
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 217 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4786 Pow	ys County Council, Highways Tran	sport and Re		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	l Summary		
4786.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocat	ions - FC45 - P51 MUA1	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedu	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 759/759/P51 MUA1 Former Kaye Fou	ndary Site e: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:			ed to have a Transport Assessment to be carried out for site P51 MUA1 in Presteigr ructure column in Appendix 1 as a Matters Arising Change:	16
	Re Site P51 MUA1:			
	" Town Centre and historic environm	ent to be demonstrated. Traffic Assessment re	quired. *Project level HRA screening may be"	
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:		he redevelopment of this site however the com ansport Assessment/Statement will be required	pined retail and residential use will generate a different blend of traffic from the single to accompany any application.	le
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Add a reference to the need for a Transpor	t Assessment in the Issues/Infrastructure colum	nn.	
Council Response:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	ressnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	1 Summary		
4786.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocat	ions - FC45	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Schedu	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1108/1108/P06 HA1 Land at Llyswen	adj B24 HA3	
	-	Issu	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	

25/04/2016 Page 218 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	epresentation No ıll of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary			
4786.F3	11/03/2016 Summary	r: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocati	ons - FC45	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode	Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts	This representation is noted. The Council agrees to the in the Issues/Infrastructure column in Appendix 1 as a M.		entor for site P06 HA1 and will propose to the Inspector the following text be amen	ded
	Re Site P06 HA11:			
	" drainage required. Highways - Improvments to T	runk Road A479 footpath access	to village and traffic controlling measures required. Category 1"	
Council Respons	е:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts	The Issues/Infrastructure column makes reference to imp	provements on the class I road bu	t this should read Trunk Road A479.	
Council Respons	е:			0

25/04/2016 Page 219 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5695 Bardsley, Mrs Margaret Agent: Strutt & Parker LLP (Chester)

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5695.F2 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. Please note that the Council is producing further work to more clearly explain the rationale behind the housing requirement figure and the housing provision figures as published in the Deposit Plan and the subsequent changes as published in the Focussed Changes Schedule.

In response to your comments, the Council do not agree that there is a need for further site allocations to be made in Guilsfield. The Council's figures for housing growth are set out in the LDP Strategy topic paper (and updates). The Council has treated the calculated pro-rata apportionment as the starting point for planning new housing across the Towns and Large Villages of Powys. Further to the candidate sites assessment process, officers have used professional judgement and discretion as to the most appropriate housing land allocations, including consideration to the site size required and likely capacity figures so as to meet housing needs. For example in Guilsfield there was originally an intentional potential modest "over-supply" against the pro-rata apportionment as the committed site for 46 new units at Sarn Meadows was subject to identified constraints which were perceived to potentially affect viability/delivery.

With further evidence to hand, the Council has since taken the view at the Focussed Changes stage that the site at Sarn Meadows cannot be relied upon to deliver dwellings by 2026 (the end of the Plan period). It is acknowledged that this decision could mean the LDP now actually plans for an "under supply" of dwellings in the settlement against its pro-rata requirement. However this is considered acceptable as the Plan has been clear that the Strategy for pro-rata growth is subject to each settlement's "infrastructure and environmental capacity". Due to the particular constraints at Guilsfield (flood risk areas, sites of historic interest and the Granllyn SAC) the settlement does not have a generous supply of suitable and available land (see candidate sites analysis).

In conclusion, the Council do not consider it necessary to seek additional sites to meet the housing needs of Guilsfield over the Plan period. The loss of the Sarn Meadows site due to deliverability concerns does not, in the Council's opinion, warrant the need for a substitute housing site. That site is now white land within the development boundary and therefore carries a favourable presumption for development in recognition of the extant planning permission. The Council is of the opinion that the units on Sarn Meadows may still come forward and also that, alongside specific housing land allocations, other opportunities for windfall/infill and exceptions exist in the settlement which can all contribute to housing supply. The Council is confident that the housing needs for Powys can be met through the Plans growth strategy based upon the sustainable settlement strategy.

The Council do not agree that any changes to the Plan are required in response to this Focussed Change representation. It is considered that, as a result of the site representations (5695.V2) made at the Deposit Stage, the merits of the new/alternative site proposed at Tan-y-Gaer will be duly discussed and considered in full through the Examination process. It will be for the Inspector to decide if the alternative site should be included as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

This letter is to accompany the Powys Local Development Plan (LDP) 2011-2026 Focussed Changes Representation Form, January 2016. This representation is being submitted on behalf of Mrs M Bardsley in support of allocating the site "Land at Tan-y-Gaer, Guilsfield" for residential development.

Our objection to the Plan remains as per the previously submitted consultation representations.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status	Modified Summary		
5695.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45		
Source:	Type: Object	etion Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	

Powys County Council submitted their Local Development Plan Draft in July 2014, and in September 2014 the Welsh Government outlined their concerns regarding the soundness of the Plan. It was stated that the Authority had not justified the use of the 2011 Government lower variance housing projections. The revised draft now increases the housing target from 5,000 to 6,129 dwellings. The 2016 Deposit Plan still uses 2011 Government projections, despite the starting point needing to be 2011 projections plus a ten year migration rate. In order for the economic and social aspirations of the Plan to be taken account of, the proposed level of housing for the plan period should be between 6,449 and 10,775 new dwellings. It is important to note that if the Authority do not further increase their level of housing growth, there is concerns around the Plan again being found unsound as it will not be based on robust and credible evidence (test of soundness CE2).

Nb. Council note - the above paragraph has also been recorded separately at F.1.

The latest Plan proposes the removal of site 1099 (46 dwellings at Sarn Meadows). This is understandable considering that the development is yet to be implemented despite gaining planning consent in June 2005. However this only leaves two proposed allocation sites delivering 39 dwellings in Guilsfield (Celyn Lane and Land east of Groes Lwyd). We consider that this level of growth will not meet the future housing needs of at least 156 more households over the plan period based on census statistics (see LDP Representation 2014) in Guilsfield, which is one of the most sustainable settlements within the County of Powys. Accordingly further site allocations need to be identified adjacent to Guilsfield.

The Land at Tan-y-Gaer has the potential to deliver a much needed mix of housing which links into the Celyn Lane site and the village and contributes to the overall need to increase housing delivery. The allocation of the site will contribute towards the soundness of the Plan, contributing to test 2 "Is the plan appropriate?" by helping address the key issues, and demonstrating that real alternatives have been considered. The site also enables compliance with soundness test 3, as the site can be delivered.

In relation to flood risk, the extensive flood risk work carried out on site 1099, Sarn Meadows, identified that Land at Tan-y-Gaer, Guilsfield will not flood in either a 1 in 100 year undefended fluvial or 1 in 1,000 year undefended scenario (see appendix A). It was this evidence that was the instrumental factor for the removal of the Sarn Meadows site from the flood risk zone. In addition, our client is willing to commit costs to confirm this position, through updated flood risk work should the Inspector/Authority look to allocate the site for housing.

To conclude, the objection focuses on the need for additional housing sites to enable a sound Local Development Plan. The site complies with soundness tests 2 and 3 and presents a real solution to the issues surrounding Guilsfield's current housing strategy. This site is the alternative to the Sarn Meadows allocation deletion. Appendix B proposes a potential master plan for the site's development, demonstrating that the site, with Celyn Lane, will form a logical extension to the village.

Supporting information - Appendix A - Environment Agency Flood and coastal risk maps and data challenge form (Flood Map Change effected 08/08/14) Appendix B - potential masterplan for the site (note this is titled Appendix C)

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Recommend land at Tan-y-Gaer, Guilsfield is allocated for residential development for reasons stated in the attached letter.

Council note: the letter text has been recorded in full at Q.1. the council summarises the proposed requested change as: Allocate additional housing site (land at Tan-y-Gaer) to compensate for the removal/deletion of Sarn Meadows allocation (P20 HC1).

Council Response:

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M	·			·
	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary			
5695.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement	Allocations - FC45		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (E	xamination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Te	xts: Objection on the Local Plan and proposed	allocation for housing development on the	ne land at Tan-y-Gaer.		
Council Respon	nse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	xts: Proposed alternative site to the Sarn Mead	lows allocation deletion.			
Council Respon	nse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 222 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5843 Trevor, Mr T Agent: Carter Jonas (Shrewsbury)

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5843.F1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments which are noted. The Council acknowledges that market conditions impact heavily on the timescales for the build out of sites and delivery of new housing across Powys and that the housing site at Sarn Meadows is not alone in this respect. The Council does not agree that the decision to remove the site from the Settlement Allocations Table (previously allocated as P20 HC1) has not been based on evidence. Please note that the Council treats a pre-application enquiry to the Development Management section separately to any decision making being made through the plan-making process. In response to the Deposit Plan consultation, Representation 5843.V1, submitted by yourselves, proposed an alternative site, in the same land owners ownership with a request to speak at the hearing session on the grounds "Representation of landowner in relation to swapping Committed Site P20 HC1 for Candidate Site Number 1176 to improve the deliverability of residential development."

The accompanying letter to the Deposit Plan representation states the site has been marketed since 2010, development commenced in 2012 but "Since that time, we have continued the marketing process but the major hurdle to the deliverability of the site is the cost of the flood mitigation works. The approximate costs of the earth moving alone, including the bringing in of soil, are around £200,000. The sewage would require a pumping system, and the depth of fill needs to be taken into account when calculating the cost of roads and drains, foundations etc. All of these extra costs are discouraging potential developers". These facts, as stated, lead the Council to having concerns that the site is not proven viable and deliverable by 2026 and hence should not be relied upon to contribute to the housing needs of Powys over the LDP period.

The Council disagrees that the Focussed Change goes against the spirit of positive planning. You will note that the site is now included as white land within the development boundary of Guilsfield and therefore carries a favourable presumption for development in recognition of the extant planning permission. The Council is of the opinion that the 46 units on Sarn Meadows may still come forward but there is a risk to their delivery by 2026. The LDP now reflects this risk but in no way does the LDP alter the fact that the site has an extant planning permission which could be fully implemented in due course.

Although the Council considers that no changes to the Plan are necessary in response to this Focussed Change representation, it notes that the Deposit Plan representation for the new/alternative site (effectively requesting a land swap) - 5843.V1 - will be heard in full at the Examination. The Inspector will make the final decision on the contents of the adopted Development Plan.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: We object to:

Proposed Focus Change FC45 Appendix 1 Settlement Allocations

Guilsfield P20 HC1
The document states that:

Guilsfield P20 HC1 - This housing site has been deleted due to updated evidence on the site constraints and flood risk mitigation requirements which result in abnormal costs to development leading the Council to have fundamental concerns over the likelihood of delivery within the Plan period. (Rep. No. 5843.V1).

25/04/2016 Page 223 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No						
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd	Late?	Status Modified S	Summary			
5843.F1	11/03/2016	; <u> </u>		Summary: Appendix 1 – Settler	ment Allocations - FC45		
Source:		Type:	Objection	Mode Or	ral (Examination)	Status	Maintained

The site has consent for 46 dwellings with an affordable housing provision of 14 units (Appeal Ref: APP/T6850/A/05/1184523).

In 2012, the landowner implemented the consent and at this time, NRW requested additional modelling for a revised flood mitigation strategy. A specialist firm was appointed to undertake quantitative analysis to assess the flood risk issues of the site including hydraulic calculations and modelling. An approved revised mitigation strategy including a bund, an embankment and partial raising of the land was agreed with NRW and Powys Council following several months of detailed analysis.

Following the implementation of the planning consent and the resolution of the mitigation strategy with NRW, the Site has been marketed for sale on the Carter Jonas website (www.carterjonas.co.uk), Rightmove (www.rightmove.co.uk), Onthemarket (www.onthemarket.com) and Harry Ray (www.harryray.com).

The marketing exercise has resulted in developers expressing an interest in the site, but unfortunately this has not culminated in a sale. Reasons include the abnormal development costs coupled with the high level of caution expressed by developers in the present market conditions. Market stability for residential development has seen improvement since the recession, but such growth is predominately focussed in London and the South East of England. More locally, in Shropshire, market conditions have improved, but developers are predominately concentrating sites in the main market town of Shrewsbury with limited appetite for the outlying market towns including Market Drayton and Wem. Generally, we have found as a national firm of Chartered Surveyors that developers in this area tend to favour projects in Shrewsbury as opposed to crossing the border in to Powys. Presently, the appetite for development for sites in the Welshpool and Guilsfield area is justifiably limited.

This restriction with regards housing development is a concern, particularly as Guilsfield is a popular and desirable location in need of additional homes, both open market and affordable. We submitted a pre-application consultation to assess the Council's view of effectively 'land swapping' the permitted development site for the land that sits to the North. It was our intention that this would perhaps provide for a 'cleaner' site than that presently permitted. However, this is still all speculation as the market forces will ultimately dictate whether a developer will build out a scheme. The restriction of selling this site is therefore not solely due to the abnormal costs. Interestingly, we are currently in talks with a developer who is interested in the development site, so perhaps this is a positive step towards greater market certainty in Guilsfield? We are very much hoping that our discussions culminate in a sale, but it would be un-professional of us to guarantee such delivery at this stage.

In returning to the pre-application enquiry, this should not result in the Focussed Changes; FC104 and FC45 of deleting The Site. The reason for this is two-fold. Firstly, the site has an implemented planning consent. Secondly, the site does have constraints with regards abnormal costs, but as explained, market conditions for housing growth are limited in the area. This is not a specific problem to this site; it is a County/Regional issue which makes the deletion of this site from the LDP an unsound decision which is clearly not based on evidence.

It is therefore considered that the LDP Focussed Changes (FC104 and FC45) have not been prepared in the spirit of positive plan making required under Planning Policy Wales and accordingly the deletion of the Sarn Meadows development site is unsound as it is not based on a evidential response. Indeed, the market conditions issue could be tied to a number of sites in Powys.

The Welsh Government are working hard to encourage and boost housing supply and to help

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses					Powys County Council Local Development Pla		
by: Rep	presentation No						
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	dified Summary					
5843.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 -	- Settlement Allocations - FC45				
Source:	Type: Objection	n Mo	ode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		
	try and ensure that planning authorities land in their areas, the Welsh Governn (TAN1) on preparing Joint Housing Lar Government and Home Builders Feder pact to work in partnership also with lo homes in Wales, and that also promise created by the construction industry. TAct 2015 all count towards planning 'proceed the property of the construction industry.	nent published the revised Techn nd Availability Studies ('JHPAS') ration also pledged to increase h cal authorities and others to increase to maximise the local jobs and the above legislation, coupled with	nical Advice Note 1). The Welsh nousing supply, with a rease the supply of new d training opportunities				
	In assessing the key tests of soundness and FC45) are unsound as: 1 The Focussed Changes have not be not been positively prepared 2 The Focussed Changes are not justing 3 The Focussed Changes are not based. The Plan is not based on robust and cut of the Focused Changes are not flexibdevelopment site and housing land available. 5 The Focused Changes are not consing prepared' and therefore The Plan is under the Focused Changes.	en positively prepared and there fied and therefor The Plan is not ed on robust and credible eviden redible evidence le and do not account for the trualiability within Guilsfield. Thereforestent with National Policy as the	efore The Plan has t justified. ace and therefore e nature of the ore The Plan is				
	In summary, Focused Changes (FC10 development site at Guilsfield should be	,	epted and the				
Council Response:							0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Char	nge					
Representation Texts:	Focused Changes (FC104 and FC45) Guilsfield should be reinstated as a sit						
Council Response:							0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing	l					
Representation Texts:	 Guilsfield Housing Allocations – Development Site P20 HC1 (Known a Easting: 322197 Northing: 312031 Focused Changes (FC104 and FC45 	,					

Council Response: 0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No		•		•
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	/ AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summar	/		
5843.F1	11/03/2016	Summ	ary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45		
Source:	Туре:	Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation T	exts: Objection to the removal of	housing site allocation P2	0 HC1 (known as Sarn Meadows).		
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 226 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6071 Hopkins, Mr Craig Agent: Asbri Planning Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6071.F1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 P58 HA12

Source: Email Type: Support Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1312//P58 HA12 Cynlais Playing Fields, Ystradgynlais

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Your support for P58 HA12 Cynlais Playing Field is noted. Thank you.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The proposed Focussed Change to allocate land at Cynlais Playing Field, Ystradgynlais – HA 12 is Supported.

The site is identified in Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations (Focussed Change R 34.104) and allocates the site for 10 dwellings.

The site has been the subject of comprehensive and rigorous studies which have supported a planning application. A further planning application has also been submitted to the Brecon Beacons National Park Authority, as access to the site lies within the National Park boundary.

As well as contributions to meeting the current 5 year housing land shortfall in Powys, which is currently only 1.9 years (April 2015), the site will provide for a range and choice of housing, including 10% affordable housing.

It is the intention of the applicant to develop the site in the short term, and it is considered that there is a strong market for new dwellings locally, particularly in view of recent improvements in demand and the lack of available housing sites in the area.

Improvements in demand and the lack of available housing sites in the area.

The site is therefore clearly deliverable and suitable as an LDP allocation and the intention is to support the Council's stance in respect of any questions or issues raised by the

Inspector at future LDP Examination Sessions.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Retention of housing land allocation HA12 – Cynlais Playing Field, Ystradgynlais.

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: In order to present evidence before the Inspector of the site's deliverability.

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Supporting FC45 - P58 HA12 Cynlais Playing Field

25/04/2016 Page 227 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6071.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 P58 HA12		
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Council Respo	onse:		0	

25/04/2016 Page 228 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6196 **CME Developments Ltd** Agent: Asbri Planning Ltd Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6196.F1 Summary: Appendix 1 - Settlement Allocations - FC45 P58 HA9 - Penrhos Farm Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with this Representation. The Allocation at P58 HA9 (Penrhos Farm) has taken into account the need for ground and ecological surveys to address any problems that may exist as far as previous industrial activity and wildlife interests are concerned. These are highlighted in the Infrastructure/Issues column in Appendix 1 with regards to this site. Consequently it is not proposed to develop all the site to enable adequate green space, such as woodland, to be retained around it. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: The inclusion of land at Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais in Appendix 1 is objected to on the grounds that the site will be difficult to develop, and that more suitable sites exist, notably the proposed extension to the Brynygroes Farm site (referred to on a separate representation form). It is known that the site at Penrhos Farm is affected by old mine shafts and sinkholes. Development of the site will therefore need to address major adverse ground conditions associated with former mining activities. In addition there are ecology issues, including a live badger sett on part of the site. Consequently there is considerable doubt as to whether the development of the site for housing would be viable or deliverable. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Allocation of additional land for a further 18 dwellings to the north of proposed Focussed Changes Housing Land Allocation HA 10 – Land at Brynygroes Farm, Ystradgynlais in order to compensate for other sites in the Ystradgynlais area which are unlikely to come forward for development. Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: In order to present evidence before the Inspector. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Objecting to P58 HA9 on the grounds that the Brynygroes Extension (CS852 see F2) is more suitable for Development Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 229 of 317

by: Re	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	tions & Council Re	sponses		Powys County Council Local L	Jevelopment Pla
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	dified Summary				
6196.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Apper	ndix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45	- Support for P58	HA10	
Source: Email	Type: Support		Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1310/851/P5	8 HA10 Brynygoes, Ystradgynlais Issue: 2015: De	posit Draft-11. Allo	cated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts:	Your support for FC45 P58 HA10 is no	oted. Thank you.				
Council Response:						0
Question: 1	Representation Details					
Representation Texts:	The site is identified in Appendix 1 – S planning permission – Ref P/2014/113 While it is considered that an opportur Focussed Change, particularly as the The site has been the subject of comp development can be accommodated a As well as contributions to meeting the housing, including 23% affordable housing, including 23% affordable housing, including locally will also be Discussions are currently underway w improvements in demand and the lack	ettlement Allocations (Fo.3.) hity has been missed to ideste is currently allocated prehensive and rigorous subove that identified in the ecurrent 5 year housing lessing. It should be noted the forthcoming. It interested house builds of available housing sites and suitable as an LDP	cussed Change R 34.104) and allocated lentify a larger area and include addition in the adopted Unitary Development P tudies which have supported two planner UDP, and that landscape impact can and shortfall in Powys, which is current that the LDP requirement for affordable lers, and it is considered that there is a sin the area.	es the site for 136 anal land to the nor lan (UDP). sing applications. The addressed by the land only 1.9 years (and housing in Ystrad strong market for	dwellings. This follows the resolution to grath, (separate representations refer) supporthese have demonstrated that a higher denie imposition of appropriate conditions. April 2015), the site will provide for a range gynlais is only 10%. Contributions towards in the wellings locally, particularly in view of ance in respect of any questions or issues	rt is given to the sity form of and choice of improved of recent
Council Response:						0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Cha	nge				
Representation Texts:	Representations on a separate form re	elate to the need to alloca	te a larger site which includes addition	al land to the north	n.	
Council Response:						0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing]				
Representation Texts:	In order to present evidence before the	e Inspector of the site's de	eliverability.			
Council Response:						0

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

25/04/2016 Page 230 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

			_	
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	lified Summary		
6196.F3	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - Fe	C45 - Support for P58 HA10	
Source: Email	Type: Support	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Representation Te	exts: Supporting FC45 P58 HA10 Brynygroe	······································		
Council Respo	onse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 231 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6280 Residents of Irfon Bridge Close

Rep'n/Para/Poli	cy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary	
6280.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained
Document:FC -	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Site: 579/579/P08 HA3 Land adj. To Tai Ar Y Bryn, Hospital Rd.,Builth	
-		Issue: 2015: Deposit Dra	ft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council does not agree with this Representation. Consideration was given to the Representation made during the second Deposit Draft consulation in July 2015. However no evidence was presented to challenge the Allocation of P08 HA3.

With regard to the other points raised the three trees felled were not boundary trees but were within the field itself and were not of any maturity. The designation of an Ancient Woodland or SAC does not, in itself, prohibit the felling of trees. An HRA Screening and Ecological Survey is required at Application stage. A Planning Application has recently been made for the site (P/2016/0309). Until the LDP is Adopted the active Development Plan for the County, against which all Planning Applications are tested, remains the UDP which does not include any Allocation for the site in question.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Builth Wells P08 HA3

We, as the residents of Irfon Bridge Close, wish to again OBJECT STRONGLY to the inclusion of the above site within the proposed LDP for Powys.

Objections were raised in July 2015 during the Deposit Stage of the LDP and we wish to re iterate those objections (please see former objection dated t1h7 July 2015, signed by the 9 properties of Irfon Bridge Close).

We do not believe that our concerns were fully taken into consideration by the Council during the deposit stage which relate particularly to:

- Highway Safety / Access issues
- Existing infrastructure issues
- Topography issues of the site
- Surface Water and flooding issues
- Drainage issues
- Biodiversity I ecology issues

We understand that a focused change has taken place with regard to the proposed site which has been made to include the wording "Mature trees on boundary of site to be retained". We wish to inform the Council that unfortunately after the inclusion of this wording the owner I developer of the site proceeded to fell mature oak trees within and bounding the site. A request was made to Powys Council to place a TPO on these trees to protect them, however this request for a TPO was not pursued in time to save the trees. We would like it noted that the trees fall within a designated Ancient Woodland and the River Wye SAC and would like the

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses			Powys County Council Local Development Pla		
•	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	lified Summary			
6280.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45			
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained		
	biodiversity and ecology issues on this HRA screening required as outlined in undertaken by the owner of the site? We dated July 2015 and feel that the Coun Focused Changes. We understand that the owner of the site over 80 dwellings in the foreseeable fur southeast) and is not waiting for the outline application. The resident outline application once submitted to the should be considered Premature ahead concerned local residents to discuss the plus local residents attended this meet to the proposed inclusion of the site with Council has agreed to hold a further Pufurther discussed. We would appreciate	of urgency due to the impact this may have on site. We would also wish to be informed whether the the proposed LDP and Ecology Surveys have been /e raised concerns on ecology issues in our submission cil have not taken these concerns on board within the ste will be submitting an Outline planning application for ture (to include site P08 HA3 and the field directly toome of the LDP process to conclude prior to ts of Irfon Bridge Close will of course be objecting to the le local planning authority, but feel that the application d of the approval of the LDP and should be rejected. On the 8th March 2016 with Builth Town Council and le inclusion of this site within the LDP. Approximately 30 ling and a number of issues were raised and local objection within the LDP were passed to the Town Council. The Town wiblic Meeting (date to be decided) — where the issues can be a representative from the Council's LDP Team at this is to be heard in their entirety. To date we dont feel that the entire on board fully.			
Council Respon	se:			0	
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Char	nge			
Representation Tex	dated July 2015. The site proposes 40 dwellings but the	developer is submitting a planning application for over 80 e the plan remains unsound whilst this site is included.			
Council Respon	se:			0	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Tex	tts: We wish to speak to the Inspector as w of the above site for inclusion within the	ve believe that we have information regarding the unsuitability a LDP.			
Council Respon	se:			0	

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: The site is unsuitable for development for highway, infrastructure and biodiversity reasons and should be removed from the Plan.

25/04/2016 Page 233 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6280.F1 11/03/2016 Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

0

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 234 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315	Natural	Resources	Wales

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6315.F10 Summary: Appendix 1 - Settlement Allocations - FC45 Source: Email Type: Comment Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1288//P20 HC1 Land at Sarn Meadows Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments which are noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: Focussed Change FC45 - Appendix 1 - Settlement Allocations We are satisfied that our comments included in our letter dated 16th July 2015 relating to individual sites have been dealt with satisfactorily in the focussed changes. In particular we are satisfied that the allocations now include details of any outstanding commitments. We note in particular the omission of site P20 HC1 Guilsfield due to abnormal development costs and the LPA having fundamental concerns over the likelihood of delivery during the plan period. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 235 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6412	Newbury,	Paula
------	----------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 09/03/2016 6412.F1 Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 - Support for P58 HA9 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Your support for P58 HA9 is noted. Thank you Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: To myself I support this proposal. As a mother the site will be a safe place for my children, it isnt on the main road, so I wouldn't need to worry about traffic while struggling to put the children into their car seat. As the site joins the cycle path, that by passes the school, this will be the best and safest way for the children to get to school. The site is also central for access to local shops, plus also it is on a main bus route to surrounding areas like Neath, Swansea and also access to the Motorway. Council Response: 0 **Summary of Representation** Question: 4 Support for P58 HA9 Representation Texts: Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 236 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	ionon, i dai			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary		
6413.F1	09/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FO	C45 - P58 HA9	
Source: Post or in pe	erson Type: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynla	ais	
		Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Your support for P58 HA9 has been noted	l.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	It's a great idea. 1st time buyers get a cha	nce to get onto the property ladder. Not adding to conge	stion and increases local revenue.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Supporting Allocation of P58 HA9			
Council Response:	•			0

25/04/2016 Page 237 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6416 on behalf of Residents Group

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6416.F14

10/03/2016 Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45

Source: Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts:

The Council does not agree with this Representation. Objections raised with regards surface drainage on this site were considered in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and were included as Issues in the proposed Focussed Changes to Appendix 1 - Focussed Change FC 45. These issues would require to be addressed when any development application is made. The Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions.

Evidence has been provided that an Ordinary watercourse flows through/adjacent this site. Part of this Ordinary watercourse was re-routed to accommodate earlier phases of development. No drainage/flood issues have been recorded since the watercourse was re-routed. A maintenance/protection zone should be secured along the watercourse corridor. Soil type for locality is indicated as being freely draining, i.e. suitable for SuDS.

Objections raised with regards access to any development were considered in relation to housing site allocation P06 HA1 and were included as Issues in the proposed Focussed Changes to Appendix 1 - Focussed Change FC 45. These issues would require to be addressed when any development application is made. The Representor does not raise new issues or evidence which lead the Local Planning Authority to change its conclusions.

The Council does not agree that the site should be removed, but it will be for the Inspector to decide if the site should be excluded as a housing land allocation in the final adopted LDP.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: FC Appendix 1 - page 107/108.

Page 107 - HA1 - Assessment of surface drainage required.

This site is the only flat field around this area where a lot of the surface water runs down from the sloping ground of Brechfa Common and fields surrounding it. If there were houses built here there would be a lot of concrete and tarmac and surface draining required so water would not penetrate into the ground which will create more flooding in the Llyswen area. The Environmental Agencies have been trying to stop farmers from draining their ground and laying tarmac and concrete around their farm yards in order to prevent flooding in the future.

Improvement to highway footpath to village:

The footpah from Llys Meillion estate into the village cannot be improved in any way because there is no room for any pavement on the one side and the other side of the main road has a narrow pavement with only single file walking which cannot be widened because of the houses along the side of the road.

There must be sites a lot more suitable than this to build on.

0

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

bu Do	presentation No.			
•	presentation No I of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6416.F14	10/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations	- FC45	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	The proposed plot should not be considered which would make the LDP sound.	d for inclusion as part of this LDP due to the points i	aised above. The only change that should occur to the LDF	is the plot removed
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Request the removal of site allocation P06	HA1.		
Council Response	:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6416.F15	10/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations	- FC45	
Source:	Type: Not duly made	e Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Scheo	dule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016			
		Issue: 20	15: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:			ed Change. Objections were made to the Deposit LDP in re nducting the examination into the soundness of the Powys I	
		proposed, allocating senstive development such as hevidence to challenge the decision to include the Allo	ousing within a flood risk zone is against national guidance ocation of P06 HA1.	(TAN15) and this new
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			

Representation Texts: We are objecting to the inclusion of candidate site 1108 Land at Llyswen adj B24 HA3 within the Powys LDP for the following reasons:

The Sites Status Master Deposit 2 2015 recommends removal of a brownfield site (candidate site 1118 Land adj B24 HA2) from the LDP in favour of a greenfield site (candidate site: 1108 Land at Llyswen adj B24 HA3). The brownfield site would be a far more suitable site for development as part of the site already has planning permission (although

25/04/2016 Page 239 of 317

Consultation Rep	oort Appendix 4: FC Representations &	Powys County Council Local Development Plant		
by: Re	presentation No			
Filtered to show: (all	of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Su	mmary		
6416.F15	10/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC	45	
Source:	Type: Not duly made	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
	but according to focussed change Policy DM9 t		ie UDP. Site 1118 is identified as having possible Land contamina site status does not identify trunk road access (Green). The fact it this site.	
	In additon the representor included the following	g text to be read in conjunction with the set of repres	entations (logged as F1 to F15):	
		cil and shown to the Inspector: We would like to exp spite the fact that each of the representations addre	press our disappointment that the council's response to each of the ssed different issues.	Э
	generic with no substance behind them. An ex- the previous LDP have yet to be developed. The to this query does not address any of the points	ample of this is where in the representations it has be his raises the question why it is felt necessary to ident raised in our initial representations, issues or quest noil have already made the decision to include the p	how the concerns will be or will not be addressed. The responses been pointed out that sites in Llyswen that have been approved and thify further sites within Llyswen for inclusion in the LDP. The respitions. A considerable amount of time and effort went into our representations are proposed plot within the village boundary and that any points we have	d included in conse made esentations
			group representation, the following Representor reference numbers 347; 459; 6319; 6258; 6297; 6306; 6304; 6295; 6299.	s are
Council Response:	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	Remove candidate site 1108 Land at Llyswen a	ndj B24 HA3 from the LDP and consider inclusion re-	inclusion of 1118 Land adj B24 HA2.	
Council Response:	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 240 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6423 Ashby, Mr Joshua

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6423.F1 15/03/2016 ✓ Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45 - Supporting P58 HA9

Source: Post or in person Type: Not duly made Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Your support for P58 HA9 is noted. Thank you.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: I support the development plan as currently there are not many houses locally to move into. This is causing younger people from the area to move away in search of somewhere

to live

Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Supporting Allocation P58 HA9

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 241 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.105 Appendix 2 - Supplementary Planning Guidance and Development Briefs - FC46, FC47

78 Home Builders Federation Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

78.F13 11/03/2016 Summary: Appendix 2 - Supplementary Planning Guidance and Development Briefs - FC47

Source: Type: Comment Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.126

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-03. Housing - Delivery and Infrastructure

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: These

These comments in relation to over-reliance of development briefs and implications of development briefs for delivery of housing are noted. Questions raised regarding the process have already been responded to in the Council's response to representation 78.F11.

Development briefs should be consistent with LDP policies and it is not possible to impose further controls over and above policies in the LDP, as is suggested by the Representor.

The Council had originally identified certain sites where it was anticipated that development briefs will be required in Appendix 1 of the Deposit Plan. Further allocations where it was anticipated that development briefs would be required were identified at Focussed Changes stage. These were identified in response to the Representors request at deposit stage for the need for development briefs in relation to specific sites to be identified in order to provide a degree of certainty (78.V2 and 78.V6). Appendix 1 has identified 15 allocations where it is anticipated that development briefs will be required and such sites have been carefully identified, taking into account the sensitivity and complexity of their locations and constraints. Policy E4 in relation to Bronllys Health Park also identifies the need for a joint development brief to be prepared with BBNP. The Council does not consider it necessary to reduce the number of sites identified.

The Council does not consider it to be necessary to produce further guidance on the key issues that need to be addressed in the development brief at this stage. The key issues will range from site to site. Guidance also exists on a national level, including DCLG 'Planning and Development Briefs: A Guide to Better Practice', within national planning policy guidance TAN 12 and also recent guidance published by the Welsh Government 'Site and Context Analysis Guide'.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The HBF raise concern about the overreliance on Development Briefs and in particular the way in which they are proposed to be brought forward (see comments to FC23). Our concern is that this will delay development and reduce the number of houses delivered by the plan. It is also likely to put off smaller builders who are less likely to have the resources to prepare development briefs. It could also be the opportunity for the Council to impose further controls over and above the policies in the LDP through a process which would not be subject to the same public independent scrutiny as the LDP.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Re-assess the sites identified that require a development brief to try and reduce the number of briefs required. Where it is decided they are still required provide some guidance

25/04/2016 Page 242 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sui	mmary		
78.F13	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 2 - Supplementary Planning Guidance and	Development Briefs - FC47	
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	on the key issues that need to be addressed. Provide further guidance on how the process of	a developer led brief will work, particularly around its approval	process by the Council.	
Council Response:	į ,		' ,	0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	I wish to be able to speak about the comment I	have raised above in the inquiry as it enables the issue to be di	siscussed between all parties.	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Comments on Development Briefs including req	uest for further guidance.		
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 243 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 39.106	Appendix 3 - Monitoring Framework - FC48 - FC6	2			
6235 CPF	RW Brecon & Radnor and Montgomery	Agent:	CPRW Brecon & Radnor		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary				
6235.F27	11/03/2016 Summar	ry: Appendix 3 - Mon	itoring Framework - FC48 - FC62 -	Objection	
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
		Additional mate	rial submitted		
Document:FC - Sched	ule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.127				
			Issue: 2015: Deposit Dra	ft-13.Plan Monitoring and Review	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:				targets and actions may be subject to change as individual policie endments will then be addressed via the Matters Arising Changes	
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	Among our critical observations about monitoring, we n	oted that there was	no monitoring of applications, plann	ning department function or outcomes related to:	
	natural heritage and biodiversity				
	landscape tourism and rights of way assets				
	intensive agriculture projects				
	No AMRs have been added to cover these topics and o	correspond to new po	olicies set out in the focussed chang	ges.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	We are resubmitting our comments on the monitoring n	naterial in the 2015 I	DDLDP		
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	We request that the Inspector's attention is drawn to ou	ır previous objection	about gaps in monitoring and we a	re able to discuss the major omissions we have identified.	
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 244 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

				•	-
by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
6235.F27	11/03/2016	Summai	ry: Appendix 3 - Monitoring Framework - FC48	- FC62 - Objection	
Source: Email	Туре:	Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	exts: Objections to various aspect	ts of Monitoring Framework	ς		
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 245 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

n

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6323 RWE Innogy UK Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6323.F6 10/03/2016 Summary: Appendix 3 - Monitoring Framework - Objection to FC61 AMR33

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Document:FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016, p.127

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-13.Plan Monitoring and Review

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Your comments are noted. The Council does not envisage that any changes are required, however monitoring targets and actions may be subject to change as individual policies

are considered by the Planning Inspector during the course of the Examination Hearings. Any subsequent amendments will then be addressed via the Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: AMR3

AMR33 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy

For the reason set out below, it is considered that LDP Monitoring Framework AMR33 in its current form fails to meet the Consistency (C2) and Coherence and Effectiveness (CE2) tests of soundness.

• Core/Local Indicator: LDP Objective 5 applies only to micro (<50kW) and sub-local authority schemes (<5MW), so the "capacity of Renewable Energy developments (MW) installed inside Strategic Search Areas (SSAs) by type (TAN8)" cannot be used for monitoring this objective. TAN8 states that "large scale (over 25MW) onshore wind developments should be concentrated into particular areas defined as Strategic Search Areas" (July 2005, paragraph 2.2) so i) the inclusion of 'by type' would be pointless as most, if not all, would be onshore wind developments; and ii) the per annum target of 5MW and/or the LDP plan period target of 50MW could be met by a single application.

• Source: It is not clear why "(non-wind)" has been inserted into the sentence on collating the capacity of developments within Strategic Search Areas. Given that AMR33 only relates to micro (<50kW) and sub-local authority schemes (<5MW), all reference to SSAs should be removed. Furthermore, AMR33 does not distinguish between types of renewable energy developments so there should also be no requirement to draw a distinction between whether developments are within or outside SSAs.

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: AMR33 – Renewable and Low Carbon Energy:

• AMR33 - Core / Local Indicator:

o Delete "The capacity of Renewable Energy developments (MW) installed inside Strategic Search Areas by type (TAN8)."

AMR33 – Source:

o Delete "This will enable the capacity of Renewable Energy (non-wind) development installed within Strategic Search Areas to be collated."

·

Council Response:

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Objection to AMR33

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 246 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Repres						
Rep'n/Para/Policy Access	nNo DateLodgd	Late?	Status Modified	Summary		
6323.F6	10/03/2016	6 🗌		Summary: Appendix 3 - Monitoring Framework - Objecti	ion to FC61 AMR3	3
Source: Email		Туре	: Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained

25/04/2016 Page 247 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

25/04/2016 Page 248 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

LDP Document: 43 FC - Schedule of Focussed Changes An Addendum to the Powys Local Development Plan, Deposit Draft (

RefPoint: 43.23 Berriew - FC93

27	Clwyd Powys Archaeolog	ical Trust			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
27.F4	10/03/2016	Summa	ry: Berriew - FC93		
Source: Email	Туұ	oe: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - M	ap Schedule of Focussed Change	es Jan 2016			
		Map: P4: Berriew- FC	Issue	e: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Te				s Table (Appendix 1) could be revised to include for consideration by the Inspector as a Matters	
	"The site includes a	number of canal related featu	ures. Development here may require	e prior archaeological intervention (consult and	involve CPAT)."
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Te	exts: The allocation includes a	number of canal related feat	ures. Development here may require	e prior archaeological intervention.	
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Fo	cussed Change			
Representation Te	exts: Note should be made of t	he above.			
Council Respo	onse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation	on			
Representation Te	exts: Request for additional infe	ormation/text (archaeological	matters) relating to site allocation.		
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 249 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4039 Vov	was Bark Estate Office Barrious	Agant	Vambartana		
	nor Park - Estate Office, Berriew	Agent:	Kembertons		
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	d Summary			
1938.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Berriew - FC93			
Source:	Type: Support	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
	Map: P4: Be	erriew- FC	Issue: 2015: D	eposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	: Thank you for your comment, your support	for the Focussed Change is no	ted.		
Council Response	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts	: Wish to express support for the proposed of	change to allow residential deve	elopment on the site to which	ch FC93 relates.	
	This will provide housing in a large, sustain	able, village, which has little ch	ance of expansion elsewhe	ere.	
	The plot can be easily developed, and is in	tended to come forward within t	the early years of the Plan	period.	
Council Response	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts	: No changes required.				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts	: I wish to ensure the LDP Inspector is aware	e of the need for housing develo	opment in Berriew and that	the delivery of the site can be assured.	
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	: Support for Focussed Change - Addition of	site P04 HA1 - Berriew			
Council Response	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 250 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5704 Glandwr Cymru - Canal & River Trust in Wales

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Map: P4: Berriew- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments.

Please note that the details in the settlement allocations table in Appendix 1 (pg 78 onwards in Schedule of Focussed Changes) include consideration towards Glandwr Cymru as an important stakeholder in the proposals to develop/re-develop this site. The Focussed Change wording inserted for proposed new site P04 HA1 (pg 106/107) reads: "Sensitive canal side site at gateway into village. Density has been reduced to reflect need for a canal buffer and presence/potential preservation of existing canal related buildings. Development will be required to demonstrate that a safe means of access can be created and a footpath connected to the existing footway network.Pre-application consultation with stakeholders advised - to include Glandwr Cymru - Canal and River Trust in Wales, heritage and ecology officers. *Project level HRA screening required - Montgomery Canal SAC (hydrological connections)".

As this text is considered to be comprehensive, the Council do not agree that any further amendments or changes are necessary in response to this representation.

The Council would add that many of the pertinent issues relating to this site will already have been discussed and flagged up through the planning history on the site (namely application reference M/2006/0947 - residential development 12 units, Canal Wharf, Berriew) and whilst these issues will understandably be updated and reviewed through any new planning application process, the sensitivity of the canal-side site and the HRA considerations are clearly not a new subject area which leads to the Council being confident that the issues can be adequately addressed so as to enable appropriate housing development on this allocated site.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: New Residential Allocation and Amendment to Development Boundary

Glandwr Cymru is surprised to note the inclusion of an additional site allocation as a focussed change and would advise as follows:

The site in question lies immediately adjacent to the Montgomery Canal and it is essential that we are fully consulted at an early stage in respect of any further development proposals on this site. HRA legislation must be complied with to ensure all potential impacts on the biodiversity of the Montgomery Canal Special Area of Conservation as a habitat for wildlife are assessed, including the European protected species of floating water plantain "luronium natans". Potential impacts include changes to the water quality as a result of surface water entering the canal both during construction and following completion, changes in shading of the waterway as a result of built development or landscape planting, and increased use of the waterway as a result of new development.

25/04/2016 Page 251 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

Consultation Report Appendix 4. 1 C Re	spresentations & Council Nes		I owys county council Local Development I lan		
by: Representation No					
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary				
5704.F12 11/03/2016	Summary: Berriev	v - FC93			
Source: Typ	e: Comment	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained	
Council Response:					0
Question: 2 Changes needed to the Foo	cussed Change				
Representation Texts: n/a					
Council Response:					0
Question: 3 Reason for request to spea	k at hearing				
Representation Texts: n/a					
Council Response:					0
Question: 4 Summary of Representation	n				
Representation Texts: Requesting further involve	ement/consultation regarding site alloca	tion PO4 HA1.			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 252 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315 Natural Resources Wales

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts:

Thank you for your comments. The Council is pleased to be alerted to potential development constraints that may impact on the nature and layout of any forthcoming development proposals. It is suggested that the following wording should be inserted into the issues column (Appendix 1) after the sentence "Pre-application consultation with stakeholders advised - to include Glandwr Cymru - Canal and River Trust in Wales, heritage and ecology officers" to be considered as a Matters Arising Change by the Inspector:

"There is a known water vole population (protected species) on the Canal. Development of the site will need to include a scheme which conserves the water vole population. Preapplication discussions with NRW should be conducted to ensure satisfactory mitigation measures are offered as an intrinsic component of the re-development proposals".

As an aside, the Council would add that the site has previously been subject to planning application M/2006/0947 where the importance of ecology and the development's potential impact upon protected species was carefully examined, including consideration as to the provision and management of a canal strip and the provision of a water vole protective barrier. These issues should therefore be well versed and not come as a surprise to interested parties although they will obviously need to be updated/reviewed as part of any new planning application process.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

FC 93 P04 HA1 Land to the East of the village, south of the road to Welshpool, North of the Canal We note that it is proposed to extend the existing development boundary to include land located directly adjacent the Montgomery Canal Special Area of Conservation (SAC). We note and agree with the conclusions of the updated HRA that being that no further HRA screening is required and that the allocation will have no effect on the outcome of the HRA. There is a known water vole population on the Canal. Water Voles are protected under the Wildlife and Countryside Act 1981. Any proposal to develop this land will need to include a scheme to effectively conserve the water vole population as an intrinsic component of the design brief. We consider that such a scheme would need to include a buffer area between the development site and the canal area. This is to ensure that developing the site will not have a detrimental effect on the maintenance of the favourable conservation status of water voles. As part of any scheme there would be a need to ensure ongoing management of such a buffer through provisions such as a ground rent service charge. Should this site be included as an allocation we would encourage preapplication discussions with NRW at the earliest convenience to ensure that the mitigation offered as an overall design component of the scheme is satisfactory to ensure no detrimental effect on the maintenance of the favourable conservation status of water voles.

Council Response:

0

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Update Appendix 1 to reflect comments above.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 253 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.26	Bronllys - FC95, FC96				
	wr Cymru Welsh Water				
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modific	ed Summary			
6348.F8	11/03/2016	Summary: Bronllys - FCS	95 - Comment re site that	t was P07 HC2 but is now P07 HA3	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Мос	de Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1280//P07 HC2 Lan	nd to rear of Greenfields E	Bronllys	
	Map: P7:	Bronllys- FC	Issue: 201	5: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Tex	ts: Thank you for your comments which are included in the Infrastructure/Issues colur		nts result in any infrastruc	ture constraints the Council considers no additional information needs to be	
Council Respons	se:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Tex	ts: P07 HA3 Commitment [P07 HC2] change Rear of Greenfields, Bronllys A water supply can be provided to serve Our local sewerage network can accontain Talgarth WwTW can accommodate the	e this site Imodate foul flows from the pr		•	
Council Respons	66:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Tex	ts: Comment re site that was previously P07	HC2 but is now an Allocation	HA3. As such there is no	new evidence effecting the Allocation.	
Council Respons	se:				0

25/04/2016 Page 254 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.30 C	aersws - FC96					
	yd Powys Archaeological Trust					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary				
27.F5	10/03/2016	Summary: Caersws	- FC96			
Source: Email	Type: Comment		Mode Written		Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1314//P09 HC1	Part of Buck Ho	tel, Main Street, Caersws		
	Map: P9: 0	Caersws- FC		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draf	ft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts:					dy benefits from planning permission (P/2013/0834) for conversion archaeological requirements in connection with this planning	n
Council Response:						0
Question: 1	Representation Details					
Representation Texts:	The allocation lies within the Roman settle possibly post consent works.	ement of Caersws and is	known to contain	archaeological remains. D	Development here will require prior archaeological intervention and	Ł
Council Response:						0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)				
Representation Texts:	Note should be made of the above.					
Council Response:						0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Texts:	Request for it to be noted that this site lie archaeological intervention and possibly p		ment of Caersws	and is known to contain ard	chaeological remains. Development here will require prior	
Council Response:						0

25/04/2016 Page 255 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.33 Churchstoke - FC97, FC98, FC99 **Natural Resources Wales** 6315 Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6315.F16 Summary: Churchstoke - FC97 P12 HA1 Source: Email Type: Comment Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1315/751/P12 HA1 Land west of Fir House. Churchstoke Map: P12: Churchstoke- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Representation Texts Question **Council Response** Question: Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments. The text in Appendix 1 (Settlement Allocations) for site P12 HA1 is currently proposed as: Site lies within the Yr Ystog character area of the Vale of Montgomery Registered Historic Landscape depending on its size and nature any proposed development here may require assessing under the ASIDOHL2 as part of any planning application. Access works will be required to meet acceptable highways standards. Highways advise that access works should have regard to the potential residential use of land opposite. Ecological survey is likely to be required to inform enhancements. The Council considers that the site's location within the Vale of Montgomery Historic Landscape is therefore duly covered and does not agree that any further changes to the Plan are necessary. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 Representation Details Representation Texts: P12 HA1 Land West of Fir House. Churchstoke The site is located within the Vale of Montgomery Historic Landscape Area. While this is not a statutory designation, chapter 6 (section 6.5.25) of Planning Policy Wales (PPW) states that information in the Register of Landscapes of Historic interest should be taken into account by local planning authorities in considering the implications of developments which are of such a scale that they would have a more than local impact on an area in the Register. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: Update Appendix 1 to reflect comments above. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 256 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.40 F	our Crosses - FC102, FC103					
483 Llai	ndysilio Community Council					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary				
483.F1	01/03/2016	Summary: Four Cre	osses - FC103			
Source: Email	Type: Not duly ma	de	Mode Written	Status Maintai	ned	
Document:FC - Map S	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1302//P18 EC1 Park	Employment Land at Fou	r Crosses Business		
	Map: P18:	Four Crosses- FC	Issue: 2	015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Si	tes	
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts:	This representation is not considered to b Focussed Change (modification to the ma			ing reason for the update does not	constitute part of the Plan itself - the actual	
Council Response	:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details					
Representation Texts:	Need to remove reference to Planning Ap	plication P2008/1351 as	this related to residential d	evelopment and was refused - not r	elevant.	
Council Response	:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change					
Representation Texts:	Remove reference to P2008/1351					
Council Response	:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Texts:	Need to remove reference to Planning Ap	plication P2008/1351 - n	not relevant.			
Council Response	:					0

25/04/2016 Page 257 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.42 Guilsfield - FC104

5843 Trevor, Mr T Agent: Carter Jonas (Shrewsbury)

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5843.F2 11/03/2016 Summary: Guilsfield - FC104

Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016

Map: P20: Guilsfield- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

QuestionRepresentation TextsQuestion:Council Response

Representation Texts: Thank you for your comments.

The Council does not agree that Housing Land Allocation (Committed Site) - P20 HC1 - should be re-instated into the Local Development Plan. The Council disagrees that the Focussed Change goes against the spirit of positive planning. You will note that the site is now included as white land within the development boundary of Guilsfield and therefore carries a favourable presumption for development in recognition of the extant planning permission. The Council is of the opinion that the 46 units on Sarn Meadows may still come forward but there is a risk to their delivery by 2026. The LDP now reflects this risk but does not alter the fact that the site has an extant planning permission which could be fully implemented in due course.

The Council has set out it's evidence for the Focussed Change in more detail in representation response 5843.F1.

The Council considers that no changes to the Plan are necessary in response to this Focussed Change representation.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts: We object to:

Proposed Focus Change FC104 Schedule of Focussed Changes

Guilsfield P20 HC1

The document states that:

Due to updated evidence on the site constraints and flood risk mitigation requirements which result in abnormal costs to development leading the Council to have fundamental concerns

over the likelihood of delivery within the Plan period. (Rep. No. 5843.V1).

The site has consent for 46 dwellings with an affordable housing provision of 14 units (Appeal

Ref: APP/T6850/A/05/1184523).

In 2012, the landowner implemented the consent and at this time, NRW requested additional

by: Representation No						
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary						
5843.F2 11/	03/2016	Summary: Guilsfield - FC104				
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained			

modelling for a revised flood mitigation strategy. A specialist firm was appointed to undertake quantitative analysis to assess the flood risk issues of the site including hydraulic calculations and modelling. An approved revised mitigation strategy including a bund, an embankment and partial raising of the land was agreed with NRW and Powys Council following several months of detailed analysis.

Following the implementation of the planning consent and the resolution of the mitigation strategy with NRW, the Site has been marketed for sale on the Carter Jonas website (www.carterjonas.co.uk), Rightmove (www.rightmove.co.uk), Onthemarket (www.onthemarket.com) and Harry Ray (www.harryray.com).

The marketing exercise has resulted in developers expressing an interest in the site, but unfortunately this has not culminated in a sale. Reasons include the abnormal development costs coupled with the high level of caution expressed by developers in the present market conditions. Market stability for residential development has seen improvement since the recession, but such growth is predominately focussed in London and the South East of England. More locally, in Shropshire, market conditions have improved, but developers are predominately concentrating sites in the main market town of Shrewsbury with limited appetite for the outlying market towns including Market Drayton and Wem. Generally, we have found as a national firm of Chartered Surveyors that developers in this area tend to favour projects in Shrewsbury as opposed to crossing the border in to Powys. Presently, the appetite for development for sites in the Welshpool and Guilsfield area is justifiably limited.

This restriction with regards housing development is a concern, particularly as Guilsfield is a popular and desirable location in need of additional homes, both open market and affordable. We submitted a pre-application consultation to assess the Council's view of effectively 'land swapping' the permitted development site for the land that sits to the North. It was our intention that this would perhaps provide for a 'cleaner' site than that presently permitted. However, this is still all speculation as the market forces will ultimately dictate whether a developer will build out a scheme. The restriction of selling this site is therefore not solely due to the abnormal costs. Interestingly, we are currently in talks with a developer who is interested in the development site, so perhaps this is a positive step towards greater market certainty in Guilsfield? We are very much hoping that our discussions culminate in a sale, but it would be un-professional of us to quarantee such delivery at this stage.

In returning to the pre-application enquiry, this should not result in the Focussed Changes; FC104 and FC45 of deleting The Site. The reason for this is two-fold. Firstly, the site has an implemented planning consent. Secondly, the site does have constraints with regards abnormal costs, but as explained, market conditions for housing growth are limited in the area. This is not a specific problem to this site; it is a County/Regional issue which makes the deletion of this site from the LDP an unsound decision which is clearly not based on evidence.

It is therefore considered that the LDP Focussed Changes (FC104 and FC45) have not been

25/04/2016

Consultation Re	eport Appendix 4: FC Representation	ns & Councii Responses		Powys County Council Local Development Pla
by: R	Representation No			
Filtered to show: (a	all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
5843.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Guilsfield - FC104		
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained
	accordingly the deletion of the Sarn Mead	ing required under Planning Policy Wales and lows development site is unsound as it is not based ket conditions issue could be tied to a number of sites		
	try and ensure that planning authorities mand in their areas, the Welsh Governmen (TAN1) on preparing Joint Housing Land A Government and Home Builders Federation pact to work in partnership also with local homes in Wales, and that also promises to	on also pledged to increase housing supply, with a authorities and others to increase the supply of new o maximise the local jobs and training opportunities above legislation, coupled with the Planning (Wales)		
	and FC45) are unsound as:	it is considered that the Focused Changes: (FC104 positively prepared and therefore The Plan has		

2 The Focussed Changes are not justified and therefor The Plan is not justified.

3 The Focussed Changes are not based on robust and credible evidence and therefore The Plan is not based on robust and credible evidence

4 The Focused Changes are not flexible and do not account for the true nature of the development site and housing land availability within Guilsfield. Therefore The Plan is unsound.

5 The Focused Changes are not consistent with National Policy as they are not 'positively prepared' and therefore The Plan is unsound.

In summary, Focused Changes (FC104 and FC45) should not be accepted and the development site at Guilsfield should be reinstated in The Plan.

Council Response:

0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Focused Changes (FC104 and FC45) should not be accepted and the development site at Representation Texts:

Guilsfield should be reinstated as a site with 'Implemented Planning Consent' in The Plan.

Council Response: 0

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: - Guilsfield Housing Allocations -

25/04/2016 Page 260 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 Summary: Guilsfield - FC104 5843.F2 Source: Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained - Development Site P20 HC1 (Known as Sarn Meadows) Easting: 322197 Northing: 312031 - Focused Changes (FC104 and FC45) Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

0

Objection to the removal of housing site allocation P20 HC1 (known as Sarn Meadows).

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 261 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6315	Natural	Resources	Wale
บวาว	maturar	1762001662	vvai

0313 Na	lurai Resources waies				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Summary			
6315.F11	11/03/2016	Summary: 0	Guilsfield - FC45 - P20 HA1		
Source: Email	Туре:	: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes J	Jan 2016 Site: 1245//P	20 HA1 Land adj Celyn Lane		
		Map: P20: Guilsfield- FC	Issue: 2015	: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	, ,	nt, your support for the Focusse HRA in relation to the Granllyn		eciates the clarification provided in your response regarding NR	.W's satisfaction
Council Response	e:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts	We are pleased that the Plan SAC and that consultation w	an now identifies that developm with NRW is encouraged at the	earliest opportunity during the site des	ropriate mitigation measures to ensure no likely significant effection stage and scope of protected species surveys including GC tranllyn SAC that being that the plan is not likely to have a signi	CN surveys
Council Response	Э :				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focus	ussed Change			
Representation Texts	s: N/A				
Council Response	e:				0

25/04/2016 Page 262 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

DefDeint 40 44 I	January 50405			
RefPoint: 43.44 I 6348 Dw	r Cymru Welsh Water			
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6348.F9	11/03/2016	Summary: Howey - FC105 - Comments re	site that was P22 HC1 but is now an Allocation P22 HA2	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1289//P22 HC1 Land adjacent Goylar	nds Estate	
	Map: P22: H	owey- FC Issu	ue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts		e pipe would be required, which may impact	equate. It is noted that the site is crossed by a sewer and protection rupon the density achievable on site. This information has been provide	
Council Response	э:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts	Goylands Estate, Howey A water supply can be provided to serve to Our local sewerage network can accomm protection measures in the form of easemer	his site. odate foul flows from the proposed developm	nent. Potential developers need to be aware that the site is crossed by equired, which may impact upon the density achievable on site. flows from this proposed development site.	y a sewer and
Council Response	9 :			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	Comment re site that was P22 HC1 but is n	ow P22 HA2. As such there is no new eviden	ce that effects the Allocation	
Council Response	Э :			0

25/04/2016 Page 263 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4786 Po	owys County Council, Highways	Transport and Re		
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status M	odified Summary		
4786.F4	11/03/2016	Summary: Knighton - FC67	,	
Source:	Type: Comme	ent Mode	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016			
	Мар:	P24A: Knighton - FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Text			Road is not acceptable in terms of Highways geomtery gradient and visibility. Ho e a suitable site for inclusion within the development boundary. Therefore the C	
Council Respons	se:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Text	Access to this land is totally unsuitable improvements necessary.	le in terms of geometry, gradient and	visibility and the site does not include sufficient land across the highway frontage	ge to achieve the
Council Respons	ee:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Ch	ange		
Representation Text	Remove the area from within the Dev	velopment Boundary.		
Council Respons	ee:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Text	s: Access to the site is unsuitable.			
Council Respons				

25/04/2016 Page 264 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.48 K	nucklas - FC108				
27 Clw	yd Powys Archaeological Trust				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary			
27.F6	10/03/2016	Summary: Knucklas - FC108			
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode Written		Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
	Map: P25: Knu	ucklas - FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft	t-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	These Comments are noted. However, these development of 6 no. dwelling units granted o http://planning.powys.gov.uk/portal/servlets/D	n 9 October 2013 and there are no arc	chaeological requirements in	outline planning permission (RAD/2005/0555) for residential a connection with this planning permission.	
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	The allocation contains the sites of railway rel archaeological intervention and possibly post		require prior		
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts:	Note should be made of the above.				
Council Response:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	Written only.				
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Request for additional information/text (archae	eological matters) relating to site alloca	ation.		
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 265 of 317

Each of these is considered in turn below.

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.	52							
1552	Doug	las Hughes Architects Ltd						
Rep'n/Para/Polic	у Ассе	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	dified Summary					
1552.F1//H4		11/03/2016	Summary: Police	cy H4 - Affordable Housing Contribu	tions - FC24			
Source:		Type: Objectio	n	Mode Oral (Examination)		Status Maintained		
Document:FC - N p.47	/lap Scl	nedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016,						
Policy: H4				Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-	04. Housing - Affordable Hous	sing	
Question	/	Representation Texts						
Question:	(Council Response						
Representation 1	Texts:	Thank you for your comments which a points raised by the Inspector in her le provision figures and is also undertaki Council will also need to clarify in upd Please note that any further changes	etter of 5 April 2016 the 0 ng a review of the Viabil ated papers in due cours	Council is producing further work to r lity Study. These topics are clearly se.	more clearly ex linked to the Pl	cplain the rationale behind the lan's strategy for the delivery o	housing requirement and hor affordable housing which	nousing 1 the
		Matters Arising Changes.	,			·		
Council Resp	onse:							0
Question: 1	F	Representation Details						
Representation 1	Γexts:	Nb. Council notes 1. representation remean rep point 39.52 and FC24. Just					y H4 and para 4.6.13 - ass	umed to
		Rep text follows:						
		An objection is submitted to Focussed Powys from 20% as required in the 20			ase the percer	ntage of affordable housing co	ntributions on sites in Centi	ral
		This is considered to be excessive for	the following reasons:					
		 □ Lack of firm justification on viability □ Reduction in overall housing require □ Reliance on windfall sites □ Previous under delivery of affordable 	ements					

25/04/2016 Page 266 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No						
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateL	odgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary				
1552.F1//H4 11/	03/2016	Summary: Policy H4 - Affordable Housing Contributions - FC24				
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status	Maintained		

Lack of firm justification on viability grounds:

The Affordable Housing Topic Paper (Revised – January 2016) points to evidence on viability in the LDP Topic Paper – Phasing and Delivery of New Housing Provision (also January 2016). Annex 1 of this document only contains 4 justifying paragraphs, two of which are quoted below which state that:

The Powys Local Development Plan Community Infrastructure Levy Viability 2014 Assessment (the Assessment) examines the state and conditions of the housing market in Powys and in so doing predicts the viability of new housing sites. Based on average house prices the Assessment sub-divides the county (excluding the Brecon Beacons National Park) into four areas with common market characteristics: Rural North, Severn Valley, Central and the South West.

Viability data shows that 79% of the allocated LDP sites are coloured green which indicates that the majority of identified sites are currently viable financial propositions. Only 18% of the sites are red with just under 50% of those being located in the South West area and only 3% are amber. These are positive factors for the deliverability of the Powys sites during the Plan period as market conditions improve.

The information on which the percentage rise was based, therefore was derived from work which related to the CIL rather than affordable housing specifically, and which was carried out in 2014 when market conditions were anticipated to improve. It is apparent that these market conditions have not perceptively improved in the interim period and growth remains slow, particularly in a Powys context. Certainly the evidence which has been presented does not merit such a large, 10% increase.

Reduction in overall housing requirements:

It is noted that the overall LDP Affordable Housing Target has increased via the Focussed Changes (R 34.15) from 1.044 to 1,257. However, the overall dwelling requirement has been reduced from 5,519 in the Deposit Plan, to 4,500 in the Focussed Changes.

Paragraph 3.3.14 refers to the projected need of 4,087 as a starting point. It is stated that the need exists to increase this due to a combination of local factors, including delivery of affordable housing.

If the overall housing requirement is reduced there will invariably be a smaller proportion of affordable housing which can be provided. This points to an inconsistent approach by the Council and also suggests that a rise in the requirement in Central Powys is being applied on an apparently random basis to seek to compensate for a lower proportion of affordable housing on a reduced housing target. This is particularly apparent given that the proportion of affordable housing has risen to 28% of LDP dwelling requirements, compared with 19% in the Deposit Plan.

It is noted that this data has not been sanctioned by the Strategic Housing Partnership or Powys County Council.

Reliance on windfall sites:

A windfall allowance of 960 dwellings has been included in the Focussed Changes Table H2. While this is marginally lower than the figure of 1,008 included in the Deposit Plan, it represents 15.66% of the overall provision of 6,129, and 21% of the revised housing target of 4,500.

The high proportion of contributions from unidentified sites does not provide any certainty regarding the delivery of affordable dwellings, and it points to the need for additional sites to be specifically identified as allocations, or in the case of land at Tai Ar Y Bryn, Builth Wells, extensions to proposed housing land allocations.

Previous under delivery of affordable housing:

A large proportion of housing land allocations in the adopted Unitary Development Plan have yet to come forward, including a number of sites in Builth Wells, which we have commented on in previous LDP representations.

It is noted that, from April 2011, the base date of the LDP, until April 2015, a period of 4 years, only 169 affordable dwellings have been constructed throughout the county the majority of which by registered social landlords.

Despite the UDP not having specific percentage targets for affordable housing, it is clear that policies have not delivered. An opportunity exists in the LDP to ensure that the right sites are allocated, and that policies encourage housing delivery in overall terms, which will also increase associated affordable housing provision.

As it stands, the imposition of a requirement for a high proportion of affordable housing in the core area of Central Powys, is likely to deter developers indeed historically this has been the case. The emphasis should therefore be to promote the take up of sites in order to address the issues associated with under provision of house builds in previous years.

It can be concluded that the rise in the percentage of affordable housing required from sites in Central Powys, from 20% in the Deposit Plan, to 30% in the Focussed Changes, has not been applied on the basis of any sound or consistent reasoning, and could have an impact on future viability and deliverability. This has been proven within the lifespan of

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	port Appointing 4. 1 o Roprocontatio	no a coanon recoponece		. c.i.je ceu.i.j c.	Janion Lovai Bovolopinioni i ian
by: Re	epresentation No				
Filtered to show: (a	Il of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
1552.F1//H4	11/03/2016	Summary: Policy H4 - Afford	lable Housing Contribution	s - FC24	
Source:	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
	previous plans that have failed in this rega	ard.			
		Wells, including additional land fo	development to increase	leration should be given to additional site al the number of affordable dwellings provided	
Council Response	e :				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)			
Representation Texts	Maintain the affordable housing requirement	ent in Powys at 20%			
Council Response	: :				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts	Presentation of viability evidence.				
Council Response	e:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	Affordable Housing Contributions in the C	entral Powys Area.			
Council Response	: :				0

25/04/2016 Page 268 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.59 Llangynog - FC110 5934 Keenan, Mr Graham

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

5934.F1 11/03/2016 Summary: Llangynog - FC110

Source: Post or in person Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Petition of 40 signatures Additional material submitted

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 561/561/P34 HA1 Llangynog Glebe, Llangynog

Map: P34: Llangynog - FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

quodion. Countin Rooponi

A Flood Consequence Assessment has been requested for this site to demonstrate if there will be a risk to flooding elsewhere. The outcome of the assessment will determine

any changes that need to be made to allocation P34 HA1.

Any further changes to the LDP which may result from the FCA will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

Representation Texts:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

On 11 February 2016 a meeting of concerned residents met to discuss concerns that this proposed road cutting a cross the flood plain for the Afon Tanat would exacerbate flooding on the B4391 and threaten properties close to the river. Sixteen attendees at the meeting requested that their names be attached to this objection. (See attachment E). Following this meeting local resident R. Walford collected a petition of forty signatures of villagers similarly concerned about increased flooding as a consequence of this proposal (See Attachment F).

Concern about flooding is based on the present situation when floods occur regularly on the B4391 to a level, which often causes smaller private vehicles to stall and become stranded. This road is the main trunk road between Oswestiy and Bala for private, tourist and heavy commercial traffic and the village's link to the east, south and north. A road across the flood plane is bound to provide a barrier leading to increased build up on the riverside. Proposed new housing on the edge of the floodplain will of course reduce the soak away area next to the plain therefore increasing the run off during heavy rain. As can be seen from Attached photo A & B the present bridge cannot cope from increased flow on these occasions. This situation not only affects the road access but also increases the level of threat, in an area that requires a lowering of threat to riverbank properties beyond any safe level. It is very difficult to see 'post development volumes and peak flow rates are maintained for the Green field site' (Policy DM2.2.6).

NB The Natural Resources Wales Flood map presumably used to inform this decision are not accurate as evidenced by a number of photographs provided over the years and observational evidence. (See attached photos C, D and record G)

In addition to the above major points I would like to make the following points on a personal basis

a) There is no proven reason why the road from St Cynog's Church to St Melangell's Church cannot serve a development within the present development boundary. I would refer you to the proposal to use this road to serve a development of 10 houses in 2007. The Officer Appraisal discussing road access found highway safety objections were 'insufficiently 'robust". The officer went on to suggest there was scope for safety schemes in the village to mitigate concerns.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Re	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	ns & Council Responses	1 owys county council Ex	ocai Developinent i lai
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
5934.F1	11/03/2016	Summary: Llangynog - FC110		
Source: Post or in pe	erson Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
		on visitors. This road will spoil the village landscape pr I cars often stop to take in the view. A modern road wil	resented to travellers and occupants as they enter the village overwhelm the image of the old village.	e. The views up the
		best agricultural land. This development will take a la e areas during times of flood will be removed	rge part of the field at present providing quality grazing for s	heep and cattle
	d) This road is likely to have a significant a	adverse effect on the make up of its population. Contin	uing the decline in traditional Welsh culture, language in pa	rticular.
	Further Information submitted:			
	9 photographs A- D Further 4 annotated photographs E - List of signatures supporting the repres F - Signatures of residents objecting to the G - Records of flooding in Llangynog Zine	e proposed road		
Council Response:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change			
Representation Texts:	residents are being refused permission to	build on their own land due to traffic restrictions at the extensions that narrow the roadway to a single lane at	sely to facilitate an influx of non welsh speakers at a time wh Church Junction. This is not in line with policy. The introduc points would seem to be an improved way forward more in	ction of traffic calming
Council Response:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Texts:	lo respond to flood survey results when av	vailable. Suggest alternative strategies to provide acce	ss	
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:		ingynog - to move the development boundary and am coundary (cutting across an area of the TAN15 C2 zor	endment to the text in Appendix 1, to direct the access to a ne).	llocation P34 HA1
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 270 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6388 Parnell, Mrs Pam

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6388.F1 10/03/2011 ☐ Summary: Llangynog - FC110 and FC45

Source: Post or in person Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Petition of 35 signatures Additional material submitted

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 561/561/P34 HA1 Llangynog Glebe, Llangynog

Map: P34: Llangynog - FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: A Flood Consequence Assessment has been requested for this site to demonstrate if there will be a risk to flooding elsewhere. The outcome of the assessment will determine

any changes that need to be made to allocation P34 HA1.

Any further changes to the LDP which may result from the FCA will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

This is an objection to the Focussed Changes and refers to FC45 and FC110.

Reference in the text in Appendix 1 and its subsequent map which moves the access road further south out of the development boundary and into a C2 Zone (Flood Plain). Positioning of the proposed road across the existing floodplain would have far reaching consequences. As things stand at this moment in time the existing flood plain breaches the B4391 road and floods the fields on the other side thus cutting off the village, making the road impassable as many motorists have discovered to their detriment (see A2, A5, A6, A7 and A11 attached). Any building on the said piece of land is likely to exacerbate the problem.

The most serious threat however is to the properties lying to the southernmost side of the proposed new road and are nine freehold properties being of cedar construction and being single storey. Of these nine, five are lived in permanently and two are holiday homes and two are holiday lets which are used all year round. If these properties were to flood they would become inhabitable and the contents would be ruined as there are no stairs up which property can be carried out of harm's way which is the environment agencies advice. As a resident at number 1, Glen Pennant Chalets for the last 16 years, and directly opposite the floodplain, Mrs Parnell has received countless flood warnings issued by the environment agency and enclosed is a list of flood warnings issued to Mrs Parnell over the last ten years.

These properties border the river Tanat. Although built higher than the land on the opposite side of the river, they do, several times a year come under threat from flood water. During the summer months the river lies approximately 2.1m below the boundary wall to these properties but when the river is in spate it comes up to within 10-20 cms off the top of that wall (see photographs dated 30/11/2015). The B4391 road bridge which allows access to the village often becomes blocked with fallen trees and debris washed down river and the river comes up to 10-20cms from the top of the arch. Mr and Mrs Smith who live at The Old Ebenezer Church on the bridge have had umpteen people stranded during the foods and have accommodated them by allowing use of their telephone, providing cups of tea and even feeding people at times. They and Mr Parnell have removed dead trees, dead sheep etc.. from the flood waters which have blocked the bridge in order to allow water to escape.

Enclosed is the official environment agencies flood map plan. The circle indicates where the access would be and was marked by the planning officer. The flood plain map is in fact incorrect as the enclosed photographs will attest (See A1 & A10). The photographs show that the flood waters go considerably higher than the map indicates and in fact shows that even the original proposals would put the road in the flood plain.

Because the village is cut off when the road floods which is several times a year there is a worry that emergency services will not get through in case of an emergency as it is and any further flooding caused by building a road across this flood plain is likely to add to this.

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No						
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary						
6388.F1	10/03/2011	Summary: Llangynog - FC110 and FC45				
Source: Post or in person	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained			

The proposed access road would have to be at least level with the existing B4391 and would not only lie in the flood plain itself and thus make the properties it is supposed to serve inaccessible but would also act as a dam across the field and would not allow waters to flow forward to the full length of the floodplain and would in fact bring water back into the river and then almost inevitably flooding Glen Pennant Chalets and threatening other properties and the bridge itself. If the new access road was not raised then it would lie even deeper in the flood waters making the properties even more inaccessible yet would still be a threat to the existing properties because of the sheer volume of hardcore that would have to be put down which would prevent proper drainage.

The photographs we are submitting show quite clearly how severe flooding is and virtually every resident in Llangynog can testify this. Mrs Hazel Owen who farms here and who's cattle and sheep graze on the flood plain have lived here for over 50 years can testify to the level of water regularly reaching the northern end of the field by the row of cottages and Mrs Stella Bolton who now lives in Y Dderwen School Lane but was born in Bank House which is one of the houses joining the B4391 at the northern end of the field can testify to the same. Mr Michael Atherton of Pen Derw, Llangynog and the owner of number 2 and number 3 Glen Pennant Chalets and has lived in Llangynog for 15 years had his car destroyed by flood waters and can testify how close the flood waters came to breaching the existing wall surrounding his properties and to the extent that the flood waters reach the northern end of the field Mr Ian Dicken who lives in 5 Glen Pennant Chalets can testify to the same.

Mr Atherton has submitted pictures to show the extent of the flooding.

Ms Sue Mackay and Mr Peter Warhorse previously of Cae Golgsa (Field View) and now living at Foxley House, Ryton, Shrewsbury SY5 7LN had a clear view of the extent the flood plain reached towards their property. They will testify to this and enclosed are pictures taken by them during the nine years they lived here.

The proposed changes fly in the face of advice given by the environment agency and Powys own requirements in relation to building on flood plains. In fact of all the sites considered in the Local Development plan for Llangynog this is the only site where you can definitely say that flooding would have a major impact and the question has to be asked why other sites have been rejected because of the fact they lie in flood zones yet this site has been deemed suitable?

In conclusion, in light of the flooding that has wreaked havoc to properties across the country in the last 6 months it seems inconceivable that anyone would consider putting an access road to new homes that would be inaccessible on many occasions and would also threaten existing properties that would inevitably mean making people homeless. See copy of article in Tannat chronicle.

Enclosed:

Article from Tannant Chronicle (March 2016)

Map of allocation, highlighting the access point and the TAN 15 C2 zone List of representatives this represesenation is being submitted on behalf of

A history of flood alets in the area

Photographs

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: For access road to the housing allocation not to cut across the flood plain.

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

25/04/2016 Page 272 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	Representation No all of) Stage=F; Status=M							
Rep'n/Para/Policy A	AccessnNo DateLodgd L	ate? Status Modified S	Summary		_	_		
6388.F1	10/03/2011		Summary: Llangynog - FC1	10 and FC45				
Source: Post or in	person	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status 1	Maintained		
Representation Tex	ts: I wish to speak to exp		nstances, my home and my ho	usbands livelihood, all c	of which will be affected b	by the proposal. Also I ar	m speaking on behalf of others	3
Council Respons	se:							0
Question: 4	Summary of Represent	tation						
Representation Tex	ts: Objection to the acce	ss road to serve P34 HA	A1 going across the flood plain	٦.				
Council Respons	se:							0

25/04/2016 Page 273 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6401 Gibbs, Carol & Paul

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6401.F1 25/02/2016 ☐ Summary: Llangynog - FC110

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Written Status Maintained

Additional material submitted

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 561/561/P34 HA1 Llangynog Glebe, Llangynog

Map: P34: Llangynog - FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

A Flood Consequence Assessment has been requested for this site to demonstrate if there will be a risk to flooding elsewhere. The outcome of the assessment will determine

any changes that need to be made to allocation P34 HA1.

Any further changes to the LDP which may result from the FCA will be recommended to the Inspector as amendments that could be addressed via Matters Arising Changes.

Council Response:

0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Representation Texts:

Objection to Llangynog Glebe Site S61 Proposed Development P34 HA1.

The new proposed road to this development crosses a field which historically floods all the way across to the corner directly in front of bank house on White Street. We have witnessed this flooding on numerous occasions since our ownership in 2005, and enclose photographic evidence from September 2008 to show the typical regular extent of the flooding. We believe an access road anywhere on this field would create a barrier to change the historic pattern of flooding; there would be a resulting unacceptable increased flood risk to properties on White Street, and also to the chalets and property on the bank of the river on the other side of the bridge, plus the B4391 itself. The B4391 itself regularly floods along the stretch where the proposed access road would meet it, as demonstrated by enclosed photographic evidence.

Also, to create a level junction to meet the B4391 the level of the proposed road will need to be raised above the level of the surrounding field at that end. This will have the effect of trapping floodwater into the corner of the field in front of White Street, thus preventing natural drainage (from what can already be a very boggy area). We strongly believe this will damage the retainer for White Street, White Street itself, and couldimpact the properties themselves.

- Your schedule of Proposed Focussed Changes Jan 2016 (Document no39 page 27) states "Any development that unacceptably increases risk "(of flooding)" will be refused.
- In the same document (page 128) you state a target of "no loss of flood plain". Clearly the photographs (enclosed) show that the floodplain would be lost with this development.
- Any proposed development across this field should be refused by your own criteria, as well as concerns from owners/residents regarding the obvious increased flood risk to existing properties.

Enclosed - 2 photos from Sept 2008

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	presentation No	·		·
Filtered to show: (al	l of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6401.F1	25/02/2016	Summary: Llangynog - FC110		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Representation Texts	Church and opposite the New Inn. The visabi houses would not create a significant increas	lity when exiting this junction is already extremel e of traffic at the junction. Consideration should by centre/shop plus St Melangells Church and ce	y alongside, which then joins the B4391 at the junction ry good in both directions - please come and see - and a be given to the fact that this junction already successfull entre. If there are any concerns about the junction, these	development of fewer y y serves a large number of
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation	•		
Representation Texts	Concerns the access to serve the allocated s	ite will increase flood risk elsewhere. Propose th	at we allocate the site for fewer dwellings and move the	access to the north.
Council Response	:			0

25/04/2016 Page 275 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.81 N	Newtown - FC75, FC76				
27 Clv	vyd Powys Archaeological Trust				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
27.F2	10/03/2016	Summary: Newtown - FC75			
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Wi	ritten	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1308//P48 HC10 1 Wesley	Place, Newtown		
	Map: P48I	H: Newtown- FC	Issue: 2015: De	eposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	of night club (suis generis) use to create 6	6.no residential units (Class C3) and	there are no archaeolo	site already benefits from planning permission gical requirements in connection with this plants at ground level and therefore is unlikely to ha	ning permission. Furthermore,
Council Response) :				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts	: The allocation lies within the defined core	of the historic settlement. Development	nent here may require p	orior archaeological intervention	
Council Response	Э :				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)			
Representation Texts	Note should be made of the above.				
Council Response	9:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	Request for it to be noted that this site lies	s within the defined core of thee histo	oric settlement and ther	efore that development may require prior archa	aeological intervention.
Council Response	e:				0

25/04/2016 Page 276 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

•	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	·				·	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ed Summary					
27.F3	10/03/2016	Summary: Newtown	- FC76				
Source: Email	Type: Comment		Mode Written	:	Status Maintained		
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1307//P48 HC8	Former Magistrates Cou	ırt and TA Building,			
	Map: P48	H: Newtown- FC	Issue:	2015: Deposit Draft-	11. Allocated Sites		
Question	Representation Texts						
Question:	Council Response						
Representation Texts:	These comments are noted. However, th flats and erection of a building for flats, a Clwyd-Powys Archaeological Trust.	ese comments do not append that a condition was atta	ear to be applicable giver ached to the permission t	n that the site already to secure a level 2 ar	benefits from planning permistichaeological study, which was	sion (P/2013/0891) for convers on the recommendation of the	ion
Council Response:	:						0
Question: 1	Representation Details						
Representation Texts:	The allocation lies within the defined core	e of the historic settlement.	Development here may	require prior archaed	ological intervention		
Council Response:	:						0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	e					
Representation Texts:	Note should be made of the above.						
Council Response:	:						0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation						
Representation Texts:	Request for it to be noted that this site lie	es within the defined core of	f thee historic settlement	and therefore that de	evelopment may require prior a	archaeological intervention.	
Council Response:	:						0

25/04/2016 Page 277 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Elicano de la abassa (11 0 0)

Filtered to sr	now: (all of) Stage=	F; Status=IVI				
5611	Re, Paolo		Agent:	Berrys		
Rep'n/Para/P	Policy AccessnNo L	DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
5611.F1		11/03/2016	Summary: Newtown - FC75	;		
Source: Em	nail	Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
			Additional mate	erial submitted		
Document:FC	C - Map Schedule of	Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 483/483/P48 MUA1 La	and at St Giles Golf Club	, Newtown	
		Map: P48H	H: Newtown- FC	Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	

Question Representation Texts **Council Response** Question: Representation Texts: Housing land provision

- 1) It is noted that the loss of the mixed use allocation P48 MUA1 proposed under FC74 leads to a reduction in allocated housing numbers in Newtown. However, it is considered that sufficient housing land remains available for within the settlement of Newtown to meet the future requirements of the town. Additional commitments (P48 HC8 and P48 HC10) have been identified. Furthermore, commitment P48 HC8 is currently under construction and is partially complete, and other commitments, including P48 HC2 and HC9, are also under construction or are completed or partially completed, for example P48 HC1, HC2 and HC3. There is therefore evidence of delivery on the ground in Newtown. There are also opportunities for infill within Newtown in the form of vacant plots and flat conversions.
- 2) In response to the comments relating to the deliverability of commitment P48 HC6, FC45 notes that an overflow car park has been built, however this is not considered to preclude the development of the approved affordable housing scheme at this site, which could proceed under the 1997 permission. The overflow car park has not been constructed to serve the new Pentecostal Church currently being built, it was constructed in 2009 and before the redevelopment plans for the Church which were approved in 2014. This overflow car park is not relied on in terms of the parking needs of the Church and is not conditioned as such as part of planning permission P/2013/0791 for redevelopment of the site. The Officer's report for planning application P/2009/1181 which sought retrospective planning permission states that the owner intends to construct remaining dwellings when the market picks up (following economic recovery from recession). The Council continues to consider that the scheme can be delivered and that it should be allocated in the Plan.
- 3) In response to the comments relating to the deliverability of allocation P48 HA4 (previously P48 HC7), planning permission has lapsed on this site as a result of the Council's refusal to extend the time limit for submission of reserved matters on the grounds of insufficient information in relation to bats and dormice. Further survey work in respect of dormice and bats has been submitted by the developer as part of the LDP process and the County Ecologist has considered this information and has not raised any fundamental objections. Whilst the site may have not have been delivered under the previous plan, and the fact that the proposer has submitted further ecological information to address the objection, demonstrates an intention to develop the site. There are no specific constraints to the deliverability of this site and therefore the Council considers that it should continue to be allocated in the Plan.
- 4) In response to the request for the allocation of additional housing land to west of Newtown, the Council does not consider this necessary due to the reasons given in point 1 above. Candidate Site 660 was assessed during the candidate site process and re-considered in response to the representation made during the Deposit Draft 2015 consultation. The Council does not consider it appropriate to allocate this site for the same reasons as provided in response to the representation made at deposit stage, namely that there is sufficient land available within the settlement to meet future requirements of the town; the detachment of the site from the town, encroachment on open countryside; and potential impact on the setting of the listed buildings at Glanhafren Hall. Although works have recently commenced on the by-pass, such works are not expected to be completed until 2018 and further details have not been provided as to the proposed access arrangements to the site.

Employment land provision

25/04/2016 Page 278 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No						
Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M						
Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLoo	dgd Late? Status Modified S	Summary				
5611.F1 11/03	/2016	Summary: Newtown - FC75				
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained			

- 5) The Council disagrees with the representor's supporting statement that the commissioned "Powys Employment Needs Assessment Position Statement January 2016" was a cursory review. The Position Statement identified and assessed a full range of sites and existing premises available within the Newtown area which support the wider Severn Valley & North region, this region accounting for over half of the employment land available allocated in the Plan. The Plan therefore provides sufficient land and opportunity to ensure choice and flexibility is available across the full spectrum of categories of employment uses.
- 6) Additional evidence has been provided indicating the currently allocated employment site at Llanidloes Road within Newtown can be delivered as a phased development and additional land beyond that currently allocated could become available. The proposed development of new units at Abermule Business Park further indicates appropriate, modern business premises in the Newtown area will be delivered during the Plan period and thus with sufficient land available to meet demand, no additional employment land sites are required.
- 7) Candidate Site 660 was assessed during the candidate site process and re-considered in response to the representation made during the Deposit Draft 2015 consultation. However, the site fails to meet the sequential test for sites for economic development as specified in TAN23 and the issues originally identified in the site Status Report remain with no new evidence provided. Therefore the Council cannot recommend the allocation of this site within the LDP.

Conclusion

In view of the above, the Council does not agree with the Representor in that it is not considered to be necessary to include further housing or employment land allocations in Newtown to replace the loss of the previously proposed allocation P48 MUA1.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Objection to the reduction in housing and employment land provision within the settlement of Newtown as a result of the proposed deletion of mixed use allocation P48 MUA1.

It is accepted that there are issues associated with P48 MUA1 which indicate that the allocation is not deliverable within the Plan period and should not therefore be allocated. However, the proposed removal of this allocation as part of the focussed changes significantly reduces the amount of housing and employment land allocated within Newtown as sufficient alternative land has not been provided.

The representation is detailed fully within the attached supporting statement entitled: "Objection to proposed focussed change FC74 (P48 MUA1) (and consequential focussed changes) that proposes a reduction in the employment and housing allocations proposed in Newtown".

Accompanied by a supporting statement and it is noted to include reference to several other focussed changes in the Plan - FC2, FC7, FC10, FC11, FC17, FC19, FC22 and FC45. Reference is made to two other allocated sites in Newtown (P48 HC6 and P48 HA4) and doubts over the deliverability of these. It considers that suitable land is available elsewhere to meet this need, specifically to the west of Newtown and reference to a specific site (Candidate site 660).

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

25/04/2016 Page 279 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses **Powys County Council Local Development Plan** by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 5611.F1 Summary: Newtown - FC75 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained As detailed within the attached document, the plan should include further employment and housing land allocations within the settlement of Newtown to replace those lost as a result of the focussed changes. Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: The opportunity to speak is requested in order that this representation and therefore the appropriateness of the way in which the Plan addresses the issues and opportunities in Newtown, can be more fully explored. This will also afford the objector the opportunity to hear and, where necessary, respond to counter arguments. Council Response: 0

Objects as the plan should include further employment and housing land allocations within the settlement of Newtown to replace those lost as a result of the focussed changes.

0

Question: 4

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Summary of Representation

25/04/2016 Page 280 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	(** * / * *****************************				
6424	Bebb, Mr M		Agent:	Berrys	
Rep'n/Para/Polic	cy AccessnNo DateLodgd L	ate? Status Modified	Summary		
6424.F1	11/03/2016		Summary: Newtown - FC75		
Source: Email		Type: Objection	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained
Document:FC - I	Map Schedule of Focussed Cha	anges Jan 2016	Site: 483/483/P48 MUA1 La	nd at St Giles Golf Clul	o, Newtown
		Map: P48H:	Newtown- FC	Issue: 2015	Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

 Question
 Representation Texts

 Question:
 Council Response

 Representation Texts:
 Housing land provision

- 1) It is noted that the loss of the mixed use allocation P48 MUA1 proposed under FC74 leads to a reduction in allocated housing numbers in Newtown. However, it is considered that sufficient housing land remains available for within the settlement of Newtown to meet the future requirements of the town. Additional commitments (P48 HC8 and P48 HC10) have been identified. Furthermore, commitment P48 HC8 is currently under construction and is partially complete, and other commitments, including P48 HC2 and HC9, are also under construction or are completed or partially completed, for example P48 HC1, HC2 and HC3. There is therefore evidence of delivery on the ground in Newtown. There are also opportunities for infill within Newtown in the form of vacant plots and flat conversions.
- 2) In response to the comments relating to the deliverability of commitment P48 HC6, FC45 notes that an overflow car park has been built, however this is not considered to preclude the development of the approved affordable housing scheme at this site, which could proceed under the 1997 permission. The overflow car park has not been constructed to serve the new Pentecostal Church currently being built, it was constructed in 2009 and before the redevelopment plans for the Church which were approved in 2014. This overflow car park is not relied on in terms of the parking needs of the Church and is not conditioned as such as part of planning permission P/2013/0791 for redevelopment of the site. The Officer's report for planning application P/2009/1181 which sought retrospective planning permission states that the owner intends to construct remaining dwellings when the market picks up (following economic recovery from recession). The Council continues to consider that the scheme can be delivered and that it should be allocated in the Plan.
- 3) In response to the comments relating to the deliverability of allocation P48 HA4 (previously P48 HC7), planning permission has lapsed on this site as a result of the Council's refusal to extend the time limit for submission of reserved matters on the grounds of insufficient information in relation to bats and dormice. Further survey work in respect of dormice and bats has been submitted by the developer as part of the LDP process and the County Ecologist has considered this information and has not raised any fundamental objections. Whilst the site may have not have been delivered under the previous plan, and the fact that the proposer has submitted further ecological information to address the objection, demonstrates an intention to develop the site. There are no specific constraints to the deliverability of this site and therefore the Council considers that it should continue to be allocated in the Plan.
- 4) In response to the request for the allocation of additional housing land to west of Newtown, the Council does not consider this necessary due to the reasons given in point 1 above. Candidate Site 660 was assessed during the candidate site process and re-considered in response to the representation made during the Deposit Draft 2015 consultation. The Council does not consider it appropriate to allocate this site for the same reasons as provided in response to the representation made at deposit stage, namely that there is sufficient land available within the settlement to meet future requirements of the town; the detachment of the site from the town, encroachment on open countryside; and potential impact on the setting of the listed buildings at Glanhafren Hall. Although works have recently commenced on the by-pass, such works are not expected to be completed until 2018 and further details have not been provided as to the proposed access arrangements to the site.

Employment land provision

5) The Council disagrees with the representor's supporting statement that the commissioned "Powys Employment Needs Assessment – Position Statement January 2016" was a cursory review. The Position Statement identified and assessed a full range of sites and existing premises available within the Newtown area which support the wider Severn

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6424.F1 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Newtown - FC75

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Valley & North region, this region accounting for over half of the employment land available allocated in the Plan. The Plan therefore provides sufficient land and opportunity to ensure choice and flexibility is available across the full spectrum of categories of employment uses.

6) Additional evidence has been provided indicating the currently allocated employment site at Llanidloes Road within Newtown can be delivered as a phased development and additional land beyond that currently allocated could become available. The proposed development of new units at Abermule Business Park further indicates appropriate, modern business premises in the Newtown area will be delivered during the Plan period and thus with sufficient land available to meet demand, no additional employment land sites are required.

7) Candidate Site 660 was assessed during the candidate site process and re-considered in response to the representation made during the Deposit Draft 2015 consultation. However, the site fails to meet the sequential test for sites for economic development as specified in TAN23 and the issues originally identified in the site Status Report remain with no new evidence provided. Therefore the Council cannot recommend the allocation of this site within the LDP.

Conclusion

In view of the above, the Council does not agree with the Representor in that it is not considered to be necessary to include further housing or employment land allocations in Newtown to replace the loss of the previously proposed allocation P48 MUA1.

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Objection to the reduction in housing and employment land provision within the settlement of Newtown as a result of the proposed deletion of mixed use allocation P48 MUA1.

It is accepted that there are issues associated with P48 MUA1 which indicate that the allocation is not deliverable within the Plan period and should not therefore be allocated. However, the proposed removal of this allocation as part of the focussed changes significantly reduces the amount of housing and employment land allocated within Newtown as sufficient alternative land has not been provided.

The representation is detailed fully within the attached supporting statement entitled: "Objection to proposed focussed change FC74 (P48 MUA1) (and consequential focussed changes) that proposes a reduction in the employment and housing allocations proposed in Newtown".

Accompanied by a supporting statement and it is noted to include reference to several other focussed changes in the Plan - FC2, FC7, FC10, FC11, FC17, FC19, FC22 and FC45. Reference is made to two other allocated sites in Newtown (P48 HC6 and P48 HA4) and doubts over the deliverability of these. It considers that suitable land is available elsewhere to meet this need, specifically to the west of Newtown and reference to a specific site (Candidate site 660).

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: As detailed within the attached document, the plan should include further employment and

housing land allocations within the settlement of Newtown to replace those lost as a result

25/04/2016 Page 282 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 11/03/2016 6424.F1 Summary: Newtown - FC75 Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained of the focussed changes. Council Response: 0 Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing Representation Texts: The opportunity to speak is requested in order that this representation and therefore the appropriateness of the way in which the Plan addresses the issues and opportunities in Newtown, can be more fully explored. This will also afford the objector the opportunity to hear and, where necessary, respond to counter arguments. Council Response: 0

Objects as the plan should include further employment and housing land allocations within the settlement of Newtown to replace those lost as a result of the focussed changes.

0

Question: 4

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Summary of Representation

25/04/2016 Page 283 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

1792	ALERT Activities Ltd	d.	Agent:	Tim Roberts Planning		
Rep'n/Para/Poli	icy AccessnNo DateLodgd	Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
1792.F1	24/02/20	16 🗌	Summary: Supports FC83 -	HA9		
Source: Post	or in person	Type: Support	Mode	Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC -	Map Schedule of Focussed	Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Per	nrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais		
		Map: P58l	E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit D	Oraft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Text	S				
Question:	Council Response					
Representation	Texts: Support for FC83	is noted.				
Council Res	sponse:					0
Question: 1	Representation Det	ails				
Representation	Texts: I support Focusse	d change HA9 as the s	ite is ideally located for housing ar	nd has no constraints. It has easy	access to the Swansea and Brecon Road A4067, approx. 150m.	
Council Res	sponse:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to	the Focussed Change	e			
Representation	Texts: None.					
Council Res	sponse:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Repres	sentation				
Representation	Texts: Supports FC83 - I	P58 HA9.				
Council Res	enonse:					0

25/04/2016 Page 284 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4395 A.L.E.R.T Activities Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary				
4395.F1	23/02/2016	Summary: S	Supports FC83 - P58H	49.		
Source: Post or in p	erson Typ	pe: Support	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map §	Schedule of Focussed Change	es Jan 2016				
		Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais A	rea- FC	Issue: 2015: Depo	sit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts:	Support for FC83 is noted	d.				
Council Response	:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details					
Representation Texts:	I fully support the planning	g teams schedule of focussed cha	anges particularly FC I	HA9 due to its ideal loca	ation.	
Council Response	:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Foo	cussed Change				
Representation Texts:	: None.					
Council Response	:					0
Question: 3	Reason for request to spea	ak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	Suitability of the site and i	its merits.				
Council Response	:					0

25/04/2016 Page 285 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

4786 Powys County Council, Highways Transport and Re

Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary				
4786.F5	11/03/2016	11/03/2016 Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC84 - P58 HA11 Penrhos School Extension				
Source:	Type: Comment	Mode	Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Map \$	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Map: P58	Site: 1311//P58 HA11 Penrho		n, Ystradgynlais 015: Deposit Draft-11. Allo	ocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts		-			-
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts	Your comments are noted. Previous commented the requirement in the plans that the Court			en made with regards the	means of access. The proposer is very happy to	act upon
Council Response): :					0
Question: 1	Representation Details					
Representation Texts	The only means of access to this site will	be through the former Penrhos Sc	hool Site. It will be	essential that a holistic a	pproach to the entire allocations from the class I	I road.
Council Response	2:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	•				
Representation Texts	Add a additional note to the Issues/Infrast	ructure column making clear the n	eed to effectively o	combine both sites [P58 H	HA3 & P58 HA11] when considering access and	layout.
Council Response	: :					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Texts	Emphasising the need to incorporate ade	quate access arrangements for P5	8 HA11 when drav	ving up plans for access t	o P58 HA3.	
Council Response	e:					0

25/04/2016 Page 286 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5408	Roberts.	Mr	Daniel
------	----------	----	---------------

3400 1000	erts, wir Darner			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modi	fied Summary		
5408.F1	26/02/2016	Summary: Support FC83 - P58HA9		
Source: Post or in pe	rson Type: Support	Mode Written	Status M	Maintained
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Far	m, Ystradgynlais	
	Map: P5	8E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Alloca	ited Sites
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Support for FC83 is noted.			
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	We need housing in the area. It's a good	d site for it. The land isnt being used.		
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Supports FC83 - P58HA9.			
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 287 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5530 Ashby, Tracy

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 08/03/2016 5530.F1 Summary: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 Type: Support Source: Post or in person Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I support this land being in the LDP. There is a lack of housing in this area. I believe that we need to attract more people to our area with skills we do not have locally and also keep families in the area who are currently struggling to find housing. Council Response: 0 **Summary of Representation** Question: 4 Representation Texts: Support FC83 - P58HA9. 0 Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 288 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

5706 Blet	tchley Park Developments Ltd	Agent:	Tim Roberts Plann	ing	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
5706.F1	18/02/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Ar	ea - FC83 support for P58 H	IA9	
Source:	Type: Support	Mode	Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Pe	, 0,		
	Map: P58I	E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Dep	posit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Support for site P58 HA9 is noted. Thank	you.			
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	community in a part of PCC where people	e want to live. The site comprises ional uses. There are no ecologic	very poor quality agricultura al, archaeological or heritag	feasible for development, so as to create and supportal land which is currently grazed by horses and, in any le constraints to development, and the site is well defined by.	event, it benefits from
	This site at Penrhos Farm (referenced HA	A9) is 'available', 'deliverable' and	'viable'.		
Council Response:					0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	9			
Representation Texts:	N/A				
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing				
Representation Texts:	[None given]				
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Support for the inclusion of P58 HA9 as a	Focussed Change			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 289 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6196 CME Developments Ltd Agent: Asbri Planning Ltd

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6196.F2 11/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45

Source: Email Type: Objection Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1310/851/P58 HA10 Brynygoes, Ystradgynlais

Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: The Council disagrees with the Representation. Based on current Allocations in the Ystradgynlais area there is already enough provision for housing. The situation at Jeffrey's

Arms is still being determined, but the Council feels that even if it were to be withdrawn (and it is by no means certain that it would be) there would still be adequate provision in

the area. There is also felt to be considerable local resistance to the idea of further development at Brynygroes at this time.

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

A separate Form [F3] refers to support for the proposed Focussed Change to allocate land at Brynygroes Farm, Ystradgynlais under Policy – HA 10 and Appendix 1. This follows a resolution to grant outline planning permission - Ref P/2014/1133.

It is considered however, that by not including the larger area, represented by Candidate Site 852, to the north of the proposed allocation (site subject to the planning application – P/2014/1133), an opportunity has been missed. The inclusion of additional land for development would allow for further phased development later in the plan period, which would make efficient use of infrastructure provision on the allocated site, and would create a more comprehensive form of development.

It is noted that Powys planning officers' comments in previous Site Status Reports, when considering the Candidate Site, accepted that the 'opportunity exists to create a larger development'. This was in a climate where the UDP allocation B31 HA1was not proposed to be brought forward. However, now in confirming the principle of development at this location in the LDP, as well as the UDP, the reasons for rejecting the additional land have significantly diminished, particularly as the Sustainability Appraisal prepared to support Deposit Plan representations showed similar results to the allocated site.

It is considered that if the scheme for 50 dwellings were reduced to some 18 units, any remaining concerns regarding landscape impact would be minimised, as it would allow for the retention of more amenity land, together with existing trees and woodland which could be reinforced by additional planting.

It is noted that the proposed Focussed Changes involve the reconsideration of several site allocations, particularly in the Ystradgynlais area. One such site has remained unchanged – HC1-P58, Land to the Rear of the Jeffrey Arms, Brecon Road, which allocates land for 18 dwellings.

The scheme, however, is dependent on the demolition of the public house. The site has now been acquired by a new owner who is currently renovating the existing building with a view to converting it to residential use. As such the allocation is no longer deliverable, as development of the land to the rear is dependent on the demolition of the building. The inclusion of the additional land for some 18 dwellings at Brynygroes would therefore provide a direct replacement for a site which is no longer realistic or appropriate as a housing land allocation.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Allocation of additional land for a further 18 dwellings on land to the north of proposed Focussed Changes Housing Land Allocation HA 10 – Land at Brynygroes Farm,

Ystradgynlais.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 290 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		and an obtained thought independent	. c,c cca, ccac.	
by:	Representation No			
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary		
6196.F2	11/03/2016	Summary: Appendix 1 – Settlement Allocations - FC45		
Source: Email	Type: Objection	Mode Oral (Examination)	Status Maintained	
Question: 3	Reason for request to speak at hearing			
Representation Te	exts: In order to present evidence before the I	nspector		
Council Respo	onse:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Te	exts: Objecting to the omission of land north of	f Brynygroes (CS852)		
Council Respo	onse:			0

25/04/2016 Page 291 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6205 Thomas, Mr Edgar

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

6205.F1 10/03/2016 ☐ Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC84 P58 HA11

Source: Email Type: Support Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained

Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1311//P58 HA11 Penrhos School Extension, Ystradgynlais

Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites

Question Representation Texts

Question: Council Response

Representation Texts: Your support for FC84 (P58 HA11) is noted. Thank you.

Council Response:

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

The focused change in respect of the Penrhos school extension (P58 HA3)[and HA11] is wholly supported where subject to its final adoption will allow the opportunity to create a comprehensive scheme to maximise the original allocation.

Following the purchase of the site in early 2015 it was the parties' intention to develop a residential scheme within the development limits of the existing UDP which surrounded the former school (initial scheme). It was on that basis that a number of key milestones had been progressed in seeking to develop the scheme further, where the demolition of the school had taken place together with a number of additional ecological and infrastructure surveys. In addition to this, pre-application consultation had also taken place to understand the associated requirements for submission and likely section 106 contributions that would be needed to support a planning application where the finalisation of plans towards a submission were in the process of being completed.

However, since the announcement of the focussed change FC84 in January there has been the need to re-evaluate the initial scheme given this area would form the entrance to the entire allocation. In addition to this there would also be the need to accommodate further associated infrastructure and hence there is the new commitment (subject to the adoption of the focussed change) to develop through further negations a comprehensive masterplan to realise the sites full potential and contribute to the overall aims and objectives of the plan.

Council Response: 0

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Continued support for the sites final adoption within the LDP.

Council Response:

Question: 3 Reason for request to speak at hearing

Representation Texts: To provide an update in relation to the status of the overall scheme together with indicative masterplans to support the inclusion of the site.

Council Response:

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Supporting FC84 P58 HA11 (and P58 HA3) together

25/04/2016 Page 292 of 317

Consultation Report Appendix 4: FC Representations & Council Responses by: Representation No Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 6205.F1 10/03/2016 Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC84 P58 HA11 Source: Email Type: Support Mode Oral (Examination) Status Maintained Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 293 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

6281 Ystradgynlais Town Council (Abercrave Ward)

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo	DateLodgd Late? St	tatus Modified Summary			
6281.F1	02/03/2016	Summary: Ystradg	ynlais Area - FC83 P58	B HA9	
Source: Post or in person	Туре:	Objection	Mode Written	Status	Maintained
Document:FC - Map Schedule	of Focussed Changes Ja	an 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58	HA9 Penrhos Farm, Y	′stradgynlais	
		Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- F	C Iss	sue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Alloc	ocated Sites

Question Representation Texts **Council Response** Question:

Representation Texts:

Your representations are noted, however the Council disagrees with your comments and no new evidence has been presented. As with many sites in the Ystradgynlais area previous mine workings and, in this case an old brick works, need to be surveyed and, if necessary, mitigated against. Not all of the site will be developed in order to maintain the green wedge between the communities, an ecological survey will also be required and large parts of the site will remain as woodland to accommodate the badger sett as well as Otters and Bats that may also use the site. Issues with access to the Highway is already known about and an area of the site has been earmarked to create adequate access. No issues have been identified concerning land drainage, water supply or foul flows from the site.

Therefore the Council does not agree with your request to remove the site from the Plan.

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

Council Response:

Council Response:

The original planning application was for a bicycle track and cycling centre. The site is full of old mine workings, with no maps to show where they are. The site is seriously contaminated from these old workings.

There is a live badger sett and this must not be disturbed. Over the years, the site has become naturalised, with its own flora and fauna. It should remain undisturbed as a site of natural habitat.

It acts as a green 'wedge' separating Caerbont from Penrhos.

It provides a natural soakaway for rainwater. Filled with housing and concrete/tarmac, this rainwater would drain out onto the road, causing problems, flooding lower down in Caerbont.

There would be extra traffic on the highway - possibly 200 cars. Cars leaving the site would have a dangerous right turning to travel down the hill. Cars attempting to enter the site from Penrhos would have a dangerous right turn into the site.

Water supply and sewerage systems could be difficult. The site is now saturated with water coming from the Nant Helen Opencast Site.

Question: 2

Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Removal of this site from the Plan.

Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 294 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

		•	•	•	•
by:	Representation No				
Filtered to show:	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy	/ AccessnNo DateLodgd L	Late? Status Modified	Summary		
6281.F1	02/03/2016		Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC83 P58 HA9		
Source: Post or	in person	Type: Objection	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question: 4	Summary of Represen	ntation			
Representation Te	exts: Objection to FC83 Pt	59 HA3 Penrhos Farm,	Ystradgynlais		
Council Respo	onse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 295 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (a	II of) Stage=F; Status=M				
6315 Nat	tural Resources Wales				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary			
6315.F12	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC	83 P58 HA9 Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Writter	1	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map 9	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Map: P58B	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos F E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	arm, Ystradgynlais Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-	11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	S: Your comment is noted. The BBNPA have	e been consulted with regard to all of the	LDP Allocations and any Repr	esentations concerning them have been considered.	
Council Response	e:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts	, O,	om the Brecon Beacon National Park. W	e would advise that you consul	t the Brecon Beacons National Park with regards to the intenti	on
Council Response	e:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change)			
Representation Texts	s: N/A				
Council Response	9:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	c: Comment regarding proximity of P58 HAS	to BBNP Boundary			
Council Response	Э :				0
Dan'n/Dara/Daliay As	annountly Datal adad Lata? Status Madific	ad Cumman			
	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie		07. DE0 11440 October 1		
6315.F13	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC	87, P58 HA10 Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Writte	1	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1310/851/P58 HA10 Brynygoe	s, Ystradgynlais		
	Map: P588	E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-	11. Allocated Sites	

25/04/2016 Page 296 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	h		,	
•	epresentation No			
	II of) Stage=F; Status=M			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
6315.F13	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC87, P58 HA	0 Comment	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts	Your comment is noted. The BBNPA has	been consulted about all of the Allocations and any	Representations received have been considered.	
Council Response): :			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts	It is noted that there is an existing commit		ise that you consult the Brecon Beacons National Park with	h regards to the intention
Council Response	: :			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change	•		
Representation Texts	: N/A			
Council Response):			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts	: Comment re the need to consult with BBN	NP re Allocation		
Council Response	9:			0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ad	ccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	ed Summary		
6315.F14	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC84, P58 HA	11 Comment	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map (Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Map: P58	Site: 1311//P58 HA11 Penrhos School Extension E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2	on, Ystradgynlais 015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	

25/04/2016 Page 297 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	,			,	
	epresentation No				
rillered to snow. (a	l of) Stage=F; Status=M				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary			
6315.F14	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC84, P	58 HA11 Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts	Your comment is noted. The BBNPA has t	been consulted about all of the Allocations an	nd any Representations received I	nave been considered.	
Council Response	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts		radgynlais om the Brecon Beacon National Park. We wo	uld advise that you consult the Br	econ Beacons National Park with rega	ards to the intention
Council Response	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Change				
Representation Texts	: N/A				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts	: Comment re the need to consult BBNP re	this Allocation.			
Council Response	:				0
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifie	d Summary			
6315.F15	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC85, P	58 HA12 Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1312//P58 HA12 Cynlais Playing Fi	elds, Ystradgynlais		
	Map: P58E	:: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	ssue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allo	cated Sites	

25/04/2016 Page 298 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

0

0

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F: Status=M

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary

11/03/2016 6315.F15 Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC85, P58 HA12 Comment

Source: Email Type: Comment Mode Written Status Maintained

Representation Texts Question Question: **Council Response**

Representation Texts: Your representation is noted. The BBNPA has been consulted about all of the Allocations and any Representations received have been considered.

Your comments with regards the site and the following text is proposed for inclusion in the Issues/Infrastructure column of Appendix 1 for site P58 HA12 for consideration by the

Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:

Re Site P58 HA12:

"Requires remodelling of layby exit to form a satisfactory access to the site. Site is recorded as being crossed by a main sewer. Part of site within TAN15 C2 flood zone and a larger extent within Zone B. Flood Consequences Assessment required including testing potential blocking of adjacent bridge together with climate change hydrology. Certain works will require consent from the appropriate regulatory bodies as site adjacent to a main river. Further assessments on Contaminated Land. Drainage and Ecology required."

Council Response: 0

Question: 1 Representation Details

Representation Texts:

P58 HA12 Cynlais Playing Fields, Ystradgynlais

The site is located directly adjacent the boundaries of the Brecon Beacon National Park. We would advise that you consult the Brecon Beacons National Park with regards to the

intention to allocate this site within the LDP. We have records of a main sewer crossing the site and would therefore encourage consultation with Dwr Cymru.

NRW holds good hydraulic modelling in this particular location for the Tawe.

A small part of the site lies within Zone C2 as defined by the development advice maps referred to under Tan15 Development and Flood Risk (July 2004). Our flood maps confirms that a larger extent of the site lies within Zone B.

We would recommend that any formal planning application is supported by a FCA to ensure that flows within our hydraulic model are as up to date as possible and that a blockage of the adjacent bridge be tested together with climate change hydrology.

We note that the River Tawe runs adjacent the site which is a main river. Under the Water Resources Act 1991, and the Land Drainage Act 1991, certain works require the prior consent of Natural Resources Wales (NRW). In particular, consent will be required for any proposed works or structures in, under, over or within 7metres of the top of the bank of a main river. However on 5th April 2016 the relevant Sections within these Acts will be superseded by The Environmental Permitting (England and Wales) (Amendment) Regulations 2016, specifically, Schedule 23ZA. The new Regulations will require that any "flood risk activity" as indicated above will require a Permit if proposed within a buffer of either 8m or 16m of a main river. It is emphasised that NRW resists culverting on conservation and other grounds, and consents for such works will not normally be granted

except for access crossings.

Council Response:

Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change

Representation Texts: Edit Appendix 1 to reflect comments above.

Council Response:

Question: 4 **Summary of Representation**

25/04/2016 Page 299 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

bv:	Representation No		•	•	•	•
•	(all of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? S	Status Modified Sun	nmary			
6315.F15	11/03/2016	S	ummary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC85, P58 HA12 Comment			
Source: Email	Туре:	Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained		
Representation Te	xts: Comment re the need to cor	nsult with BBNP re th	is Allocation			
Council Respon	nse:					0

25/04/2016 Page 300 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6348	Dwr	Cymru	Welsh	Water

	•			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Sum	nmary		
6348.F4	11/03/2016 Su	ımmary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC83 - Commen	t re P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm	
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map Se	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site Map: P58E: Ystra	e: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgy dgynlais Area- FC Issue: 201	nlais 5: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:	Your comments are noted. Thank you. The Coun Inspector as a Matters Arising Change:	cil proposes the following revision to the Issues	s/Infrastructure column of Appendix 1 for site P58 HA9 for consideration by the	е
	and open space. Due to the amount of proposed development, and the water supply network to establish any improve	d the close proximity of sites, it may be necess ements required to serve the sites with an adea WwTW) has limited capacity. Should potential of	zone of existing minerals extraction permission. 0.52ha for access, landscapin ary for developers to fund the undertaking of a hydraulic modelling assessmer quate water supply. developers wish to commence in advance of the AMP6 scheme then financial	nt of
Council Response:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	of the water supply network to establish any impr Our local sewerage network can accommodate sewer requisition scheme under Sections 98-101 Ystradgynlais Wastewater Treatment Works (v	and the close proximity of sites, it may be nece overnents required to serve the sites with an act foul flows from the proposed development site of the Water Industry Act 1991. WWTW) has limited capacity and our current catify at Ystradgynlais WwTW. Should potential defined the control of the water Industry Act 1991.	e however off site sewers may be required. These can be provided through the pital investment programme, Asset Management Plan 6 (AMP6), for the perion evelopers wish to commence in advance of the AMP6 scheme then financial	e
Council Response:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Comments regarding Site P58 HA9. As such the	re is no new evidence presented that effects the	e Allocation	
Council Response:				0

25/04/2016 Page 301 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

,	presentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M					
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modit	ied Summary				
6348.F5	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Ar	ea - FC84 - Comment r	re P58 HA11 Penrhos School Extension		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode	Written	Status Maintained		
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1311//P58 HA11 Penri	nos School Extension, \	Ystradgynlais		
	Map: P58	BE: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015	: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites		
Question	Representation Texts					
Question:	Council Response					
Representation Texts:	Thank you for your representation. You 1 for site P58 HA11 for consideration by			ing text is proposed for inclusion in the Issues/Infras	structure column of Appendix	
	"Ystradgynlais Wastewater Treatment W contributions from developers are require	,	•	elopers wish to commence in advance of the AMP6	scheme then financial	
Council Response:					0	
Question: 1	Representation Details		-			
Representation Texts:	HA11 Penrhos School Due to the amount of proposed develor of the water supply network to establish Our local sewerage network can acco Potential developers need to be aware which may impact upon the density achi Ystradgynlais Wastewater Treatment	any improvements required to ser mmodate foul flows from the properthat the site is crossed by a sewel evable on site. Works (WwTW) has limited capacite capacity at Ystradgynlais WwT\	ve the sites with an ade osed development site. er and protection measuity and our current capi W. Should potential dev	ures in the form of easement widths or a diversion of ital investment programme, Asset Management Pla relopers wish to commence in advance of the AMP6	f the pipe would be required, n 6 (AMP6), for the period	
Council Response:					0	
Question: 4	Summary of Representation					
Representation Texts:	Comment re FC84 P58 HA11 Penrhos S	School Extension - as such there is	s no new evidence that	effects the Allocation		
Council Response:					0	
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modit	ied Summary				
6348.F6	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Ar	ea - FC85 P58 HA12 C	ynlais Playing Field Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode	Written	Status Maintained		

25/04/2016 Page 302 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

	oresentation No of) Stage=F; Status=M	·		• •	·
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? S	tatus Modified Summary			
6348.F6	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradg	ynlais Area - FC85 P58 HA	12 Cynlais Playing Field Comment	
Source: Email	Туре:	Comment	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map So	chedule of Focussed Changes Ja	an 2016 Site: 1312//P58 HA1 Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- F	12 Cynlais Playing Fields, N C Issue: 2	r⁄stradgynlais 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:		ation. Your comments with regards deration by the Inspector as a Matter		ollowing text is proposed for inclusion in the Issues/Infrastructure of	olumn of Appendix
Council Response:	larger extent within Zone B. F works will require consent from Potential developers need to which may impact upon the d Ystradgynlais Wastewater Tra- from developers are required	Tood Consequences Assessment re m the appropriate regulatory bodies be aware that the site is crossed by lensity achievable on site.	quired including testing pote as site adjacent to a main ri a sewer and protection mea . Should potential develope	as being crossed by a main sewer. Part of site within TAN15 C2 flo ential blocking of adjacent bridge together with climate change hydrover. Further assessments on Contaminated Land, Drainage and E asures in the form of easement widths or a diversion of the pipe wors wish to commence in advance of the AMP6 scheme then financial	rology. Certain Ecology required. ould be required,
·					
Question: 1 Representation Texts:	HA12 Cynlais Playing Fields A water supply can be prov Our local sewerage networl Potential developers need the which may impact upon the description of the descr	k can accommodate foul flows from to be aware that the site is crossed b lensity achievable on site. Treatment Works (WwTW) has limit e to increase capacity at Ystradgynla	oy a sewer and protection m ed capacity and our current ais WwTW. Should potential	site. leasures in the form of easement widths or a diversion of the pipe of capital investment programme, Asset Management Plan 6 (AMP6) I developers wish to commence in advance of the AMP6 scheme the forming the found of the Town & Country Planning Act 1990.	6), for the period
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Comment re FC85 P58 HA12	2 Cynlais Playing Field, As such there	e is no new evidence to effe	ct the Allocation	
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 303 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by:	Representation No (all of) Stage=F; Status=M			,	
Rep'n/Para/Policy	AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modifi	ied Summary			
6348.F7	11/03/2016	Summary: Ystradgynlais Area - FC8	7 P58 HA10 Brynygroes - Comment		
Source: Email	Type: Comment	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Ma	ap Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1310/851/P58 HA10 Brynygoes	, Ystradgynlais		
	Map: P58	E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allo	cated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Te	exts: Your comments are noted. Thank you.				
Council Respon	nse:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Te			elsh Water have provided our represe	entations to Powys Council as part of the consultation	
Council Respor	nse:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Te	xts: Comment re FC87 P58 HA10 Brynygroe	s. As such there is no new evidence effecti	ng the Allocation		
Council Respor	nse:				0

25/04/2016 Page 304 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

Roderick, Susan 6396

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 26/02/2016 Summary: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 6396.F1 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I support focussed change HA9. It has better road access and is not on a floodplain. It will not inconvenience anyone and has a good outlook. It has advantages over the other candidate sites. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 0 Council Response:

25/04/2016 Page 305 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

0337	erick, Lillina				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modif	ied Summary			
6397.F1	26/02/2016	Summary: FC83 - P58HA9			
Source: Post or in pe	rson Type: Support	Mode Written	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016	Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Fa	arm, Ystradgynlais		
	Map: P58	BE: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Alloc	cated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Support for FC83 is noted.				
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	I think this is a really ggod for the school	and desperate need of houses in this area	a and affordable ones to.		
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Supports FC83 - P58HA9				
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 306 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6398	Wales	Ape and	Monkey	Sanctuary	y Ltd

, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	so ripo ana monito, canotaan	<i>y</i> =:u			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status N	Modified Summary			
6398.F1	24/02/2016	Summary: Supports FC83 - I	P58HA9		
Source: Post or in pe	rson Type: Suppo	ort Mode	Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 201	6 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Pen	rhos Farr	rm, Ystradgynlais	
	Мар	: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC		Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Support for FC83 is noted.				
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:				a. The location is suitable for a number of reasons, aside from the obvious real need for ry good visibility, it is not a flood plain, the site will have little or no effect on existing prop	erties.
	In my opinion, to refuse to support t economy.	this is akin to negligence. We need to ke	ep young	ng people in this area and encourge a vibrant community, with good facilities and a thriving	ıg
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Supports FC83 - P58HA9				
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 307 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	,,,				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Stati	us Modified Summary			
6399.F1	23/02/2016	Summary: Support FC83 - P	58HA9		
Source: Post or in pe	erson Type: Su	pport Mode	Status	Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan	2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Pen	rhos Farm, Ystradgynlais		
	N	lap: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allo	cated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Support for FC83 is noted.				
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	The location of site HA9 means	it will not impact on the infrastrcuture of Yst	radgynlais.		
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed	l Change			
Representation Texts:	No changes necessary.				
Council Response:	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Supports FC83 - P58HA9.				
Council Response:	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 308 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6400 Lewis, Jason

0400 201	110, 000011				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Ac	cessnNo DateLodgd Late?	Status Modified Summary			
6400.F1	23/02/2016	Summary: Suppo	rt FC83 - P58HA9		
Source: Post or in p	erson Type	e: Support	Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	Schedule of Focussed Changes	s Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58	HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgyr	nlais	
		Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area-	FC Issue: 2015	5: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	: Support for FC83 is noted.				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	: FC HA9 is ideally suited for	r rhg as it is only 200 metres from the	A406. Swansea to Brecon Road	and is approximately 500 metres from the nearest prima	ary school.
Council Response	:				0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Foci	ussed Change			
Representation Texts:	: None.				
Council Response	:				0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation	1			
Representation Texts:	: Support FC83 - P58HA9.				
Council Response	:				0

25/04/2016 Page 309 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6406 Richards, Gemma

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 01/03/2016 6406.F1 Summary: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: Focused change HA9 is an obvious site for housing due to its proximity to the main Swansea to Brecon Road. It also has easy access to the National cycle route 43 which promotes healthy living. It also fulfills the need for modern housing at Ystradgynlais has not seen a major house builder in my lifetime (32 years). Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: No changes required. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Supports FC83 - P58HA9. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 310 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

	6407	Paull	, Mr	Clive
--	------	-------	------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 01/03/2016 6407.F1 Summary: Supports FC83 - P58 HA9 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I support focussed change HA9 because the A4067 is a matter of metres away, which enable the two supermarkets the doctors surgery Pengarof and the hospital to be reached without having to drive along Brecon Road. In particular the 'Jeffaries[?] Hill'. Where there have been a number of accidents over the years where the road is very congested with parking either side. The site is also close to a fire station located in Abercrave located just over a mile away. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: None Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 311 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6408	Richards,	Dylan

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 01/03/2016 6408.F1 Summary: Supports FC83 - P58HA9 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I wholeheartedly support focussed change HA9 in the Powys Local Development Plan. As a young married man the site is ideally located in close proximity to a new primary schoolin Penrhos (500m) so children will be able to walk to school without having to cross any roads. It is also easy access to A4067, bypassing Ystradgynlais, to get to Maes Y Dderwen comprehensive school. The site is also practical as all developers and contractors lorries cranes etc. will not have to travel through the township of Ystradgynlais. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: No changes. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Supports FC 83 - P58HA9. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 312 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6409	Cambule,	Susan
------	----------	-------

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 01/03/2016 6409.F1 Summary: Support FC83 - P58 HA9 Source: Post or in person Type: Support Mode Written Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I support focused change HA9 as there is a desperate need for new market value houses in the area. It is high time we had a major developer in Ystradgynlais to enable young people to purchase a home that isnt a 100 years plus old. The site is ideally located to a new primary school and I also understand it has access onto the national cycle route where young families will be able to cycle to work without the necesity of getting into their cars to do so. Council Response: 0 Question: 2 Changes needed to the Focussed Change Representation Texts: None to note. Council Response: 0 Question: 4 **Summary of Representation** Representation Texts: Supports FC83 - P58HA9. Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 313 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

6410 Barraclough, Andy

Rep'n/Para/Policy AccessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Modified Summary 08/03/2016 Summary: Support FC83 - P58HA9 6410.F1 Type: Support Mode Written Source: Post or in person Status Maintained Document:FC - Map Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016 Site: 1309/885/P58 HA9 Penrhos Farm, Ystradgynlais Map: P58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites Question Representation Texts Question: **Council Response** Representation Texts: Support for FC83 is noted. Council Response: 0 Question: 1 **Representation Details** Representation Texts: I support the development of this site as I believe it will bring the possibility of more skilled owrkers to the area which as a local company we currently have to look further afield to fill positions. Council Response: 0

Question: 4 Summary of Representation

Representation Texts: Support FC83 - inclusion of site P58HA9 - Ystradgynlais.

Council Response: 0

25/04/2016 Page 314 of 317

Powys County Council Local Development Plan

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

UTII Castillo, Mariett	6411	Castillo, Marlene
------------------------	------	-------------------

O-11 Cast	illo, Marierie				
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	essnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mod	dified Summary			
6411.F1	09/03/2016				
Source:	Type: Support	Mode Wri	itten	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map So	chedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016				
	Map: P	58E: Ystradgynlais Area- FC	Issue: 2015:	Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts				
Question:	Council Response				
Representation Texts:	Thankyou for your support to FC 83.				
Council Response:					0
Question: 1	Representation Details				
Representation Texts:	Land is not used for anything. Will be g	good for the area. Is on a bus route to ev	erywhere.		
Council Response:					0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation				
Representation Texts:	Support Housing Allocation P58HA9 -	Ystradgynlais			
Council Response:					0

25/04/2016 Page 315 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

RefPoint: 43.102	Buttington Brickworks - FC113, FC	:114		
	yd Powys Archaeological Trus			
Rep'n/Para/Policy Acc	cessnNo DateLodgd Late? Status Mo	odified Summary		
27.F7	10/03/2016	Summary: Buttington Brickworks - F	C113, FC114	
Source:	Type: Comme	ent Mode Written	Status Maintained	
Document:FC - Map S	Schedule of Focussed Changes Jan 2016			
	Map: I	P59: Buttington Brickworks - FC	Issue: 2015: Deposit Draft-11. Allocated Sites	
Question	Representation Texts			
Question:	Council Response			
Representation Texts:		f Appendix 1 (Settlement Allocations Table) coderation by th elnspector as a Matters Arising	ould be amended to include this issue. The Council w Change:	ould suggest that the following text is
	"The site contains significant industri post consent works (consult and invo		ted features and development here may require prior	archaeological intervention and possibly
Council Response	:			0
Question: 1	Representation Details			
Representation Texts:	P59 EA1 - The allocation contains sig post consent works.	gnificant industrial remains the sites of railway	related features. Development here may require pric	r archaeological intervention and possibly
Council Response	:			0
Question: 2	Changes needed to the Focussed Cha	ange		
Representation Texts:	Note should be made of the above.			
Council Response	:			0
Question: 4	Summary of Representation			
Representation Texts:	Request for additional information/tex	tt (archaeological matters) relating to site alloc	ation.	
Council Response	:			0
				-

25/04/2016 Page 316 of 317

by: Representation No

Filtered to show: (all of) Stage=F; Status=M

25/04/2016 Page 317 of 317